

INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL FOR LEGAL RESEARCH AND ANALYSIS



Open Access, Refereed Journal Multi-Disciplinary
Peer Reviewed

www.ijlra.com

DISCLAIMER

No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored, transmitted, or distributed in any form or by any means, whether electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording, or otherwise, without prior written permission of the Managing Editor of the *International Journal for Legal Research & Analysis (IJLRA)*.

The views, opinions, interpretations, and conclusions expressed in the articles published in this journal are solely those of the respective authors. They do not necessarily reflect the views of the Editorial Board, Editors, Reviewers, Advisors, or the Publisher of IJLRA.

Although every reasonable effort has been made to ensure the accuracy, authenticity, and proper citation of the content published in this journal, neither the Editorial Board nor IJLRA shall be held liable or responsible, in any manner whatsoever, for any loss, damage, or consequence arising from the use, reliance upon, or interpretation of the information contained in this publication.

The content published herein is intended solely for academic and informational purposes and shall not be construed as legal advice or professional opinion.

**Copyright © International Journal for Legal Research & Analysis.
All rights reserved.**

ABOUT US

The *International Journal for Legal Research & Analysis (IJLRA)* (ISSN: 2582-6433) is a peer-reviewed, academic, online journal published on a monthly basis. The journal aims to provide a comprehensive and interactive platform for the publication of original and high-quality legal research.

IJLRA publishes Short Articles, Long Articles, Research Papers, Case Comments, Book Reviews, Essays, and interdisciplinary studies in the field of law and allied disciplines. The journal seeks to promote critical analysis and informed discourse on contemporary legal, social, and policy issues.

The primary objective of IJLRA is to enhance academic engagement and scholarly dialogue among law students, researchers, academicians, legal professionals, and members of the Bar and Bench. The journal endeavours to establish itself as a credible and widely cited academic publication through the publication of original, well-researched, and analytically sound contributions.

IJLRA welcomes submissions from all branches of law, provided the work is original, unpublished, and submitted in accordance with the prescribed submission guidelines. All manuscripts are subject to a rigorous peer-review process to ensure academic quality, originality, and relevance.

Through its publications, the *International Journal for Legal Research & Analysis* aspires to contribute meaningfully to legal scholarship and the development of law as an instrument of justice and social progress.

PUBLICATION ETHICS, COPYRIGHT & AUTHOR RESPONSIBILITY STATEMENT

The *International Journal for Legal Research and Analysis (IJLRA)* is committed to upholding the highest standards of publication ethics and academic integrity. All manuscripts submitted to the journal must be original, unpublished, and free from plagiarism, data fabrication, falsification, or any form of unethical research or publication practice. Authors are solely responsible for the accuracy, originality, legality, and ethical compliance of their work and must ensure that all sources are properly cited and that necessary permissions for any third-party copyrighted material have been duly obtained prior to submission. Copyright in all published articles vests with IJLRA, unless otherwise expressly stated, and authors grant the journal the irrevocable right to publish, reproduce, distribute, and archive their work in print and electronic formats. The views and opinions expressed in the articles are those of the authors alone and do not reflect the views of the Editors, Editorial Board, Reviewers, or Publisher. IJLRA shall not be liable for any loss, damage, claim, or legal consequence arising from the use, reliance upon, or interpretation of the content published. By submitting a manuscript, the author(s) agree to fully indemnify and hold harmless the journal, its Editor-in-Chief, Editors, Editorial Board, Reviewers, Advisors, Publisher, and Management against any claims, liabilities, or legal proceedings arising out of plagiarism, copyright infringement, defamation, breach of confidentiality, or violation of third-party rights. The journal reserves the absolute right to reject, withdraw, retract, or remove any manuscript or published article in case of ethical or legal violations, without incurring any liability.

RIGHT TO EDUCATION AND ADMISSION OF ECONOMICALLY WEAKER SECTION (EWS) STUDENTS IN INDIA: LEGAL, ADMINISTRATIVE AND SOCIAL PERSPECTIVES

AUTHORED BY - ANOUSHKA NARAYANA PHANSWAL

Affiliation: Student, Amity International School, Sector 44, Noida, India

Abstract

The Right of Children to Free and Compulsory Education Act, 2009 was enacted to operationalise Article 21A of the Constitution of India and to ensure universal and equitable access to elementary education. A key feature of the Act is the requirement under Section 12(1)(c) mandating private unaided schools to reserve twenty-five per cent of seats at the entry level for children belonging to Economically Weaker Sections (EWS) and disadvantaged groups. While this provision seeks to promote social inclusion and reduce educational inequality, its implementation has encountered significant legal, administrative, and social challenges. This paper examines the constitutional and statutory foundations of EWS admissions, analyses administrative mechanisms and implementation gaps, and explores the lived social experiences of EWS students within private schools. Written from the perspective of a school student, the paper highlights the gap between legislative intent and ground realities and proposes measures to strengthen the effectiveness of the Right to Education framework in India.

Keywords: Right to Education, EWS admissions, Article 21A, educational inequality, social inclusion

1. Introduction

Education has long been recognised as a crucial instrument for social transformation, empowerment, and economic mobility. In India, however, access to quality education has historically been shaped by socio-economic inequalities, with children from economically weaker sections facing systemic disadvantages. Recognising education as essential to human dignity and development, the Constitution of India was amended in 2002 to insert Article 21A, guaranteeing free and compulsory education to all children between six and fourteen years of age.

In furtherance of this constitutional mandate, Parliament enacted the Right of Children to Free and Compulsory Education Act, 2009 (RTE Act). Among its most debated provisions is Section 12(1)(c), which obligates private unaided schools to admit at least twenty-five per cent of students from EWS and disadvantaged groups at the entry level. While the provision is progressive in intent, its implementation has raised questions relating to legal compliance, administrative efficiency, and social integration. From the perspective of a school student observing these dynamics, this paper seeks to critically analyse whether the promise of equal educational opportunity under the RTE Act has been realised for EWS students.

2. Research Questions and Methodology

This paper seeks to address the following research questions:

1. What is the constitutional and legal basis for EWS admissions under the RTE Act?
2. What administrative challenges affect the implementation of EWS admissions?
3. What social barriers do EWS students face after admission into private schools?
4. How can the existing framework be strengthened to ensure meaningful inclusion?

The research adopts a doctrinal and socio-legal methodology. It is based on an analysis of constitutional provisions, statutory laws, judicial decisions, and government reports, supplemented by observation-based insights from the school environment.

3. Constitutional and Legal Framework

The constitutional foundation of the RTE Act lies in Article 21A, which imposes a positive obligation on the State to ensure access to education. Articles 14 and 15 further reinforce the principle of equality and non-discrimination, forming the normative basis for inclusive education policies. Together, these provisions reflect the Constitution's commitment to substantive equality rather than mere formal equality.

Section 12(1)(c) of the RTE Act mandates that private unaided schools reserve twenty-five per cent of seats for children belonging to EWS and disadvantaged groups. The objective of this provision is not only to provide access to private education but also to promote social integration by preventing economic segregation within schools. The constitutional validity of this mandate was upheld by the Supreme Court in *Society for Unaided Private Schools of Rajasthan v. Union of India* (2012), where the Court recognised education as a social welfare responsibility shared by both the State and private institutions.

4. Administrative Mechanism and Implementation Challenges

The implementation of EWS admissions under the RTE Act is primarily the responsibility of State governments, which prescribe eligibility criteria, income thresholds, and admission procedures. In most States, admissions are conducted through online portals followed by computerised lotteries to ensure transparency.

Despite these mechanisms, administrative challenges remain pervasive. Many eligible families face difficulties in obtaining income certificates, navigating digital platforms, and understanding application procedures. Limited awareness and language barriers further restrict access. Additionally, delays in reimbursement to private schools for EWS admissions often lead to resistance or procedural non-compliance by institutions.

From a student's perspective, these administrative inefficiencies undermine the purpose of the law and restrict access for those it seeks to benefit most.

5. Social Integration and School Environment

Admission into a private school does not automatically guarantee inclusion or equal treatment. EWS students often experience social challenges arising from economic disparities among peers. Differences in uniforms, extracurricular participation, access to learning materials, and social interactions can contribute to feelings of alienation.

In some cases, EWS students are perceived as beneficiaries of charity rather than equal stakeholders in the educational process. Teachers and administrators may lack training to address the needs of first-generation learners, resulting in academic and emotional challenges. Such experiences raise concerns about whether the constitutional values of dignity and equality are being upheld within school environments.

6. Financial and Institutional Constraints

Private unaided schools frequently cite delayed reimbursements and inadequate funding as reasons for dissatisfaction with the EWS admission mandate. While these concerns merit administrative attention, they cannot justify denial of admission or discriminatory practices. The absence of effective grievance redressal mechanisms further disadvantages EWS parents and students, who often lack the resources to pursue legal remedies.

The imbalance between legal obligation and institutional accountability highlights the need for stronger monitoring and enforcement frameworks.

7. Judicial Interpretation and Role of Courts

Indian courts have played a critical role in interpreting the RTE Act and balancing competing interests. Judicial decisions have consistently emphasised the welfare-oriented nature of the legislation while recognising the autonomy of private institutions. Courts have reiterated that the objective of EWS admissions is social integration rather than token compliance.

However, judicial intervention alone cannot address structural and social challenges. Effective implementation requires coordinated administrative action and societal acceptance of inclusive education.

8. Suggestions and Way Forward

To strengthen the EWS admission framework, greater emphasis should be placed on awareness campaigns targeting eligible families. Simplification of documentation requirements and provision of offline application assistance can improve accessibility. Schools should adopt inclusive practices, including academic support programmes and teacher sensitisation initiatives.

Timely reimbursement to private schools and regular audits can enhance compliance. Most importantly, education must be viewed as a shared social responsibility aimed at fostering equality, dignity, and mutual respect.

9. Conclusion

The Right to Education Act represents a landmark step towards achieving educational equality in India. The EWS admission provision reflects a constitutional commitment to social justice and inclusion. However, the gap between legislative intent and lived reality remains significant. From the perspective of a school student, it is evident that true equality in education requires not only access to classrooms but also acceptance, dignity, and support within them. Strengthening legal enforcement, administrative efficiency, and social attitudes is essential to fulfil the transformative promise of Article 21A.

References

1. Constitution of India, Articles 14, 15 and 21A.
2. Right of Children to Free and Compulsory Education Act, 2009.
3. Society for Unaided Private Schools of Rajasthan v. Union of India, (2012) 6 SCC 1.
4. Ministry of Education, Government of India, RTE Guidelines.
5. National Commission for Protection of Child Rights, Reports on RTE Implementation.

