INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL FOR LEGAL RESEARCH AND ANALYSIS

ISSN: 2582-6433

November 2026

RESEARCH ARTICLE

Unplugging In The Digital Era: A Comparative Legal And Policy Analysis Of The Right To Disconnect

Megavannan N

Vels Institute of Science Technology and Allied Sciences

www.ijlra.com

ABOUT THE JOURNAL

International Journal for Legal Research and Analysis (IJLRA)

ISSN: 2582-6433

IJLRA provides a platform for scholarly writing and discussion on law, legal research and interdisciplinary questions. Research articles explore legal principles, institutions, policy and contemporary developments.

Journal website: www.ijlra.com

PUBLICATION INFORMATION

International Journal for Legal Research and Analysis

ISSN: 2582-6433

Editorial note

The views and arguments expressed in this article are those of its author(s). Readers should consult the original legal authorities and sources cited in the manuscript.

Copyright and permissions are subject to the applicable publication agreement.

ARTICLE DETAILS

Unplugging In The Digital Era: A Comparative Legal And Policy Analysis Of The Right To Disconnect

Author: Megavannan N

Institution: Vels Institute of Science Technology and Allied Sciences

Journal: International Journal for Legal Research and Analysis

ISSN: 2582-6433

Unplugging In The Digital Era: A Comparative Legal And Policy Analysis Of The Right To Disconnect

Megavannan N

Vels Institute of Science Technology and Allied Sciences

Abstract:

Imagine finishing your work, heading home and having your phone buzz non-stop with emails and messages from your boss. In the global workforce, this is the modern reality smartphones and remote work have profoundly reshaped the modern workplace, blurring the lines between professional and personal life. While the flexibility of working from anywhere is incredibly convenient, it has simultaneously created a stressful "always-on" culture leading to increased employee burnout and diminished rest periods. To fight the growing crisis, the "Right to Disconnect" - the legal entitlement for workers to ignore work-related communications outside of working hours without fear of punishment has emerged as a critical area in contemporary labour law. This article explores and compares how different countries are attempting to solve this problem. It examines pioneering efforts in France, which first legislated this right, alongside development in Spain, Portugal, Colombia, Australia and India. The study dissects diverse regulatory approaches, including strict national laws, frameworks for collective bargaining and reliance on outdated overtime regulations. The legislative measures alone are insufficient; successful implementation necessitates a fundamental shift in corporate culture and robust governmental enforcement. Ultimately, this paper advocates for a hybrid legal strategy that modernizes existing labour laws to suit the digital age, thereby enabling employees to recharge while sustaining economic productivity.

Introduction:

The digital transformation of the modern age has completely rewritten the basic rules of employment. Today, however thanks to smartphones, laptops and collaborative digital platforms, employees carry their workstations in their pockets wherever they go. While this incredible technological shift is often celebrated for making business more efficient and allowing people to work from the comfort of their homes, it has introduced profound new hazards to our well-being.[1] The biggest threat in this new era is something researchers call "tele pressure". This is the unspoken, implicit, or sometimes explicit expectation that you should always be monitoring, reading and responding to work emails, even when you are officially off the clock, spending time with family or trying to sleep. This creeping invasion of work into personal time has sparked an urgent global conversation about the sustainability of modern work and the desperate need to protect employee mental health. In response, the concept of the "Right to Disconnect" has gained considerable traction. It gives workers the absolute freedom to unplug and disengage from all work-related electronic communications during their personal time. Crucially, it means employees can ignore their bosses' late-night emails without fear of being punished, demoted or fired. [2]

[1] Work time, Employee Burnout Statistics 2026: 40 Key Trends & Costs (2026)
[2] UNI global union.org , The Right to Disconnect as a Legal Right

Historically, labour laws were designed for the industrial age. They focused heavily on physical safety, making sure workers weren't injured by dangerous factory machines, guaranteeing a minimum wage and capping physical working hours. But, today as the economy shifts toward knowledge-based and service-oriented desk jobs, the biggest occupational hazards for may workers are mental and psychological, not physical. It represents a much-needed modernization of labour laws. The ultimate objective is to propose a nuanced, multi-faceted approach that effectively balances the demands of the modern digital economy with the imperative of employee well-being.

Methodology:

This comparative study employs a qualitative research methodology, drawing upon a comprehensive review of existing legal frameworks, academic literature and policy documents pertaining to the right to disconnect. The research design is structured to facilitate a multi-jurisdictional analysis, focusing on key regions that have either enacted specific legislation or are actively debating the implementation of such rights. The sources of data include:

Statutory laws and Regulations: Examination of national legislation, such as France's El Khomari law, Australia's Fair Work Act amendments and relevant laws of Spain, Portugal, Colombia.

European Union Directives and Resolutions: Analysis of EU-level initiatives, including resolutions by the European parliament and ongoing discussions regarding a harmonized right to disconnect across member states. Academic and policy Reports: Review of scholarly articles, research papers and reports from international organizations (e.g., Eurofound, UNI Global Union) that provide insights into the rationale, implementation challenges and impacts of the right to disconnect.

Comparative Legal Analysis: A systematic comparison of the different approaches to regulating the right to disconnect, categorizing them based on their legal nature (e.g., statutory, collective bargaining, soft law) Scope of application, enforcement mechanisms and the roles assigned to employers and employees.

Analysis:

Before diving into the specific laws of different countries, it is vitally important to understand exactly why these laws are needed in first place. The "always-on" culture causes real measurable psychological and physical harm. Researches studying occupational health have given this modern crisis a name: "Technostress". It is the psychological strain caused by our inability to cope with modern computer technologies in a healthy balanced way. Technostress manifest in a few different damaging forms. First, there is "techno-overload," where the sheer, never ending volume of emails and digital notifications forces people to work faster, longer and with frantic energy just to keep their heads above water. Second, there is "techno-invasion," which is the specific problem the Right to Disconnect tries to solve. It happens when work constantly interrupts personal time, making people feel chained to their employers and entirely blurring the lines between the professional world and family living room. Finally, there is "techno-complexity," the daily frustration and exhaustion of constantly having to learn new software, platforms and digital tools.

Being tethered to work 24/7 keeps the nervous system on high alert and elevates stress hormones and the inability to mentally detach and recover leads to anxiety, insomnia, heart problems and ultimately burnout - a state of total emotional and functional exhaustion, making the Right to Disconnect an essential safety measure rather than just workplace perk.

France: The Global Trailblazer:

France pioneered the global "Right to Disconnect" movement not through political legislation, but through landmark judicial rulings that proactively protected worker's personal time. In 2001, the labour chamber of the French Supreme Court made a ground breaking ruling that employees are under no legal obligation to take work home or continue working after leaving the office. Further in 2004, it protected workers by ruling that employers cannot penalize or fire staff for turning off their personal cell phones after their shift ends.

The El Khomari Law mandates that French companies with over 50 employees negotiate right -to-disconnect policies, favouring flexible, industry-tailored agreements over rigid bans to protect employees' personal time.[3] Employers and staff must annually agree on specific offline time slots. If these negotiations fail, the employer is legally obligated to draft an internal policy guaranteeing the right anyway. The law does not strictly ban after-hours communication, but it requires clear guidelines and ensures workers cannot be penalized for exercising their right to disconnect. [4]

While this dialogue -driven approach allows different industries to customize the rules to their specific needs, critics argue that the resulting policies can be ineffective or "toothless" in workplaces with weak union representation.

Spain and Portugal: Rights and Strict bans:

Following France, neighbouring countries like Spain and Portugal adapted the "Right to Disconnect" in Distinct ways. Spain uniquely framed this as a fundamental right to digital privacy under a 2018 Data Protection and Guarantee of Digital Rights law,[5] mandating compliance for all companies regardless of their size. It guarantees absolute respect for workers digital privacy and rest time, placing a particular emphasis on remote employees. Unlike the French model, Spain requires every company to engage in negotiations and develop formal internal policies guaranteeing the right to disconnect.

Employers are legally required to engage in discussion or formulate policies regarding digital disconnection and are obligated to actively train their staff on how to prevent computer fatigue and respect and colleagues' offline hours. on the other hand, employees cannot be sanctioned for not responding to work communications outside of designated hours.

In 2021, Portugal enacted one of the world's strictest "Right to Disconnect" laws by shifting the burden directly to employers, making it fundamentally illegal to contact employees outside of their working hours. Rather than simply allowing workers to ignore messages, the

[3] Law No. 206-1088 of Aug.8, 2016, Journal Officiel de la Republique Francaise
[4] Littler, Sorting put the Truth about the Right to Disconnect in France (May 18, 2017)
[5] Organic Law 3/208 of Dec 5, on Data Protection and Guarantee of Digital Rights, Boletin Oficial Del Estado (B.O.E) (Spain).

Portuguese Labour Code explicitly prohibits employers from sending messages during rest periods and weekends in the first place.

For the companies with 10 or more employees, contacting off duty staff is classified as a serious administrative offense punishable by heavy financial fines and the law strictly forbids employers from penalizing, discriminating against or denying promotions to workers who exercise their right to remain disconnected.

Latin America:

In Latin America, the right to disconnect has primarily engaged in conjunction with the regulation of telework. Mexico, Argentina, Chile and Colombia have all incorporated provisions related to digital disconnection into their labour laws, often as part of broader legislation addressing remote work. Where Colombia has emerged as a Latin American Leader in the "Right to Disconnect" by implementing one of the worlds most comprehensive legal frameworks, establishing that uninterrupted rest is a universal human right.

In 2022, the Colombian government passed Law 2191, which guarantees that workers will not be contacted outside agreed-upon hours. Employers who repeatedly violate this rule face massive fines and can be prosecuted for severe workplace harassment.[6] In 2023, the Constitutional Court eliminated a major loophole that originally excluded executives and directors. The court declared the right to disconnect a fundamental human right tied to dignity and mental health, ensuring that even top-level managers are legally entitles to uninterrupted rest and family time.

Australia: The common-sense contextual approach

Australia introduced a statutory Right to Disconnect under the Fair Work Amendment Act, 2024, taking effect on August 26, 2024 for medium and large organizations and extending to small business in August 2025. Instead of an outright ban on after-hours communication, the law gives employees the legal right to refuse to monitor, read or respond to work-related contact outside of working hours provided their refusal is not unreasonable. [7]

If a dispute arises, judges determine "unreasonableness" using a flexible checklist of factors:

- The reason for the contact and how it was initiated.
- The impact on the employee's personal and family life.
- The employee's level of seniority and whether they are paid extra to be on-call.

This framework included formal dispute resolution mechanisms, with potential legal penalties for employers who unreasonably infringe upon an employee's right to disconnect.

Mexico: The Federal labour law was amended in 2021to include provisions for telework, explicitly recognizing the right to disconnect for teleworkers (Article 330-E). This ensures that teleworkers can disengage from work related communications at the end of their workday. [8]

[6] Law 2088 of 2021, Diario Oficial (D.O) (Colom.).
[7] Fair Work Amendment (Right to Disconnect) Act 2024 (Austl.). See also Fair Ombudsman, New Right to Disconnect laws (Apr. 12, 2024).
[8] Ley Federal del Trabajo (Federal Labor Lae), as amemded, art 330-E, Diario Oficial de la Federacion (D.O.F) (Mex.).

Argentina: Law on Teleworking, enacted in 2020 includes Article 8, which establishes the right to disconnect for teleworkers, prohibiting employers from requiring tasks or communication outside of working hours. [9]

India:

Unlike countries that have already enacted binding laws, India is currently debating how to regulate the right to disconnect without disrupting its massive, 24/7 global IT services industry. The proposed **Right to Disconnect Bill, 2025** aims to grant employees the statutory right to ignore after-hours communication and mandates that companies negotiate strict out-of-hours policies. To enforce compliance, the bill proposes a severe penalty for violations: a fine equal to **1 % of a company's total employee remuneration.**[10]

While the legislation has strong public support from workers seeking relief from an "always-on" culture, it faces steep opposition from business leaders who argue that rigid rules could permanently damage India's competitive edge in the fast-paced global tech market.

Findings and Suggestions:

Regulatory Models: "Soft" vs. "Hard" Approaches:

The global landscape shows a division in how countries legally approach disconnection:

"Soft laws (e.g., France): Mandate internal company negotiations. This allows for customized policies but risks yielding weak protections if employees lack strong bargaining power.

"Hard laws (e.g., Portugal): Outlaw after-hours contact entirely. This removes employee pressure but can be overly rigid for fast-paced, modern workplaces.

The "Paradox of Flexibility"

A major modern challenge is balancing employee protection with the flexibility of asynchronous work. Overly punitive laws banning all after-hours communication could backfire, causing panicking employers to force workers back into rigid 9 to 5 schedules. Australia's "reasonableness" test effectively navigates this paradox, protecting vulnerable workers without destroying the flexible schedules (such as logging on later in the evening to accommodate childcare) that remote workers value. [11]

Mental Health and Work-life Balance:

By formally recognizing the importance of rests, right to disconnect laws aim to reduce the cognitive load, stress and burnout exacerbated by remote works blurred boundaries. While providing genuine downtime can improve workforce engagement and productivity, directly measuring the long-term impact of these laws on mental health remains a complex, ongoing challenge. Studies indicate that remote workers often report higher levels of burnout compared to their office-based counterparts, struggling to maintain a clear separation between work and personal time.[12]

---

[9] Law 27.555 on Teleworking, art. 8, Boletin Oficial de la Republica Argentina (B.O) (Arg.).
[10] The Right to Disconnect Bill, 2025, Bill No. 51 of 2025, Lok Sabha (India).
[11] Employsome, Right to Disconnect Laws 2026: Country Comparison Guide (May 10, 2026)
[12] PMC, (gov.) Work from home and employee well-being: double-edged sword (Aug. 8, 2025).

Algorithmic Surveillance and the Digital Divide:

Protections must account for the digital divide, as lower-wage workers and those in critical infrastructure often face different technological and operational barriers. Additionally, formal disconnect policies are rendered useless by "algorithmic management". The use of hidden software to track employee response times or mouse movements. To truly protect workers, the right to disconnect must be deeply integrated with data privacy and anti-surveillance laws, as seen in Spain's approach.

Conclusion:

The Right to Disconnect has evolved from an isolated legal ruling into a vital global movement. Driven by the psychological toll of an "always-on" culture, it is a necessary, urgent response to the way digital technology and remote work have eroded the boundaries between personal and professional life. Globally, there is no one size-fits-all solution. Countries are pioneering diverse legal strategies to combat this occupational health hazard.

- ✓ France emphasizes internal workplace negotiation.
- ✓ Portugal enforces strict bans to protect workers.
- ✓ Colombia frames disconnection as a fundamental human right.
- ✓ Australia applies a contextual "reasonableness" test.
- ✓ India's ongoing debates highlight the immense complexity of applying these rules across a highly globalized economy.

However, legislation alone is just a piece of paper. True progress demands a dual approach: robust baseline laws that protect employees from retaliation, coupled with a profound cultural metamorphosis. Employers must move beyond mere compliance and stop measuring dedication by 24/7 digital availability, focusing instead on the actual quality of a workers output. Ultimately the most effective solution will be a hybrid framework that borrows the best international ideas to update traditional labour laws for the digital era. Through ongoing dialogue among governments, employers and employees. We can strike a delicate balance-preserving the flexibility and productivity of modern connectivity while safeguarding the fundamental right of workers to log off, recharge and thrive.

Reference:

1. Work time, Employee Burnout Statistics 2026: 40 Key Trends & Costs (2026)
2. UNI global union.org, The Right to Disconnect as a Legal Right
3. Law No. 206-1088 of Aug.8, 2016, Journal Officiel de la Republique Francaise
4. Littler, Sorting put the Truth about the Right to Disconnect in France (May 18, 2017)
5. Organic Law 3/208 of Dec 5, on Data Protection and Guarantee of Digital Rights, Boletin Oficial Del Estado (B.O.E) (Spain).
6. Law 2088 of 2021, Diario Oficial (D.O) (Colom.).
7. Fair Work Amendment (Right to Disconnect) Act 2024 (Austl.). See also Fair Ombudsman, New Right to Disconnect laws (Apr. 12, 2024).

8. Ley Federal del Trabajo (Federal Labor Lae), as amemded, art 330-E, Diario Oficial de la Federacion (D.O.F) (Mex.).
9. Law 27.555 on Teleworking, art. 8, Boletin Oficial de la Republica Argentina (B.O) (Arg.).
10. The Right to Disconnect Bill, 2025, Bill No. 51 of 2025, Lok Sabha (India).
11. Employsome, Right to Disconnect Laws 2026: Country Comparison Guide (May 10, 2026)
12. PMC, (gov.) Work from home and employee well-being: double-edged sword (Aug. 8, 2025).