

INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL FOR LEGAL RESEARCH AND ANALYSIS



Open Access, Refereed Journal Multi-Disciplinary
Peer Reviewed

www.ijlra.com

DISCLAIMER

No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored, transmitted, or distributed in any form or by any means, whether electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording, or otherwise, without prior written permission of the Managing Editor of the *International Journal for Legal Research & Analysis (IJLRA)*.

The views, opinions, interpretations, and conclusions expressed in the articles published in this journal are solely those of the respective authors. They do not necessarily reflect the views of the Editorial Board, Editors, Reviewers, Advisors, or the Publisher of IJLRA.

Although every reasonable effort has been made to ensure the accuracy, authenticity, and proper citation of the content published in this journal, neither the Editorial Board nor IJLRA shall be held liable or responsible, in any manner whatsoever, for any loss, damage, or consequence arising from the use, reliance upon, or interpretation of the information contained in this publication.

The content published herein is intended solely for academic and informational purposes and shall not be construed as legal advice or professional opinion.

**Copyright © International Journal for Legal Research & Analysis.
All rights reserved.**

ABOUT US

The *International Journal for Legal Research & Analysis (IJLRA)* (ISSN: 2582-6433) is a peer-reviewed, academic, online journal published on a monthly basis. The journal aims to provide a comprehensive and interactive platform for the publication of original and high-quality legal research.

IJLRA publishes Short Articles, Long Articles, Research Papers, Case Comments, Book Reviews, Essays, and interdisciplinary studies in the field of law and allied disciplines. The journal seeks to promote critical analysis and informed discourse on contemporary legal, social, and policy issues.

The primary objective of IJLRA is to enhance academic engagement and scholarly dialogue among law students, researchers, academicians, legal professionals, and members of the Bar and Bench. The journal endeavours to establish itself as a credible and widely cited academic publication through the publication of original, well-researched, and analytically sound contributions.

IJLRA welcomes submissions from all branches of law, provided the work is original, unpublished, and submitted in accordance with the prescribed submission guidelines. All manuscripts are subject to a rigorous peer-review process to ensure academic quality, originality, and relevance.

Through its publications, the *International Journal for Legal Research & Analysis* aspires to contribute meaningfully to legal scholarship and the development of law as an instrument of justice and social progress.

PUBLICATION ETHICS, COPYRIGHT & AUTHOR RESPONSIBILITY STATEMENT

The *International Journal for Legal Research and Analysis (IJLRA)* is committed to upholding the highest standards of publication ethics and academic integrity. All manuscripts submitted to the journal must be original, unpublished, and free from plagiarism, data fabrication, falsification, or any form of unethical research or publication practice. Authors are solely responsible for the accuracy, originality, legality, and ethical compliance of their work and must ensure that all sources are properly cited and that necessary permissions for any third-party copyrighted material have been duly obtained prior to submission. Copyright in all published articles vests with IJLRA, unless otherwise expressly stated, and authors grant the journal the irrevocable right to publish, reproduce, distribute, and archive their work in print and electronic formats. The views and opinions expressed in the articles are those of the authors alone and do not reflect the views of the Editors, Editorial Board, Reviewers, or Publisher. IJLRA shall not be liable for any loss, damage, claim, or legal consequence arising from the use, reliance upon, or interpretation of the content published. By submitting a manuscript, the author(s) agree to fully indemnify and hold harmless the journal, its Editor-in-Chief, Editors, Editorial Board, Reviewers, Advisors, Publisher, and Management against any claims, liabilities, or legal proceedings arising out of plagiarism, copyright infringement, defamation, breach of confidentiality, or violation of third-party rights. The journal reserves the absolute right to reject, withdraw, retract, or remove any manuscript or published article in case of ethical or legal violations, without incurring any liability.

FINTECH DISRUPTION IN BANKING BUSINESS IN INDIA - THE LEGAL CHALLENGES

AUTHORED BY - SOURAV KR. SINGH

BBA LLB 'B', 7th Semester

KIIT School of Law

Abstract

Financial technology (FinTech) has changed the Indian banking sector with advances in digital payments, loans, neobanking, blockchain, and data-driven financial services. The Unified Payments Interface (UPI), Aadhaar, mobile internet penetration, and a significant unbanked population have propelled India to the top of the global FinTech adoption rankings. However, FinTech disruption raises significant legal, regulatory, and policy issues, including consumer protection, data privacy, cybersecurity, algorithmic fairness, systemic risk, and regulatory arbitrage. Existing Indian legislation—the RBI Act, Banking Regulation Act, Payment and Settlement Systems Act, Information Technology Act, and Digital Personal Data Protection Act, 2023—does not adequately address emerging technological models and intermediaries. This study investigates the nature of FinTech disruption in India's banking industry, analyzes evolving regulatory reactions, investigates major legal concerns, and recommends reforms to maintain balanced growth. The study indicates that India needs a technology neutral, principle-based FinTech regulatory framework that supports innovation while ensuring consumer welfare, accountability, financial stability, and market integrity.

Introduction

The rise of Financial Technology (FinTech) has fundamentally altered how banking and financial services are delivered in India. Over the last decade, India has experienced tremendous digital transformation, owing to the growth of smartphones, better internet access, and government initiatives such as Aadhaar, Jan Dhan Yojana, and Digital India. FinTech firms have emerged as key participants in payment systems, mobile wallets, digital lending, investment platforms, insurance technologies, and neobanking. According to the Reserve Bank of India (RBI), India's digital payments ecosystem has developed tremendously since the implementation of UPI in 2016 and is still expanding at unprecedented rates (RBI, 2023).

FinTech has disrupted the traditional banking model by offering faster, less expensive, and more convenient financial services, hence expanding financial inclusion and competition. However, this disruption presents substantial legal and regulatory challenges. Traditional banking laws were created for brick-and-mortar institutions and are unable to accommodate new digital models such as peer-to-peer (P2P) lending, app-based neobanks, Buy Now Pay Later (BNPL) credit, and blockchain transactions. The legal system must address concerns about consumer protection, data privacy, cybersecurity, algorithmic transparency, fair lending practices, and systemic risk. As India's FinTech sector gains traction, it is vital to assess the regulatory structure and identify the adjustments required to strike a balance between innovation and safety.

Nature of FinTech Disruption in Banking

FinTech innovation has transformed practically every element of the banking industry, starting with digital payments, which have significantly reduced reliance on cash transactions. UPI, created by the National Payments Corporation of India (NPCI), enables immediate interbank transactions via smartphones and has formed the foundation of India's digital financial ecosystem. The success of UPI has prompted a number of private FinTech businesses, including Google Pay, PhonePe, Paytm, Amazon Pay, and BharatPe, to enter and dominate the payments market. These companies provide bank-like services without being regulated banks, raising worries about regulatory arbitrage and accountability. Mobile wallets and prepaid payment instruments (PPIs) boosted digital payments by allowing for fast, low-value customer transactions (NPCI, 2023). This move to digital channels has compelled traditional banks to modernize their technology infrastructure, collaborate with FinTechs, and introduce app-based financial services.

Another significant source of disruption is digital financing. FinTech lending platforms employ alternative data such as smartphone usage, e-commerce transactions, geographical trends, and social media behavior to provide fast loans, typically with little paperwork. While such models improve loan availability for individuals and small enterprises that are excluded from traditional banking, they also raise concerns about fairness, transparency, and data exploitation. Complaints about predatory lending, high interest rates, forceful recovery tactics, and data harvesting spurred the RBI to establish the Digital Lending Guidelines in 2022. These recommendations are intended to govern digital lenders and ensure that only regulated

organizations, not unregistered apps, can lend money.

Neobanking is another disruptive factor in the Indian banking sector. Neobanks operate fully online, without any physical branches, and offer services such as savings accounts, payments, and personal money management via mobile applications. Because Indian legislation does not now allow for wholly digital banks without a banking license, neobanks work in collaboration with licensed banks or non-banking financial enterprises. This approach generates ambiguity about liability, deposit protection, and consumer redressal, prompting the question of whether neobanks should be properly registered and licensed.

Blockchain technology and cryptoassets are also playing an increasing role in global FinTech innovation. Although cryptocurrencies are not authorized in India, blockchain has been investigated for financial procedures like as cross-border transfers, settlements, and identity verification (NASSCOM, 2021). The Supreme Court's decision in Internet and Mobile Association of India v. RBI (2020) has emphasized the importance of appropriate regulation rather than sweeping bans.

The use of artificial intelligence (AI) and machine learning (ML) into financial services is another source of disruption. AI systems are increasingly being used by banks and fintechs to assess risk, detect fraud, score credit, and provide robo-advisory services. However, algorithmic decision-making necessitates legal structures that guarantee openness, justice, and accountability.

Thus, FinTech disruption extends to payments, lending, banking, advisory services, compliance systems, and risk management. While this innovation improves efficiency and financial inclusivity, it also presents complicated problems to regulators tasked with ensuring financial stability.

Legal and Regulatory Challenges

One of the most significant legal concerns is regulatory arbitrage, in which FinTech companies perform bank-like services without being subject to the same regulatory obligations. According to the Banking Regulation Act of 1949, traditional banks must comply with stringent prudential standards, capital adequacy requirements, and consumer protection obligations. FinTech

companies, on the other hand, may operate outside of the regulatory framework or under less stringent standards, resulting in unfair competition and exposing consumers to danger (Ghosh & Suri, 2021). The RBI must therefore ensure that functionally identical operations are regulated in the same way, regardless of whether they are undertaken by a bank or a technology business.

Data security and privacy are another significant concern. FinTech companies collect and handle vast amounts of personal and financial information via mobile apps and digital interfaces. The Digital Personal Data Protection Act of 2023 provides obligations for consent, notice, data minimization, and cross-border transfers. However, FinTech business models rely heavily on data analytics, which raises issues about profiling, algorithmic bias, data sharing with third parties, and monitoring. Financial data is sensitive, hence stricter security and encryption standards are required to defend consumer interests (Mehta, 2024).

Cybersecurity is also an important legal issue. With the advent of online financial transactions, India has experienced a surge in cyber crime, phishing attempts, and identity theft. Hackers frequently attack digital wallets, UPI accounts, and banking apps. Banks and FinTechs are obligated to follow the Information Technology Act of 2000 and CERT-In guidelines, however consumers frequently suffer losses owing to poor safeguards. When numerous intermediaries—banks, payment aggregators, and FinTech apps—are involved in a transaction, the legal issue of liability becomes more complicated (CERT-In, 2023). Consumers require clearer redress methods and compensation laws in the event of unlawful transactions.

Consumer protection is another urgent concern. Many FinTech companies that offer financial services, such as BNPL credit, microloans, and advising tools, are not covered by existing consumer redressal frameworks like the RBI Ombudsman Scheme. Complaints regarding hidden fees, aggressive debt recovery, mis-selling, and disinformation have risen significantly in recent years. While the Consumer Protection Act of 2019 applies broadly, the financial sector requires specific standards to promote transparency, disclosure, grievance resolution, and accountability.

The lack of a comprehensive FinTech law in India leads to a fragmented regulatory system. Multiple statutes govern FinTech activities, including the RBI Act, the Payment and Settlement Systems Act, the IT Act, the P2P Lending Regulations (2017), and the Digital Lending

Guidelines 2022. However, several emergent models—neobanks, blockchain-based finance, and algorithmic underwriting—do not fit well into established classifications. India requires a cohesive and dynamic FinTech framework to provide regulatory clarity and promote responsible innovation.

The presence of BigTech giants such as Google, Amazon, and Meta in banking services creates competition law concerns. Their control over data and digital infrastructure enables them to affect market outcomes and maybe engage in anti-competitive behavior such as self-preferencing, bundling, and predatory pricing. The Competition Commission of India (CCI) must maintain fair competition in digital markets while avoiding excessive regulation that stifles innovation (CCI, 2022).

Finally, the emergence of blockchain and cryptoassets raises concerns about money laundering, terrorism financing, taxation, and investor protection. Despite the RBI's warnings about the risks of private cryptocurrencies, the lack of a legal framework leaves consumers vulnerable. The Supreme Court has already stated that regulatory proportionality, not prohibition, should drive legislation in this area (Supreme Court, 2020).

Judicial and Regulatory Responses

Through a number of rulings and guidelines, India's judiciary and regulators have tried to address the disruption caused by FinTech. By establishing sector-specific regulations, publishing circulars, and establishing sandboxes, the RBI has taken a calibrated approach. By limiting lending exposure and explicitly recognizing P2P platforms, the 2017 P2P Lending Regulations introduced control while permitting the industry to expand. In reaction to growing concerns of illicit lending apps and predatory lending, the Digital Lending Guidelines of 2022 were released. These regulations forbid pass-through accounts, demand explicit fee disclosure, restrict direct financial transfers between lenders and borrowers, and place due diligence requirements on regulated organizations that collaborate with lending applications (RBI, 2022). The RBI has implemented data-driven monitoring in supervisory technology (SupTech) to check compliance on digital platforms. In order to promote ethical FinTech growth, it has also set up an Innovation Hub. To safeguard users, the NPCI has consistently improved security and transaction monitoring procedures using UPI and Aadhaar-enabled technologies. Although very small, judicial action has had a significant impact. The Supreme Court

overturned the RBI's 2018 circular prohibiting banks from working with cryptocurrency exchanges in *Internet & Mobile Association of India v. RBI (2020)*. The Supreme Court ruled that while the RBI has extensive authority to control financial stability, any limitations must be reasonable and supported by empirical data (Supreme Court, 2020). This ruling upholds the necessity of balanced rather than strict control of new technology.

Policy Gaps and Need for Reform

To stay up with innovation, India's FinTech economy needs extensive legal reform. First, India has to enact a single FinTech law that is comparable to those being created in the UK, Singapore, and the EU. FinTech companies would be defined, neobanks, digital lenders, and payment intermediaries would have regulatory frameworks, and standardized consumer protection requirements would be established under a consolidated law.

Second, fairness, data minimization, and algorithmic transparency must be incorporated into data governance in addition to the fundamental consent model. To avoid prejudice, discrimination, and opaque decision-making, FinTech algorithms used for risk assessment and credit scoring must be routinely audited. Customers ought to be able to contest or provide an explanation for automated choices that impact their financial rights.

Third, there is a need to improve cybersecurity standards. Strong encryption procedures, incident reporting guidelines, and cyber hygiene standards ought to be required of FinTechs. To ensure that consumers understand who is responsible for financial fraud, clear liability regulations must be put in place.

Fourth, stringent enforcement of pricing, interest rate, and recovery procedure openness is necessary for digital lending. The RBI's guidelines for digital lending are a positive move, but in order to adequately monitor and punish violators, statutory support is required. Currently functioning in a hazy area, BNPL credit ought to be legally classified under lending standards. Fifth, in order to promote responsible experimentation, India has to broaden its innovation frameworks and regulatory sandboxes. While maintaining systemic coherence, a multi-regulator sandbox comprising RBI, SEBI, IRDAI, and PFRDA would encourage innovation across financial sectors.

Lastly, India needs to think about developing a suitable regulatory framework for blockchain-based financial services, such as decentralized finance (DeFi), tokenized assets, and stablecoins. Despite the considerable risks, regulatory clarity is crucial to preventing illegal activity and safeguarding consumers.

Conclusion

By bringing new technology, business models, and intermediaries that threaten established regulatory frameworks, FinTech disruption has drastically altered the Indian banking industry. India has one of the most vibrant digital financial ecosystems in the world thanks to UPI, Aadhaar, smartphone adoption, and creative start-ups. But the quick speed of invention also raises legal issues with cybersecurity, data governance, consumer protection, competition, and systemic stability. The intricacies of digital finance cannot be adequately addressed by current legislation, which was created for traditional banking. Because of the loopholes created by the fragmented regulatory approach, consumers are vulnerable to financial fraud, predatory lending, and data exploitation.

India need a thorough, principle-based FinTech regulatory law that guarantees technology neutrality and lays out precise requirements for neobanks, digital lenders, payment intermediaries, and AI-based financial instruments. Transparency, accountability, fair lending standards, safe data practices, and strong cybersecurity requirements must be given top priority in the regulatory framework. To strike a balance between innovation and stability, judicial oversight and proportionate regulation will be essential. In the end, a legal framework that safeguards consumers, encourages innovation, and fortifies the nation's financial system must direct India's FinTech revolution.

Bibliography (APA 7th Edition)

- CERT-In. (2023). *Cybersecurity guidelines for financial institutions*. Government of India.
- Competition Commission of India (CCI). (2022). *Market study on the fintech sector*. CCI Publications.
- Ghosh, S., & Suri, R. (2021). FinTech in India: Regulatory challenges and opportunities. *Economic and Political Weekly*, 56(12), 22–29.
- Mehta, A. (2024). Data protection and algorithmic governance in Indian financial services.

Journal of FinTech Law and Policy, 3(1), 45–63.

NASSCOM. (2021). *Blockchain in banking: Opportunities and risks*. NASSCOM Research Foundation.

National Payments Corporation of India (NPCI). (2023). *UPI annual report*. NPCI.

Reserve Bank of India. (2022). *Guidelines on digital lending*. RBI Publications.

Reserve Bank of India. (2023). *Annual report on digital payments*. RBI.

Supreme Court of India. (2020). *Internet and Mobile Association of India v. Reserve Bank of India*, (2020) 10 SCC 274.

