

INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL FOR LEGAL RESEARCH AND ANALYSIS



Open Access, Refereed Journal Multi Disciplinary
Peer Reviewed

www.ijlra.com

DISCLAIMER

No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored, transmitted, or distributed in any form or by any means, whether electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording, or otherwise, without prior written permission of the Managing Editor of the *International Journal for Legal Research & Analysis (IJLRA)*.

The views, opinions, interpretations, and conclusions expressed in the articles published in this journal are solely those of the respective authors. They do not necessarily reflect the views of the Editorial Board, Editors, Reviewers, Advisors, or the Publisher of IJLRA.

Although every reasonable effort has been made to ensure the accuracy, authenticity, and proper citation of the content published in this journal, neither the Editorial Board nor IJLRA shall be held liable or responsible, in any manner whatsoever, for any loss, damage, or consequence arising from the use, reliance upon, or interpretation of the information contained in this publication.

The content published herein is intended solely for academic and informational purposes and shall not be construed as legal advice or professional opinion.

**Copyright © International Journal for Legal Research & Analysis.
All rights reserved.**

ABOUT US

The *International Journal for Legal Research & Analysis (IJLRA)* (ISSN: 2582-6433) is a peer-reviewed, academic, online journal published on a monthly basis. The journal aims to provide a comprehensive and interactive platform for the publication of original and high-quality legal research.

IJLRA publishes Short Articles, Long Articles, Research Papers, Case Comments, Book Reviews, Essays, and interdisciplinary studies in the field of law and allied disciplines. The journal seeks to promote critical analysis and informed discourse on contemporary legal, social, and policy issues.

The primary objective of IJLRA is to enhance academic engagement and scholarly dialogue among law students, researchers, academicians, legal professionals, and members of the Bar and Bench. The journal endeavours to establish itself as a credible and widely cited academic publication through the publication of original, well-researched, and analytically sound contributions.

IJLRA welcomes submissions from all branches of law, provided the work is original, unpublished, and submitted in accordance with the prescribed submission guidelines. All manuscripts are subject to a rigorous peer-review process to ensure academic quality, originality, and relevance.

Through its publications, the *International Journal for Legal Research & Analysis* aspires to contribute meaningfully to legal scholarship and the development of law as an instrument of justice and social progress.

PUBLICATION ETHICS, COPYRIGHT & AUTHOR RESPONSIBILITY STATEMENT

The *International Journal for Legal Research and Analysis (IJLRA)* is committed to upholding the highest standards of publication ethics and academic integrity. All manuscripts submitted to the journal must be original, unpublished, and free from plagiarism, data fabrication, falsification, or any form of unethical research or publication practice. Authors are solely responsible for the accuracy, originality, legality, and ethical compliance of their work and must ensure that all sources are properly cited and that necessary permissions for any third-party copyrighted material have been duly obtained prior to submission. Copyright in all published articles vests with IJLRA, unless otherwise expressly stated, and authors grant the journal the irrevocable right to publish, reproduce, distribute, and archive their work in print and electronic formats. The views and opinions expressed in the articles are those of the authors alone and do not reflect the views of the Editors, Editorial Board, Reviewers, or Publisher. IJLRA shall not be liable for any loss, damage, claim, or legal consequence arising from the use, reliance upon, or interpretation of the content published. By submitting a manuscript, the author(s) agree to fully indemnify and hold harmless the journal, its Editor-in-Chief, Editors, Editorial Board, Reviewers, Advisors, Publisher, and Management against any claims, liabilities, or legal proceedings arising out of plagiarism, copyright infringement, defamation, breach of confidentiality, or violation of third-party rights. The journal reserves the absolute right to reject, withdraw, retract, or remove any manuscript or published article in case of ethical or legal violations, without incurring any liability.

LIVE-IN RELATIONSHIPS AND LEGAL RIGHTS IN INDIA

AUTHORED BY - PANKAJ PASWAN

LLB(Hons.) Sem V

CMP Degree College (University of Allhabad)

Abstract

The idea of a live-in relationship has become an important subject of discussion in Indian society as well as in Indian law. In a live-in relationship, two adults choose to live together without entering into a formal marriage. This arrangement creates several legal questions relating to personal liberty, protection from domestic violence, maintenance, residence, children and property. Indian law does not treat every live-in relationship as a marriage, but it also does not simply treat consensual cohabitation between adults as a criminal act. Through legislation and judicial decisions, the courts have gradually developed principles for deciding when a person in such a relationship can claim legal protection. This research examines the legal status of live-in relationships in India, important judicial decisions, the protection available to women and children, financial and property issues, and the difficulties that remain in this developing area of law.

Keywords - Live-in Relationship, Personal Liberty, Domestic Violence, Maintenance, Marriage, Women's Rights, Children, Supreme Court.

INTRODUCTION

Imagine two adults who have been living together for several years. They share a home, divide household responsibilities and support each other financially, but they have not gone through a marriage ceremony. One day, a dispute arises between them. The immediate question may appear to be a simple personal dispute, but legally many questions arise. Can the woman seek protection under the domestic violence law? Can she claim residence or monetary relief? What happens to the child of such a relationship? Can one partner claim a right over the property of the other? These questions show why live-in relationships cannot be understood only as a matter of social choice.

Indian society has traditionally placed marriage at the centre of family life. However, changing social conditions, education, employment, urbanisation and individual choice have made different forms of companionship more visible. The law therefore faces the difficult task of protecting individual freedom without automatically giving every live-in relationship the same legal consequences as a marriage.

The Constitution gives importance to personal liberty and dignity. At the same time, personal liberty does not mean that every consequence of marriage automatically follows from cohabitation. The legal position depends upon the statute being applied and the facts proved before the court. This is why judicial decisions have played a major role in developing the law relating to live-in relationships.

WHAT IS A LIVE-IN RELATIONSHIP?

There is no single statutory definition which explains every form of live-in relationship in India. In ordinary language, it means that two adults voluntarily live together as partners without formally marrying each other. The relationship may be short or long, and the parties may or may not share financial responsibilities.

From a legal point of view, however, the duration and nature of the relationship can become important. Courts may examine whether the parties maintained a shared household, whether they represented themselves as a couple, how domestic expenses were managed and whether there was a stable relationship resembling a family arrangement. Therefore, the expression “live-in relationship” covers different situations and cannot be treated as one fixed legal category.

LIVE-IN RELATIONSHIP AND PERSONAL LIBERTY

The right of an adult to make personal choices is closely connected with the constitutional protection of life and personal liberty. A person does not lose all decision-making power simply because society may disapprove of the choice. The courts have therefore recognised that consenting adults have a sphere of personal autonomy in choosing their companions and living arrangements.

This principle should nevertheless be understood carefully. Recognition of personal liberty does not mean that a live-in relationship is legally identical to marriage. Marriage may create

rights under personal laws and different statutes. A live-in relationship may receive protection under a particular law only when the conditions of that law are fulfilled. The distinction is important because otherwise every cohabiting relationship could incorrectly be treated as a marriage.

PROTECTION OF WOMEN UNDER THE DOMESTIC VIOLENCE ACT

One of the most important laws in this field is the Protection of Women from Domestic Violence Act, 2005. The Act recognises a “relationship in the nature of marriage” within the concept of a domestic relationship. This provision is significant because a woman should not lose all legal remedies merely because her relationship was not solemnised through a formal marriage.

Depending on the circumstances, the Act provides remedies relating to protection, residence and monetary relief. The purpose is not simply to declare the relationship a marriage but to prevent violence and provide practical legal remedies to a woman who satisfies the requirements of the Act.

The phrase “relationship in the nature of marriage” is therefore important. Courts have to examine the actual nature of the relationship instead of relying only on the statement that the parties lived together. The statutory requirements and the evidence produced before the court remain important.

D. VELUSAMY v. D. PATCHAIAMMAL

In *D. Velusamy v. D. Patchaiammal*, the Supreme Court considered the meaning of a relationship in the nature of marriage under the Domestic Violence Act. The decision is important because it made clear that every live-in relationship cannot automatically be treated as a relationship in the nature of marriage.

The Court indicated that the surrounding circumstances of the relationship have to be considered. Factors such as the manner in which the parties conducted themselves, the duration of the relationship and the nature of the shared household may become relevant. The case therefore provides an important test for distinguishing an ordinary arrangement of cohabitation from a relationship that has characteristics similar to marriage.

INDRA SARMA v. V.K.V. SARMA

Another important decision is Indra Sarma v. V.K.V. Sarma. The Supreme Court examined the difficulties faced by women in relationships which are not formal marriages. The case is useful for understanding that the Domestic Violence Act cannot be applied mechanically to every relationship.

The judgment discussed different kinds of live-in relationships and the circumstances in which a woman may receive protection. It also showed that the court must balance the purpose of the protective legislation with the statutory requirements. This approach prevents misuse of the law while keeping the protective purpose of the legislation alive.

RECENT JUDICIAL DEVELOPMENT

The law relating to live-in relationships continues to develop. A significant recent Supreme Court judgment dated 3 August 2026 considered the application of protection connected with Section 498A in the context of a live-in relationship in the nature of marriage. The decision is relevant because it again brings attention to the distinction between a casual or ordinary live-in arrangement and a relationship which has legal characteristics similar to marriage.

The recent development also shows that legal labels alone are not sufficient. Courts may have to examine the actual relationship, the intention of the parties and the circumstances in which the alleged domestic conduct occurred. This makes the subject especially relevant for law students because the law is developing through the interaction of legislation and judicial interpretation.

MAINTENANCE AND MONETARY RELIEF

Financial support can become one of the most difficult issues after the breakdown of a live-in relationship. A person who lived with a partner does not automatically receive every financial right which a legally recognised spouse may receive. However, the Domestic Violence Act can provide monetary relief where the statutory requirements are satisfied.

The court therefore has to identify the legal basis of the claim. Questions about income, dependency, domestic responsibilities and the nature of the relationship may become relevant. The existence of a live-in relationship alone should not be treated as an automatic guarantee of

maintenance in every situation.

RESIDENCE AND PROTECTION FROM VIOLENCE

A shared home can become a major source of dispute when a live-in relationship ends. The Domestic Violence Act contains provisions concerning residence and protection. These remedies are particularly important where a woman alleges physical, emotional, verbal, economic or other forms of domestic abuse covered by the legislation.

The purpose of such protection is practical. A person facing violence may need immediate protection rather than a long dispute about the social status of the relationship. At the same time, the court has to apply the statutory conditions and determine whether the relationship falls within the protection contemplated by the Act.

RIGHTS AND WELFARE OF CHILDREN

The birth of a child can create another set of legal questions. A child should not be deprived of legal protection merely because the parents were not formally married. Issues relating to maintenance, custody, welfare and succession have to be considered under the relevant law and on the facts of the individual case.

The welfare of the child is an important consideration in family disputes. The personal disagreement between the adults should not automatically become a reason to ignore the interests of the child. Thus, children's rights form an important part of the legal discussion surrounding live-in relationships.

PROPERTY AND INHERITANCE RIGHTS

Property is another area where misunderstanding is common. Simply living with a person does not normally make one partner the automatic owner of the other partner's property.

Ownership may depend upon title documents, actual contribution, agreements, wills and the succession law applicable to the parties.

This means that a person should not assume that cohabitation alone creates exactly the same inheritance rights as marriage. In a dispute, the court may have to examine the source of the

property and the legal basis of the claim. Clear documentation can therefore reduce future disputes between partners.

SOCIAL ATTITUDE AND LEGAL CHALLENGES

Law does not operate separately from society. In India, many families still consider marriage to be the only acceptable form of long-term partnership. Because of this social attitude, couples in live-in relationships may face pressure from family members, difficulties in finding accommodation and disagreements regarding acceptance of their relationship.

Another difficulty is the absence of one comprehensive law dealing with every aspect of live-in relationships. Instead, different statutes and judicial decisions may apply to different issues. This sometimes makes the legal position difficult for an ordinary person to understand.

NEED FOR A BALANCED APPROACH

The law has to maintain a balance between two important objectives. On one side, adults should have freedom to make personal choices without unnecessary interference. On the other side, the law must protect people from violence, exploitation and abandonment. Women and children can become particularly vulnerable when a relationship breaks down.

A balanced approach does not require every live-in relationship to be converted into a marriage. It requires the law to recognise the relationship where necessary for providing a remedy and, at the same time, to keep the requirements of each statute clear. Judicial interpretation is therefore important in preventing both over-criminalisation and denial of genuine protection.

SUGGESTIONS

There is a need for greater public awareness about the legal consequences of live-in relationships. People should understand that personal freedom also involves legal responsibilities. Partners can reduce future disputes by keeping clear records of jointly purchased property, financial contributions and important agreements.

Courts should continue to interpret protective laws in a manner that protects genuine victims while preventing misuse. Legal education should also explain the difference between a marriage and a live-in relationship so that people do not make assumptions about maintenance,

property or inheritance rights.

CONCLUSION

Live-in relationships are now an important part of the changing social and legal landscape of India. The law recognises the personal autonomy of consenting adults, but it does not automatically give every live-in couple the complete legal status of husband and wife. The Protection of Women from Domestic Violence Act, 2005 has provided an important protective framework, particularly where a relationship falls within the statutory idea of a relationship in the nature of marriage.

The decisions in *D. Velusamy v. D. Patchaiammal* and *Indra Sarma v. V.K.V. Sarma* demonstrate how the Supreme Court has tried to identify the legal characteristics of such relationships. Recent judicial developments show that the subject is still evolving. In my view, the most suitable approach is one which respects individual liberty while ensuring that women and children receive protection where the law provides a remedy. At the same time, people entering such relationships should understand that cohabitation by itself does not create every right associated with formal marriage.

REFERENCES

Protection of Women from Domestic Violence Act, 2005, India Code.

D. Velusamy v. D. Patchaiammal, Supreme Court of India.

Indra Sarma v. V.K.V. Sarma, Supreme Court of India.

Supreme Court of India, judgment dated 3 August 2026 concerning Section 498A and a live-in relationship in the nature of marriage.