

INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL FOR LEGAL RESEARCH AND ANALYSIS



Open Access, Refereed Journal Multi-Disciplinary
Peer Reviewed

www.ijlra.com

DISCLAIMER

No part of this publication may be reproduced or copied in any form by any means without prior written permission of Managing Editor of IJLRA. The views expressed in this publication are purely personal opinions of the authors and do not reflect the views of the Editorial Team of IJLRA.

Though every effort has been made to ensure that the information in Volume II Issue 7 is accurate and appropriately cited/referenced, neither the Editorial Board nor IJLRA shall be held liable or responsible in any manner whatsoever for any consequences for any action taken by anyone on the basis of information in the Journal.

Copyright © International Journal for Legal Research & Analysis

EDITORIALTEAM

EDITORS

Dr. Samrat Datta

Dr. Samrat Datta Seedling School of Law and Governance, Jaipur National University, Jaipur. Dr. Samrat Datta is currently associated with Seedling School of Law and Governance, Jaipur National University, Jaipur. Dr. Datta has completed his graduation i.e., B.A.LL.B. from Law College Dehradun, Hemvati Nandan Bahuguna Garhwal University, Srinagar, Uttarakhand. He is an alumnus of KIIT University, Bhubaneswar where he pursued his post-graduation (LL.M.) in Criminal Law and subsequently completed his Ph.D. in Police Law and Information Technology from the Pacific Academy of Higher Education and Research University, Udaipur in 2020. His area of interest and research is Criminal and Police Law. Dr. Datta has a teaching experience of 7 years in various law schools across North India and has held administrative positions like Academic Coordinator, Centre Superintendent for Examinations, Deputy Controller of Examinations, Member of the Proctorial Board



Dr. Namita Jain

Head & Associate Professor

School of Law, JECRC University, Jaipur Ph.D. (Commercial Law) LL.M., UGC -NET Post Graduation Diploma in Taxation law and Practice, Bachelor of Commerce.

Teaching Experience: 12 years, AWARDS AND RECOGNITION of Dr. Namita Jain are - ICF Global Excellence Award 2020 in the category of educationalist by I Can Foundation, India. India Women Empowerment Award in the category of "Emerging Excellence in Academics by Prime Time & Utkrisht Bharat Foundation, New Delhi. (2020). Conferred in FL Book of Top 21 Record Holders in the category of education by Fashion Lifestyle Magazine, New Delhi. (2020). Certificate of Appreciation for organizing and managing the Professional Development Training Program on IPR in Collaboration with Trade Innovations Services, Jaipur on March 14th, 2019



Mrs.S.Kalpana

Assistant professor of Law

Mrs.S.Kalpana, presently Assistant professor of Law, VelTech Rangarajan Dr.Sagunthala R & D Institute of Science and Technology, Avadi. Formerly Assistant professor of Law, Vels University in the year 2019 to 2020, Worked as Guest Faculty, Chennai Dr.Ambedkar Law College, Pudupakkam. Published one book. Published 8Articles in various reputed Law Journals. Conducted 1Moot court competition and participated in nearly 80 National and International seminars and webinars conducted on various subjects of Law. Did ML in Criminal Law and Criminal Justice Administration. 10 paper presentations in various National and International seminars. Attended more than 10 FDP programs. Ph.D. in Law pursuing.



Avinash Kumar



Avinash Kumar has completed his Ph.D. in International Investment Law from the Dept. of Law & Governance, Central University of South Bihar. His research work is on "International Investment Agreement and State's right to regulate Foreign Investment." He qualified UGC-NET and has been selected for the prestigious ICSSR Doctoral Fellowship. He is an alumnus of the Faculty of Law, University of Delhi. Formerly he has been elected as Students Union President of Law Centre-1, University of Delhi. Moreover, he completed his LL.M. from the University of Delhi (2014-16), dissertation on "Cross-border Merger & Acquisition"; LL.B. from the University of Delhi (2011-14), and B.A. (Hons.) from Maharaja Agrasen College, University of Delhi. He has also obtained P.G. Diploma in IPR from the Indian Society of International Law, New Delhi. He has qualified UGC – NET examination and has been awarded ICSSR – Doctoral Fellowship. He has published six-plus articles and presented 9 plus papers in national and international seminars/conferences. He participated in several workshops on research methodology and teaching and learning.

ABOUT US

INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL FOR LEGAL RESEARCH & ANALYSIS
ISSN

2582-6433 is an Online Journal is Monthly, Peer Review, Academic Journal, Published online, that seeks to provide an interactive platform for the publication of Short Articles, Long Articles, Book Review, Case Comments, Research Papers, Essay in the field of Law & Multidisciplinary issue. Our aim is to upgrade the level of interaction and discourse about contemporary issues of law. We are eager to become a highly cited academic publication, through quality contributions from students, academics, professionals from the industry, the bar and the bench. INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL FOR LEGAL RESEARCH & ANALYSIS ISSN 2582-6433 welcomes contributions from all legal branches, as long as the work is original, unpublished and is in consonance with the submission guidelines.

MEDIATION AS AN EMERGING PILLAR IN INDIA'S DISPUTE RESOLUTION LANDSCAPE: LEGAL FRAMEWORK, JUDICIAL ENDORSEMENTS, AND STRUCTURAL CHALLENGES

AUTHORED BY - ADITYA PRATAP SINGH

College: Amity University

Course: B.A., LL. B (H)

CO-AUTHOR - DR. SARITA YADAV

Abstract

The Indian judicial system is currently overburdened with an unprecedented volume of pending cases, with approximately five crore cases awaiting resolution as of December 31, 2022. This delay undermines the constitutional guarantee of timely justice and erodes public trust in the legal system. In response to this crisis, alternative dispute resolution (ADR) mechanisms, particularly mediation, have emerged as effective and efficient pathways for delivering justice. Mediation offers a voluntary, non-adversarial approach to conflict resolution, promoting dialogue, confidentiality, and consensus. Despite its advantages, the institutionalization of mediation in India faces several challenges, including legislative gaps, lack of awareness, and limited judicial encouragement. This paper explores the concept, types, legal framework, and judicial endorsement of mediation in India, while critically examining the obstacles impeding its wider acceptance and implementation.

Keywords: Mediation, Alternative Dispute Resolution, Judicial Backlog, Legal Framework, Indian Judiciary, Mediation Bill 2021

1. Introduction

India's judicial system is grappling with an extraordinary backlog of cases, posing a serious threat to the right to a speedy trial as guaranteed under Article 21 of the Constitution. Traditional litigation has become increasingly unaffordable, formal, and time-consuming. In this context, mediation—a core component of ADR—presents a viable alternative that fosters voluntary, efficient, and cost-effective resolution of disputes. Historically rooted in India's

cultural and social systems, including the Panchayat and epics like the *Mahabharata*, mediation is now gaining prominence as a modern legal tool. This research paper evaluates mediation's contemporary relevance, types, legislative and judicial support, and challenges to its institutionalization.

2. Concept and Definitions of Mediation

Mediation is a voluntary and collaborative dispute resolution process wherein a neutral third party (the mediator) facilitates dialogue between disputing parties to help them arrive at a mutually acceptable agreement. The process emphasizes party autonomy and confidentiality, and unlike arbitration or litigation, the mediator does not impose a binding decision.

According to **Black's Law Dictionary**, mediation is defined as "a method of non-binding dispute resolution involving a neutral third party who tries to help the disputing parties to reach a mutually agreeable solution."

The **Cambridge Dictionary** defines it as "the process of talking to two separate people or groups involved in a disagreement to try to help them to agree or find a solution to their problems."

3. Salient Features of Mediation

Mediation offers several features that distinguish it from traditional litigation and other ADR methods:

- **Voluntariness and Party Autonomy:** Participation is voluntary, and the decision-making power rests solely with the parties.
- **Non-binding Nature:** Mediators lack authority to impose a solution, functioning solely as facilitators.
- **Confidentiality:** The process is private, and disclosures made during mediation are inadmissible in future legal proceedings.
- **Interest-based Dialogue:** Focus is placed on parties' interests rather than legal positions, fostering creative and flexible solutions.
- **Cost-effectiveness and Speed:** Mediation is significantly less expensive and time-consuming compared to litigation.

4. Classification of Mediation

Mediation in India can be broadly categorized into the following types:

4.1 Court-referred Mediation

Under **Section 89 of the Code of Civil Procedure, 1908**, courts are empowered to refer pending disputes to mediation. This is especially common in family law matters.

4.2 Private Mediation

Individuals, corporations, and even government entities may voluntarily choose private mediation by appointing a trained mediator for a fee.

4.3 Pre-Institution Mediation in Commercial Disputes

Pursuant to **Section 12A of the Commercial Courts Act, 2015**, amended in 2018, parties involved in commercial disputes above ₹3 lakh must undertake pre-institution mediation before approaching the court, provided no urgent interim relief is sought.

5. Statutory Framework Supporting Mediation in India

India's legislative framework supports mediation through various statutory provisions:

1. **Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Section 4)** – Appoints conciliators to mediate industrial disputes.
2. **Order XXXIIA, CPC, 1908** – Advocates mediation in family and guardianship disputes.
3. **Section 89 CPC & Order X Rule 1A** – Mandates court referral to ADR methods including mediation.
4. **MSME Development Act, 2006 (Section 18)** – Provides conciliation for payment-related disputes.
5. **Companies Act, 2013 (Section 442)** – Enables NCLT and NCLAT to refer disputes to mediation.
6. **Family Laws** – Mandate mediation under:
 - Section 14(2), Hindu Marriage Act, 1955
 - Section 29(2), Special Marriage Act, 1954
 - Section 9, Family Courts Act, 1984
7. **RERA, 2016 (Section 32(g))** – Encourages dispute resolution between promoters and allottees.

8. **Legal Services Authorities Act, 1987** – Establishes legal aid bodies that promote mediation.
9. **Consumer Protection Act, 2019 (Sections 37–38)** – Permits referral to mediation at district forum level.
10. **Companies (Mediation and Conciliation) Rules, 2016** – Provides for a Board of Mediators.
11. **Commercial Courts Act, 2015 (Section 12A)** – Enforces pre-institution mediation for certain commercial cases.

6. Judicial Endorsement of Mediation

Indian courts have actively supported mediation as a tool to alleviate the judiciary's burden:

- **Hussainara Khatoon v. State of Bihar (1979)** – Recognized speedy trial as a fundamental right under Article 21.
- **Salem Advocate Bar Association v. Union of India (2003)** – Urged judicial encouragement of ADR mechanisms, including mediation.
- **Afcons Infrastructure Ltd. v. Cherian Varkey Construction Co. (2010)** – Highlighted the suitability of mediation for various civil disputes.
- **M.R. Krishna Murthi v. New India Assurance Co. Ltd. (2019)** – Recommended enactment of a comprehensive Mediation Act.
- **M. Siddiq v. Mahant Suresh Das (Ayodhya Case, 2019)** – Referred a sensitive religious land dispute to mediation despite lack of consensus, demonstrating judicial confidence in the process.

7. Challenges in the Institutionalization of Mediation

Despite its benefits, mediation in India faces several systemic and socio-cultural hurdles:

1. **Reluctance Among Legal Practitioners:** Many lawyers avoid suggesting mediation due to financial disincentives and unfamiliarity with ADR processes.
2. **Cultural Barriers:** Settlement is often perceived as weakness, discouraging parties from opting for mediation.
3. **Inadequate Judicial Infrastructure:** Lower courts lack structured mediation mechanisms; most initiatives stem from higher judiciary.
4. **Public Unawareness:** General knowledge about mediation remains limited, even though statutory provisions exist.

5. **Absence of Standalone Legislation:** Mediation lacks a dedicated legislative framework. The proposed **Mediation Bill, 2021**, which seeks to regulate and institutionalize the process, remains pending before Parliament.

8. Conclusion and Recommendations

Mediation holds the potential to transform India's justice delivery system by providing a fast, amicable, and accessible mode of dispute resolution. However, its mainstream adoption necessitates multi-stakeholder engagement and structural reforms. Immediate legislative action on the Mediation Bill, 2021 is essential to establish a coherent legal framework that guarantees enforceability, confidentiality, and party autonomy. Simultaneously, awareness campaigns, judicial training, and professional incentives for lawyers must be prioritized. Only through such comprehensive efforts can mediation evolve into a reliable and preferred method of justice delivery in India, supplementing the overburdened judiciary and ensuring the right to timely justice as envisaged under the Constitution.

References

1. Black's Law Dictionary, Eighth Edition, Bryan Garner, South Asian Edition, Thomson Reuters, p. 1003.
2. Cambridge Dictionary, "Mediation" – <https://dictionary.cambridge.org/dictionary/english/mediation>
3. NDTV, "Nearly 5 Crore Pending Cases in Courts, Over 69,000 in Supreme Court" – <https://www.ndtv.com/india-news/nearly-5-crore-pending-cases-in-courts-over-69-000-in-supreme-court-3768720>
4. Supreme Court Judgments:
 - o Hussainara Khaton & Ors. v. State of Bihar, 1979 SCR (3) 532
 - o Salem Advocate Bar Association v. Union of India, (2003) 1 SCC 49
 - o Afcons Infrastructure Ltd. v. Cherian Varkey Construction Co. (P) Ltd., (2010) 8 SCC 24
 - o M.R. Krishna Murthi v. New India Assurance Co. Ltd., 2019 SCC OnLine SC 315
 - o M. Siddiq (D) v. Mahant Suresh Das, Civil Appeal No. 10866–10867 of 2010
5. "Mediation: Current Jurisprudence & Path Ahead" – <https://www.mondaq.com/india/arbitration-dispute-resolution/957898/mediation-current-jurisprudence-and-the-path-ahead>