

INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL FOR LEGAL RESEARCH AND ANALYSIS



Open Access, Refereed Journal Multi-Disciplinary
Peer Reviewed

www.ijlra.com

DISCLAIMER

No part of this publication may be reproduced or copied in any form by any means without prior written permission of Managing Editor of IJLRA. The views expressed in this publication are purely personal opinions of the authors and do not reflect the views of the Editorial Team of IJLRA.

Though every effort has been made to ensure that the information in Volume II Issue 7 is accurate and appropriately cited/referenced, neither the Editorial Board nor IJLRA shall be held liable or responsible in any manner whatsoever for any consequences for any action taken by anyone on the basis of information in the Journal.

Copyright © International Journal for Legal Research & Analysis

EDITORIALTEAM

EDITORS

Dr. Samrat Datta

Dr. Samrat Datta Seedling School of Law and Governance, Jaipur National University, Jaipur. Dr. Samrat Datta is currently associated with Seedling School of Law and Governance, Jaipur National University, Jaipur. Dr. Datta has completed his graduation i.e., B.A.LL.B. from Law College Dehradun, Hemvati Nandan Bahuguna Garhwal University, Srinagar, Uttarakhand. He is an alumnus of KIIT University, Bhubaneswar where he pursued his post-graduation (LL.M.) in Criminal Law and subsequently completed his Ph.D. in Police Law and Information Technology from the Pacific Academy of Higher Education and Research University, Udaipur in 2020. His area of interest and research is Criminal and Police Law. Dr. Datta has a teaching experience of 7 years in various law schools across North India and has held administrative positions like Academic Coordinator, Centre Superintendent for Examinations, Deputy Controller of Examinations, Member of the Proctorial Board



Dr. Namita Jain

Head & Associate Professor

School of Law, JECRC University, Jaipur Ph.D. (Commercial Law) LL.M., UGC -NET Post Graduation Diploma in Taxation law and Practice, Bachelor of Commerce.

Teaching Experience: 12 years, AWARDS AND RECOGNITION of Dr. Namita Jain are - ICF Global Excellence Award 2020 in the category of educationalist by I Can Foundation, India. India Women Empowerment Award in the category of "Emerging Excellence in Academics by Prime Time & Utkrisht Bharat Foundation, New Delhi. (2020). Conferred in FL Book of Top 21 Record Holders in the category of education by Fashion Lifestyle Magazine, New Delhi. (2020). Certificate of Appreciation for organizing and managing the Professional Development Training Program on IPR in Collaboration with Trade Innovations Services, Jaipur on March 14th, 2019



Mrs.S.Kalpana

Assistant professor of Law

Mrs.S.Kalpana, presently Assistant professor of Law, VelTech Rangarajan Dr.Sagunthala R & D Institute of Science and Technology, Avadi. Formerly Assistant professor of Law, Vels University in the year 2019 to 2020, Worked as Guest Faculty, Chennai Dr.Ambedkar Law College, Pudupakkam. Published one book. Published 8Articles in various reputed Law Journals. Conducted 1Moot court competition and participated in nearly 80 National and International seminars and webinars conducted on various subjects of Law. Did ML in Criminal Law and Criminal Justice Administration. 10 paper presentations in various National and International seminars. Attended more than 10 FDP programs. Ph.D. in Law pursuing.



Avinash Kumar



Avinash Kumar has completed his Ph.D. in International Investment Law from the Dept. of Law & Governance, Central University of South Bihar. His research work is on "International Investment Agreement and State's right to regulate Foreign Investment." He qualified UGC-NET and has been selected for the prestigious ICSSR Doctoral Fellowship. He is an alumnus of the Faculty of Law, University of Delhi. Formerly he has been elected as Students Union President of Law Centre-1, University of Delhi. Moreover, he completed his LL.M. from the University of Delhi (2014-16), dissertation on "Cross-border Merger & Acquisition"; LL.B. from the University of Delhi (2011-14), and B.A. (Hons.) from Maharaja Agrasen College, University of Delhi. He has also obtained P.G. Diploma in IPR from the Indian Society of International Law, New Delhi. He has qualified UGC – NET examination and has been awarded ICSSR – Doctoral Fellowship. He has published six-plus articles and presented 9 plus papers in national and international seminars/conferences. He participated in several workshops on research methodology and teaching and learning.

ABOUT US

INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL FOR LEGAL RESEARCH & ANALYSIS
ISSN

2582-6433 is an Online Journal is Monthly, Peer Review, Academic Journal, Published online, that seeks to provide an interactive platform for the publication of Short Articles, Long Articles, Book Review, Case Comments, Research Papers, Essay in the field of Law & Multidisciplinary issue. Our aim is to upgrade the level of interaction and discourse about contemporary issues of law. We are eager to become a highly cited academic publication, through quality contributions from students, academics, professionals from the industry, the bar and the bench. INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL FOR LEGAL RESEARCH & ANALYSIS ISSN 2582-6433 welcomes contributions from all legal branches, as long as the work is original, unpublished and is in consonance with the submission guidelines.

DANIEL BODANSKY'S PERSPECTIVE ON CLIMATE LAW - CLIMATE CHANGE LAW AS A CRITICAL ASPECT OF INTERNATIONAL LAW

AUTHORED BY - STUTI CHAUDHARY

I. Introduction

Climate change law emerges as one of the most important international law branches that tries to tackle perhaps one of the most profound global challenges for the 21st century. For the purposes of this paper, it is defined as the legal framework guiding the actions and policies in mitigating and adapting to climate change that encompasses treaties, customary principles, and soft law instruments. The inherently transboundary character of climate change obliges collective action, for which international law constitutes a critical platform of governance.

Daniel Bodansky is one of the most important scholars in international environmental law. His critical insights reflect how international law can better respond to the multifaceted challenges posed by climate change. He addresses these issues, which are: the design and effectiveness of international legal frameworks, the roles of states and non-state actors, and the tension between binding commitments and flexible mechanisms. This paper presents a critical analysis of the perspectives of Bodansky and their alignment with the legal principles that are brought forward with recommendations for developing climate change law.

II. Summary of Bodansky's Analysis

Daniel Bodansky concentrates his climate law analysis on three core aspects:

- **The Fragmentation of International Climate Law:**

Bodansky asserts that climate law is a piecemeal development without having a single binding instrument to guide it. Instead, climate law is based upon a network of treaties with the United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change (UNFCCC), the Kyoto Protocol, and the Paris Agreement.

- **Flexibility against Binding Commitments:**

Bodansky himself stressed that there was a shift, but one that took place from being

quite hard, binding law--think of the binding quality of the Kyoto Protocol's targets--to quite bottom-up, flexible procedures represented by the Paris Agreement, an expression of practical, political reasons for ensuring universal compliance.

- Soft and non-state actors:

He emphasizes that the soft law mechanisms, along with non-state actors, such as corporations and civil society, are important for furthering climate action. Since climate change is complex, a multi-stakeholder approach to it is of significant importance to Bodansky.

III. Engagement and Critique

- Fragmented Nature of International Climate Law:

Bodansky is absolutely right in asserting that climate law is fragmented since no comprehensive treaty binds all countries to specific actions on climate change. There is the UNFCCC, established in 1992, that provides a framework but no enforceable obligations. The Kyoto Protocol of 1997 had binding targets for developed countries but made exceptions for China and India, for example, to such obligation, which greatly limited the effectiveness of this agreement. While the Paris Agreement of 2015 was more inclusive, it also relied on voluntary nationally determined contributions (NDCs). As such, scholars like Bodansky point out that such a patchwork system dilutes the cohesion and effectiveness of international climate law. Yet others like Lavanya Rajamani claim that flexibility enhances broad participation and the accommodation of national circumstances. As a reflection of political reality, this fragmentation also carries a danger of undermining accountability in international climate law. Better effectiveness can be gained if the binding mechanism were enhanced with stronger compliance systems.

- Flexibility vs. Binding Commitments:

Bodansky finds justification for the Paris Agreement's flexibility in being a pragmatic approach. This can be seen from how it relies on NDCs, which represents the bottom-up model of determining a country's own goals and targets. It differed from the top-down Kyoto Protocol, which failed states rejected due to its demand for binding commitments.

This way, the Paris Agreement flexibility becomes a problem since accountability and ambition cannot easily be ensured. Without binding enforcement mechanisms, states may fail to meet their NDCs, such as the case of the U.S. withdrawal during the Trump administration. As noted by legal scholars, such as Harold Koh, the flexibility required needs to be balanced with mechanisms like frameworks of transparency and peer review processes. So innovative is the reliance on "naming and shaming" as a tool for ensuring compliance that may be insufficient for meaningful action in the Agreement.

- The Role of Soft Law and Non-State Actors:

Bodansky is correct in his emphasis on the growing role of soft law and non-state actors in climate governance. Instruments like the Marrakesh Partnership for Global Climate Action and initiatives like the Net-Zero Asset Owner Alliance show that voluntary commitments can have great potential. Such efforts are supplementary to formal treaties and help fill gaps in the international legal framework.

The question of enforceability is always raised in reliance on soft law. Non-binding instruments are effective in catalyzing action but lack the legal force to ensure compliance. The principle of *pacta sunt servanda*, codified in Article 26 of the Vienna Convention on the Law of Treaties, underlines the importance of binding agreements in upholding legal order. The hybrid approach, combining both soft law and binding commitments, can increase the legitimacy and effectiveness of climate governance.

IV. Key Legal Principles and Frameworks

Foundational principles guide the evolution and application of international climate law. Under the UNFCCC, "Common but Differentiated Responsibilities" was established and reiterated under the Paris Agreement. That nations have varied responsibilities and capacities concerning historical emissions and disparities in economies is recognized. The "precautionary principle" is a concept identified in Principle 15 of the Rio Declaration. Likewise, the principle of "Sustainable Development", based on the Brundtland Report and Sustainable Development Goal 13, balances climate action with social and economic ends toward equity and resilience. The "Polluter Pays Principle", as embodied in numerous environmental treaties, calls upon the polluters to carry the cost of mitigation and remediation. "Intergenerational Equity" emphasizes the duty of conservation of environmental resources for future generations, which thus reaffirms the need for sustainability. Lastly, the "No Harm Rule", the already existing principle of customary international law obliges states to act in such a way as not to cause

environmental damage abroad through activities under their control. These principles together form a very rich framework consistent with the thrust of Bodansky on flexibility, inclusivity, and justice in approaching the global climate crisis.

V. Personal Reaction and Recommendations

Daniel Bodansky's critique of climate law establishes a sound basis for tackling the challenge of global climate governance. Nonetheless, his case could be further improved through discussion on specific points where there needs to be reform. In particular, too much emphasis on voluntarism by the Paris Agreement necessitates stronger mechanisms in compliance terms. Binding arbitration, using the model that has emerged from the dispute settlement mechanisms of the WTO, would help make its obligations and financial penalties stick for violators. Likewise, more regional frameworks, like the EU Emissions Trading System, could help in addressing local challenges and be scaled up for global implementation. Bodansky's acknowledgment of equity in the Paris Agreement can also be extended by incorporating a rights-based approach, where climate policies are designed to protect the basic rights of vulnerable populations who are disproportionately affected by climate change. In addition, Indigenous knowledge and participation are essential because Indigenous practices provide valuable insights for adaptation and resilience in the context of climate change. Legal instruments, such as the UN Declaration on the Rights of Indigenous Peoples (UNDRIP) and ILO Convention 169, should respect indigenous rights and sovereignty. Moving forward, international climate law may become more inclusive and effective with mandatory emissions reporting, intersectional justice policies, innovative funding mechanisms, and concepts like ecocide. In this respect, it can be considered as one of the greatest transformative tools to combat the one most pressing challenge to human history.

VI. Conclusion

Innovative legal theories, like ecocentrism, may challenge the long-standing norm of anthropocentrism and lead toward more holistic climate governance. Rights of nature could fit into a broader expansion of what is recognized.

The perception that climate law is complex and ever-changing is taken from Daniel Bodansky. Flexibility, inclusiveness, and multi-stakeholder engagement that the pragmatic approach accords to tackle the global climate crisis also make him optimistic. However, there must be a

balance of flexibility with accountability in terms of binding mechanisms and equity.

This paper highlights the need for a dynamic and inclusive climate governance framework by critically engaging with Bodansky's ideas and exploring alternative approaches. International law must therefore evolve to meet the 21st-century challenges arising from the intensification of the climate crisis, paving the way for a just and sustainable future for everyone.

VII. References and Citations

- United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change (adopted 9 May 1992, entered into force 21 March 1994) 1771 UNTS 107 (UNFCCC).
- Kyoto Protocol to the United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change (adopted 11 December 1997, entered into force 16 February 2005) 2303 UNTS 148.
- Paris Agreement (adopted 12 December 2015, entered into force 4 November 2016) UNTS Registration No. 54113.
- Rio Declaration on Environment and Development (14 June 1992) UN Doc A/CONF.151/26 (Vol I).
- Vienna Convention on the Law of Treaties (adopted 23 May 1969, entered into force 27 January 1980) 1155 UNTS 331.
- Daniel Bodansky, *The Art and Craft of International Environmental Law* (Harvard University Press 2010).
- Daniel Bodansky, Jutta Brunnée and Lavanya Rajamani, *International Climate Change Law* (Oxford University Press 2017).
- Harold Hongju Koh, *The Trump Administration and International Law* (Oxford University Press 2018).
- Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change, *Climate Change 2023: Synthesis Report* (IPCC 2023) <https://www.ipcc.ch/reports/> (accessed 6 December 2024).
- World Commission on Environment and Development, *Our Common Future* (Oxford University Press 1987) <https://sustainabledevelopment.un.org/content/documents/5987our-common-future.pdf> (accessed 6 December 2024).
- UN, 'Sustainable Development Goal 13: Climate Action' <https://sdgs.un.org/goals/goal13> (accessed 6 December 2024).

- Marrakesh Partnership for Global Climate Action (UNFCCC 2016) <https://unfccc.int/climate-action/marrakech-partnership-for-global-climate-action> (accessed 6 December 2024).
- Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change, Climate Change 2023: Synthesis Report (IPCC 2023) <https://www.ipcc.ch/reports/> (accessed 6 December 2024).
- World Commission on Environment and Development, Our Common Future (Oxford University Press 1987) <https://sustainabledevelopment.un.org/content/documents/5987our-common-future.pdf> (accessed 6 December 2024).
- UN, 'Sustainable Development Goal 13: Climate Action' <https://sdgs.un.org/goals/goal13> accessed (6 December 2024).

