

INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL FOR LEGAL RESEARCH AND ANALYSIS



Open Access, Refereed Journal Multi-Disciplinary
Peer Reviewed

www.ijlra.com

DISCLAIMER

No part of this publication may be reproduced or copied in any form by any means without prior written permission of Managing Editor of IJLRA. The views expressed in this publication are purely personal opinions of the authors and do not reflect the views of the Editorial Team of IJLRA.

Though every effort has been made to ensure that the information in Volume II Issue 7 is accurate and appropriately cited/referenced, neither the Editorial Board nor IJLRA shall be held liable or responsible in any manner whatsoever for any consequences for any action taken by anyone on the basis of information in the Journal.

Copyright © International Journal for Legal Research & Analysis

EDITORIALTEAM

EDITORS

Dr. Samrat Datta

Dr. Samrat Datta Seedling School of Law and Governance, Jaipur National University, Jaipur. Dr. Samrat Datta is currently associated with Seedling School of Law and Governance, Jaipur National University, Jaipur. Dr. Datta has completed his graduation i.e., B.A.LL.B. from Law College Dehradun, Hemvati Nandan Bahuguna Garhwal University, Srinagar, Uttarakhand. He is an alumnus of KIIT University, Bhubaneswar where he pursued his post-graduation (LL.M.) in Criminal Law and subsequently completed his Ph.D. in Police Law and Information Technology from the Pacific Academy of Higher Education and Research University, Udaipur in 2020. His area of interest and research is Criminal and Police Law. Dr. Datta has a teaching experience of 7 years in various law schools across North India and has held administrative positions like Academic Coordinator, Centre Superintendent for Examinations, Deputy Controller of Examinations, Member of the Proctorial Board



Dr. Namita Jain

Head & Associate Professor

School of Law, JECRC University, Jaipur Ph.D. (Commercial Law) LL.M., UGC -NET Post Graduation Diploma in Taxation law and Practice, Bachelor of Commerce.

Teaching Experience: 12 years, AWARDS AND RECOGNITION of Dr. Namita Jain are - ICF Global Excellence Award 2020 in the category of educationalist by I Can Foundation, India. India Women Empowerment Award in the category of "Emerging Excellence in Academics by Prime Time & Utkrisht Bharat Foundation, New Delhi. (2020). Conferred in FL Book of Top 21 Record Holders in the category of education by Fashion Lifestyle Magazine, New Delhi. (2020). Certificate of Appreciation for organizing and managing the Professional Development Training Program on IPR in Collaboration with Trade Innovations Services, Jaipur on March 14th, 2019



Mrs.S.Kalpana

Assistant professor of Law

Mrs.S.Kalpana, presently Assistant professor of Law, VelTech Rangarajan Dr.Sagunthala R & D Institute of Science and Technology, Avadi. Formerly Assistant professor of Law, Vels University in the year 2019 to 2020, Worked as Guest Faculty, Chennai Dr.Ambedkar Law College, Pudupakkam. Published one book. Published 8Articles in various reputed Law Journals. Conducted 1Moot court competition and participated in nearly 80 National and International seminars and webinars conducted on various subjects of Law. Did ML in Criminal Law and Criminal Justice Administration. 10 paper presentations in various National and International seminars. Attended more than 10 FDP programs. Ph.D. in Law pursuing.



Avinash Kumar



Avinash Kumar has completed his Ph.D. in International Investment Law from the Dept. of Law & Governance, Central University of South Bihar. His research work is on "International Investment Agreement and State's right to regulate Foreign Investment." He qualified UGC-NET and has been selected for the prestigious ICSSR Doctoral Fellowship. He is an alumnus of the Faculty of Law, University of Delhi. Formerly he has been elected as Students Union President of Law Centre-1, University of Delhi. Moreover, he completed his LL.M. from the University of Delhi (2014-16), dissertation on "Cross-border Merger & Acquisition"; LL.B. from the University of Delhi (2011-14), and B.A. (Hons.) from Maharaja Agrasen College, University of Delhi. He has also obtained P.G. Diploma in IPR from the Indian Society of International Law, New Delhi. He has qualified UGC – NET examination and has been awarded ICSSR – Doctoral Fellowship. He has published six-plus articles and presented 9 plus papers in national and international seminars/conferences. He participated in several workshops on research methodology and teaching and learning.

ABOUT US

INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL FOR LEGAL RESEARCH & ANALYSIS
ISSN

2582-6433 is an Online Journal is Monthly, Peer Review, Academic Journal, Published online, that seeks to provide an interactive platform for the publication of Short Articles, Long Articles, Book Review, Case Comments, Research Papers, Essay in the field of Law & Multidisciplinary issue. Our aim is to upgrade the level of interaction and discourse about contemporary issues of law. We are eager to become a highly cited academic publication, through quality contributions from students, academics, professionals from the industry, the bar and the bench. INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL FOR LEGAL RESEARCH & ANALYSIS ISSN 2582-6433 welcomes contributions from all legal branches, as long as the work is original, unpublished and is in consonance with the submission guidelines.

THE UNIFORM CIVIL CODE (UCC)

AUTHORED BY - VIVEK YADAV

College: Amity University

Course: B.A., LL. B (H)

CO-AUTHOR - DR. SARITA YADAV

Assistant Professor

Abstract

The Uniform Civil Code (UCC) has long occupied a central place in India's socio-legal discourse. Mandated under Article 44 of the Directive Principles of State Policy in the Indian Constitution, the UCC envisions a uniform set of personal laws governing all citizens irrespective of religion, caste, or community. Despite its constitutional endorsement, the UCC remains one of the most debated and polarizing issues in India. Advocates argue that it is essential for fostering gender justice, national integration, and secularism. Critics view it as a threat to religious freedom and cultural identity. This paper examines the historical context, constitutional foundations, judicial pronouncements, and political dynamics surrounding the UCC. It further explores comparative international perspectives, evaluates its socio-legal significance, and proposes a pragmatic roadmap toward its implementation. By critically analysing the contentious yet crucial terrain of personal law reform, this paper aims to contribute to the ongoing dialogue on balancing pluralism with constitutional equality.

1. Introduction

India is celebrated for its remarkable diversity. With over a billion people following various religions, customs, and traditions, the Indian legal system has accommodated this plurality through a system of personal laws. These laws govern matters such as marriage, divorce, inheritance, and adoption and vary according to religious affiliation. While criminal and civil laws are uniformly applied, personal laws have been allowed to remain religion-specific.

The **Uniform Civil Code (UCC)** refers to the proposition of replacing these diverse personal laws with a single set of secular laws applicable to all citizens. Article 44 of the Indian Constitution urges the State to endeavour to secure a UCC for its citizens. However, this

directive has been met with resistance due to the sensitive intersection of law, religion, and identity.

The UCC is not merely a legal issue but also a question of identity, secularism, and justice. The debate it provokes is one that pits individual rights against collective religious freedoms, and modernity against tradition. This report seeks to unravel the legal, historical, and socio-political threads that make the UCC one of the most complex debates in Indian constitutional law.

2. Historical and Constitutional Background

2.1 Pre-Independence Era

The evolution of personal laws in India can be traced back to the British colonial administration, which made a conscious decision to not interfere with religious laws. In 1835, a report recommended the codification of Indian laws with emphasis on uniformity in areas such as contracts, crimes, and evidence. However, personal laws were left to the discretion of respective communities.

The British codified Hindu laws through various enactments (e.g., Hindu Widow Remarriage Act, 1856; Hindu Gains of Learning Act, 1930), while Muslim laws were largely left untouched. This differentiated legal treatment laid the foundation for the post-independence debate on UCC.

2.2 Constituent Assembly Debates

The framers of the Constitution were divided over the inclusion of UCC. Dr. B.R. Ambedkar strongly advocated for its incorporation, believing it to be essential for national unity and gender justice. Others, particularly from the Muslim community, viewed it as a threat to religious freedom.

The result was a compromise: the UCC was included in Part IV of the Constitution under the Directive Principles of State Policy (Article 44), rendering it non-enforceable by courts but morally binding on the State.

3. Personal Laws in India: A Mosaic of Customs

India's personal laws are rooted in religion and vary widely across communities:

3.1 Hindu Personal Laws

Codified under the Hindu Code Bills in the 1950s, these laws govern Hindus, Buddhists, Jains,

and Sikhs. Key legislation includes:

- Hindu Marriage Act, 1955
- Hindu Succession Act, 1956
- Hindu Adoption and Maintenance Act, 1956
- Hindu Minority and Guardianship Act, 1956

These laws provide relatively progressive provisions, especially after amendments like the 2005 reform in the Hindu Succession Act that granted daughters equal rights in ancestral property.

3.2 Muslim Personal Laws

Derived from the Quran and Hadith, Muslim personal laws are largely uncodified and based on interpretations of Islamic jurisprudence. They govern marriage (nikah), divorce (talaq), maintenance (nafaqah), and inheritance (waris). Practices like triple talaq, polygamy, and unequal inheritance rights for women have been widely criticized for violating gender equality.

3.3 Christian and Parsi Laws

Christians are governed by the Indian Christian Marriage Act, 1872 and the Divorce Act, 1869. Parsis follow the Parsi Marriage and Divorce Act, 1936. Both communities have specific inheritance and marriage laws, though they are relatively more standardized than Muslim personal laws.

3.4 Tribal and Customary Laws

Several tribal communities follow customary laws that vary widely by region and community. These often remain outside the purview of codified legal systems and are governed by traditional institutions.

4. Judicial Pronouncements and Evolving Jurisprudence

Indian judiciary has, time and again, underscored the need for a UCC to uphold constitutional equality.

4.1 Shah Bano Case (1985)

In this landmark case, the Supreme Court ruled in favor of Shah Bano, a Muslim woman seeking maintenance from her husband under Section 125 of the Criminal Procedure Code (CrPC). The judgment was seen as a move toward gender justice but was met with political

backlash, leading to the enactment of the **Muslim Women (Protection of Rights on Divorce) Act, 1986**, which diluted the ruling.

4.2 Sarla Mudgal Case (1995)

The Court held that a Hindu man converting to Islam to marry again without divorcing his first wife constituted bigamy. The judgment emphasized the need for a UCC to prevent misuse of religious conversion for circumventing personal laws.

4.3 Shayara Bano v. Union of India (2017)

The Supreme Court declared the practice of instant triple talaq (talaq-e-biddat) unconstitutional, reaffirming the primacy of constitutional rights over personal laws.

4.4 Joseph Shine v. Union of India (2018)

While not directly linked to UCC, this case on adultery law underscored the Court's commitment to gender equality and constitutional morality.

5. Arguments in Favour of the Uniform Civil Code

5.1 Equality Before Law

Personal laws often discriminate on the basis of gender. A UCC would ensure equal legal status for all citizens, irrespective of religion or gender, aligning with Article 14 (Right to Equality).

5.2 Gender Justice

Women across communities have been denied equal rights in matters of inheritance, maintenance, and divorce. A UCC would remove discriminatory practices and ensure empowerment.

5.3 Secularism

A uniform civil code reinforces the principle of secularism by ensuring that civil laws are not governed by religion but by common constitutional principles.

5.4 National Integration

A common civil code could foster a sense of unity and reduce communal tensions arising from differential legal treatment.

5.5 Simplification of Laws

A uniform law would simplify the complex web of personal laws, making the legal system more accessible and understandable.

6. Arguments Against the Uniform Civil Code

6.1 Threat to Religious Freedom

Opponents argue that personal laws are integral to religious identity, and a UCC would amount to State interference in religious practices, violating Article 25 (Right to Religion).

6.2 Fear of Majoritarian Imposition

There is apprehension among minorities that a UCC might be a guise for imposing the majority Hindu values on all communities.

6.3 Cultural Pluralism

India's strength lies in its pluralism. Uniformity might erode the country's cultural and legal diversity.

6.4 Lack of Consensus

Given the political and religious sensitivities, enforcing a UCC without broader societal consensus could lead to social unrest.

7. Comparative International Perspectives

Several democratic nations have implemented civil codes that apply uniformly:

- **France and Germany:** Have comprehensive civil codes applying to all citizens, irrespective of religion.
- **Turkey:** Adopted a secular civil code in 1926, inspired by the Swiss model, replacing Islamic laws.
- **Tunisia:** Prohibits polygamy and follows a progressive family law system.

India can learn from these examples while tailoring reforms to suit its pluralistic fabric.

8. Goa: A Model for UCC?

The state of Goa is often cited as a successful example of a Uniform Civil Code. The Goa Civil Code, a legacy of Portuguese rule, governs all residents irrespective of religion. It includes

provisions for equal division of property and mandatory registration of marriages. However, it is not entirely uniform and has exceptions for certain communities.

Nevertheless, Goa provides a valuable template for gradual and region-specific implementation of UCC.

9. Recent Developments and Political Discourse

In recent years, the debate on UCC has gained momentum. The **Law Commission of India** in its 2018 report opined that a UCC is "neither necessary nor desirable" at the moment but called for reform in personal laws to eliminate discrimination.

In 2023 and 2024, several political parties reignited the discourse, with some proposing to introduce UCC legislations in specific states. Public opinion is sharply divided, reflecting deep-rooted concerns about identity and rights.

10. Pathways Toward Implementation

Rather than enforcing a UCC unilaterally, a phased and participatory approach may be more effective:

10.1 Gender-Neutral Reforms

Begin by reforming personal laws to ensure gender equality, such as equal inheritance rights and uniform grounds for divorce.

10.2 Optional Civil Code

Introduce an optional UCC that citizens may voluntarily adopt, allowing gradual acceptance.

10.3 Stakeholder Engagement

Engage religious leaders, legal scholars, and civil society in drafting a balanced and inclusive civil code.

10.4 Public Awareness

Promote legal literacy and public debates to foster consensus and reduce resistance.

11. Conclusion

The Uniform Civil Code remains one of the most aspirational yet elusive goals of Indian constitutionalism. It represents the confluence of three core values: equality, secularism, and justice. While its implementation poses significant legal and social challenges, the need for reform is undeniable—particularly in addressing the gender inequalities embedded in personal laws.

Rather than perceiving UCC as a threat to religious identity, it should be seen as a means to harmonize diversity with constitutional values. India must navigate this sensitive terrain with empathy, inclusivity, and commitment to justice. The future of UCC lies not in coercive legislation but in democratic consensus and progressive reform that upholds the dignity of every citizen.

References

1. The Constitution of India (Article 14, 25, 44)
2. Law Commission of India, Consultation Paper on Reform of Family Law, 2018
3. Mohd. Ahmed Khan v. Shah Bano Begum, AIR 1985 SC 945
4. Sarla Mudgal v. Union of India, AIR 1995 SC 1531
5. Shayara Bano v. Union of India, (2017) 9 SCC 1
6. Flavia Agnes, *Law and Gender Inequality: The Politics of Women's Rights in India*, Oxford University Press
7. D.D. Basu, *Introduction to the Constitution of India*, LexisNexis
8. Upendra Baxi, *The Crisis of the Indian Legal System*, Vikas Publishing
9. V. R. Krishna Iyer, *Off the Bench*, Eastern Book Company
10. Seervai, H.M., *Constitutional Law of India*, Universal Law Publishing