INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL FOR LEGAL RESEARCH AND ANALYSIS

Open Access, Refereed Journal Multi Disciplinary
Peer Reviewed



www.ijlra.com

ISSN: 2582-6433

DISCLAIMER

No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored, transmitted, or distributed in any form or by any means, whether electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording, or otherwise, without prior written permission of the Managing Editor of the *International Journal for Legal Research & Analysis (IJLRA)*.

The views, opinions, interpretations, and conclusions expressed in the articles published in this journal are solely those of the respective authors. They do not necessarily reflect the views of the Editorial Board, Editors, Reviewers, Advisors, or the Publisher of IJLRA.

Although every reasonable effort has been made to ensure the accuracy, authenticity, and proper citation of the content published in this journal, neither the Editorial Board nor IJLRA shall be held liable or responsible, in any manner whatsoever, for any loss, damage, or consequence arising from the use, reliance upon, or interpretation of the information contained in this publication.

The content published herein is intended solely for academic and informational purposes and shall not be construed as legal advice or professional opinion.

Copyright © International Journal for Legal Research & Analysis. All rights reserved.

ISSN: 2582-6433

ABOUT US

The *International Journal for Legal Research & Analysis (IJLRA)* (ISSN: 2582-6433) is a peer-reviewed, academic, online journal published on a monthly basis. The journal aims to provide a comprehensive and interactive platform for the publication of original and high-quality legal research.

IJLRA publishes Short Articles, Long Articles, Research Papers, Case Comments, Book Reviews, Essays, and interdisciplinary studies in the field of law and allied disciplines. The journal seeks to promote critical analysis and informed discourse on contemporary legal, social, and policy issues.

The primary objective of IJLRA is to enhance academic engagement and scholarly dialogue among law students, researchers, academicians, legal professionals, and members of the Bar and Bench. The journal endeavours to establish itself as a credible and widely cited academic publication through the publication of original, well-researched, and analytically sound contributions.

IJLRA welcomes submissions from all branches of law, provided the work is original, unpublished, and submitted in accordance with the prescribed submission guidelines. All manuscripts are subject to a rigorous peer-review process to ensure academic quality, originality, and relevance.

Through its publications, the *International Journal for Legal Research & Analysis* aspires to contribute meaningfully to legal scholarship and the development of law as an instrument of justice and social progress.

ISSN: 2582-6433

PUBLICATION ETHICS, COPYRIGHT & AUTHOR RESPONSIBILITY STATEMENT

The *International Journal for Legal Research and Analysis (IJLRA)* is committed to upholding the highest standards of publication ethics and academic integrity. All manuscripts submitted to the journal must be original, unpublished, and free from plagiarism, data fabrication, falsification, or any form of unethical research or publication practice. Authors are solely responsible for the accuracy, originality, legality, and ethical compliance of their work and must ensure that all sources are properly cited and that necessary permissions for any third-party copyrighted material have been duly obtained prior to submission. Copyright in all published articles vests with IJLRA, unless otherwise expressly stated, and authors grant the journal the irrevocable right to publish, reproduce, distribute, and archive their work in print and electronic formats. The views and opinions expressed in the articles are those of the authors alone and do not reflect the views of the Editors, Editorial Board, Reviewers, or Publisher. IJLRA shall not be liable for any loss, damage, claim, or legal consequence arising from the use, reliance upon, or interpretation of the content published. By submitting a manuscript, the author(s) agree to fully indemnify and hold harmless the journal, its Editor-in-Chief, Editors, Editorial Board, Reviewers, Advisors, Publisher, and Management against any claims, liabilities, or legal proceedings arising out of plagiarism, copyright infringement, defamation, breach of confidentiality, or violation of third-party rights. The journal reserves the absolute right to reject, withdraw, retract, or remove any manuscript or published article in case of ethical or legal violations, without incurring any liability.

ISSN: 2582-6433

ABATEMENT OF CLIMATE CHANGE AND DEGRADATION - REGULATORY FAILURES AND LEGAL LOOPHOLES OF CORPORATE SOCIAL RESPONSIBILITY (CSR)

AUTHORED BY - DR.G.INDIRA PRIYADARSINI[1] & R.JAYASREE[2]
1. Assistant Professor and 2.Research Scholar
Department of Law, Sri Padmavathi Mahila University
TIRUPATI -517 502, AP, INDIA

ABSTRACT

Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR) refers to the practice of companies voluntarily integrating social, ethical and prime environmental concerns into their fundamental operations and interactions with stakeholders. Albeit the CSR is evolving into a critical aspect of corporate governance, the present legal substructures remain rather ineffective in addressing its complexities. By evaluating national and international CSR standards, this paper identifies inconsistencies in their overall implementation and the legal obstacles which inhibit their effectiveness. It explores the recurrence of companies evading social responsibility while maintaining a reputable standing. Findings indicate that despite CSR is gaining prominence in the aspects such as voluntary adherence and minimal regulatory intervention, allow corporations to prioritize profits over genuine ethical commitments. Moreover, disparities between national and international CSR guidelines have also introduced further legal ambiguities, facilitating profiteering in developing nations. This paper suggests the dire urgency to fortify compliance measures, enforce globally standardized the CSR guidelines, and refine legal frameworks for removing ambiguity, all of them can boost business accountability and promote socially responsible corporate conduct.

Index Terms: Corporate Social Responsibility, legal loopholes, corporate ethics, business accountability, CSR regulations and standards

ISSN: 2582-6433

INTRODUCTION

Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR) has become an increasingly and immensely important element of current corporate practices. It is a business approach that beefs up the companies to be socially responsible to their stakeholders, employees and above all the general public. By adopting CSR standards, companies become more mindful of their impact on all the areas of society–social, economic and environmental. For instance, companies have imparted training for their personnel for recognizing discrimination in the workplace. In the age of corporate globalization, companies must increasingly contribute to a greater society while minimizing the negative impacts of their business practices on the climate.

The CSR efforts if implemented properly can result even in greater consumer loyalty and trust, attract employees, improve brand image and increase revenue[1]. The efforts of the CSR used to be considered charitable, where companies participated in social welfare programs.[2] Nevertheless, the companies maintained this participation at a surface level since no corporations incorporated CSR practices in their fundamental business operations. The companies' increased engagement in social and charitable programs was geared more towards improving reputation and social standing than it was toward actually implementing change in company practices. A **Harvard Business Review** study revealed that 94% of business executives believe CSR efforts greatly improve a company's social standing.[3] Regardless of a company's original intent, awareness and implementation of CSR have developed into an essential part of many corporations' practices and CSR now includes a wide range of issues, such as ethical labour standards, corporate management and sustainability. This transformation indicates a growing awareness of the connection between the corporate world and society and of the degree to which they influence each other.

STANDARDS AND ETHICS OF CSR

It is crucial to comprehend the range of standards which mould the CSR efforts. These standards include social and environmental matters, such as racial inclusivity, eco-friendly practices, and gender equality. The standards on racial inclusivity focus on maintaining non-discriminatory work environments where all the people of any ethnic and racial background have equal opportunities. Gender equality standards focus on providing impartial treatment and recognition for all the workers, regardless of gender identity. Gender standards tend to address issues like diversity and inclusion policies, equal pay and the fillip of female executives. The environmental standards encourage the corporations in mitigating their ecological footprints

ISSN: 2582-6433

and adopt sumptuous sustainable practices. These standards include many environmentally friendly approaches such as efficient energy use, waste reduction strategies, and resource conservation. However, when taking into account legal and ethical considerations, the problems intensify. Laws set the official regulations companies must follow, but ethics reflect a company's fundamental values. More frequently than expected, businesses exploit legal circumstances for meeting the minimum criteria while neglecting the ethical side of CSR. Thus, the immensely importance of strengthening regulation is significant, as it will ensure that the CSR efforts create and reflect the legal requirements and ethical principles of responsibility and inclusion.[4]

REGULATORY FAILURES AND LEGAL LOOPHOLES OF CSR

Voluntary Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR) fails to arrest climate degradation because it treats ecological protection as an optional charity rather than a binding legal duty. Companies prioritize short-term profit over systemic change, using self-regulation for avoiding strict environmental laws and engage in green washing.

1. Core Structural Flaws

Profit Maximization Conflict

True climate mitigation requires expensive system changes which reduce short-term profits, a cost that voluntary frameworks cannot force businesses to absorb.

The Lobbying Paradox

Industry groups use the concept of voluntary CSR to successfully lobby against mandatory carbon caps and environmental laws under the guise of self-governance.

Ceremonial Compliance

Without external penalties, corporate reporting on climate goals remains superficial, serving to protect brand reputation rather than reduce emissions.

2. Consequences of Self-Regulation

Green washing

Firms promote minor, low-cost green projects while continuing core polluting activities.

Lack of Accountability

Voluntary targets lack independent verification, standardized metrics and legal enforcement mechanisms.

ISSN: 2582-6433

Shift of Burden

Environmental degradation costs—such as carbon emissions and resource depletion—are passed on to society while companies claim ethical leadership.

Voluntary Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR) has fundamentally failed as a regulatory mechanism for arresting climate degradation. Because voluntary frameworks lack enforcement, binding targets, and legal penalties, they allow corporations to prioritize short-term profits over systemic environmental protection, often resulting in green washing rather than genuine decarbonization.

3. Core Failure Mechanisms of Voluntary CSR

Profit Maximization Conflict

Corporations operate under fiduciary duties to maximize shareholder value. When carbon reduction conflicts with profit margins, voluntary climate goals are routinely sidelined, delayed or scaled back.

The Green washing Loophole

Without standardized regulatory oversight, companies can use selective reporting, vague sustainability metrics, and heavy marketing to project an eco-friendly image while continuing high-emissions operations.

Carbon Offsetting Pitfalls

Voluntary CSR relies heavily on carbon offsets, which frequently fail to deliver permanent or verifiable emission reductions, serving instead as a license to keep polluting.

Lack of Legal Accountability

`Voluntary commitments cannot be legally enforced. If a company fails to meet its self-imposed 2030 or 2050 net-zero targets, it faces no statutory penalties, fines or regulatory sanctions. The Direct Comparison of the Voluntary CSR vs. Mandatory Climate Regulation is presented in the Table 1

Table 1

Direct Comparison: Voluntary CSR vs. Mandatory Climate Regulation

Sl. No.	Feature	Voluntary CSR Frameworks	Mandatory Climate Regulation
1	**Enforcement Mechanism**	None (Self-regulated)	Government agencies, courts, and statutory fines

ISSN: 2582-6433

2	**Target Setting**	Determined internally by corporate boards	Set by state/international law (e.g. Paris Agreement)
3	**Data and Accountability**	Fragmented, non-standardized reporting	Audited financial-grade climate disclosures (e.g. SEC, CSRD)
4	**Market Impact**	Rewards marketing savvy over actual reduction	Creates a level playing field with financial penalties for polluters

4. Systemic Limits and Market Dynamics

The Free-Rider Problem

In a voluntary system, companies that invest heavily in genuine, expensive decarbonization face a competitive disadvantage against rivals that choose cheaper, superficial CSR branding.

Policy Capture and Lobbying

While publicly promoting voluntary CSR initiatives, many major corporations simultaneously fund trade associations that actively lobby against mandatory environmental laws and carbon pricing.

Information Asymmetry

Consumers and retail investors lack the granular data required to verify corporate environmental claims, rendering market-driven accountability highly ineffective.

The Shift toward Mandatory Frameworks

Recognizing the limits of volunteerism, global regulatory regimes have increasingly transitioned toward mandatory frameworks to enforce actual compliance:

Corporate Sustainability Reporting Directive (CSRD)

It was enforced by the European Union, making rigorous environmental impact disclosures legally binding for thousands of companies.

Mandatory Disclosure Standards

These include implementation of international baselines like the International Sustainability Standards Board (ISSB) to eliminate arbitrary, self-selected corporate reporting metrics.

Supply Chain Due Diligence

Laws that hold corporations legally liable for environmental degradation occurring across their global value chains, moving past the era of pure corporate discretion

ISSN: 2582-6433

LEGAL BARRIERS

Identifying the key legal barriers which hinder CSR implementation is dire essential when tackling the loopholes which enable companies to bypass ethical governance. These barriers include ambiguity in legal language, lack of enforcement measures, and historical progression. By analyzing these obstacles, we can gain a clearer understanding of the shortcomings of current CSR efforts and how there is a need for more effective legal frameworks. A major legal barrier to CSR execution is the vagueness of legal terminology. Numerous standards, particularly international ones, are often general and imprecise, permitting businesses to have considerable flexibility in their interpretations and executions of said standards.

Furthermore, it is important to recognize that factors such as market pressures and resource constraints also contribute to CSR failures. Corporations in highly competitive industries typically prioritize maximizing returns over social responsibility, as the pressure from shareholders to receive immediate revenue can incentivize companies to seek out weak legal structures. Limited budget, sourcing, and staffing can also limit company investment in CSR practices as they are not financially sound.

However, if CSR was legally mandated with comprehensive language, companies would be forced to adhere to ethical guidelines, regardless of market demands or financial barriers. This shows how legal gaps are not simply one of many issues but the key driver allowing other barriers to thrive. A reevaluation of similar laws and stringent oversight is necessary so that even firms with weak ethical leadership would be unable to exploit such loopholes. .To overcome these challenges, reevaluating such mandates, eliminating ambiguity, and harmonizing standards across nations can help remove the inconsistencies that enable companies to exploit legal loopholes, halting any incentive to relocate and ultimately resulting in more uniform and efficient CSR practices globally. [5]

ESG AND GLOBAL COMPLIANCE

Environmental, social, and governance (ESG) ratings are statistical indicators of CSR, measuring a corporation's environmental, administrative, and social performance. ESG ratings supply a comprehensive report on how well companies adhere to ethical business principles and their broader societal influence. These ratings are calculated through publicly available

ISSN: 2582-6433

information from external assessments, corporate disclosures, and environmental violations by companies. Nonetheless, it is important to note that scholars have argued against the effectiveness of similar rating systems and the difficulty of keeping them standardized across nations.[6]

The methodology of ESG rating varies by country, as compliance structures and CSR obligations differ and are rooted in development, stringency of laws, and societal demands. However, international organizations such as the Organization for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD) strive to standardize and advance ESG ratings worldwide. The OECD is a multinational body of 38 nations, promoting sustainable economic growth and publishing guidance on ESG investing to help financial institutions to commit to sustainable investment strategies. Member countries in the OECD tend to have higher environmental performance, with the top 5 countries in the 2024 Environmental Performance Index (EPI) all being part of the OECD. Overall, these efforts highlight the importance of such organizations in implementing ESG principles, though it is still important to be aware that not all OECD member countries are making equal progress.[7]

In terms of labour rights, countries with more stringent CSR guidelines also typically report fewer labour violations. As with environmental violations, businesses often relocate nations with weak labour laws to maximize profits, often at the expense of their suppliers' workers. Additionally, the highest ranked countries are once again members of the OECD, whereas the lowest ranked countries are not, demonstrating the considerable role global CSR-related mandates play in safeguarding labour protections. This contrast underlines the limitations of current domestic legal frameworks and the need for a more cohesive approach towards the globalization of CSR.[8]

LEGAL SOLUTIONS

Significant changes are necessary for increasing clarity and strengthen enforceability in CSR-related frameworks, managing the existing loopholes and inconsistencies. These revisions should concentrate on refining legal terminology, facilitating business transparency, enforcing penalties for non-adherence, providing practical solutions to the lack of enforcement mechanisms, and establishing third-party oversight bodies to assess CSR compliance. A central issue with current legal frameworks regarding CSR is the ambiguity in legal language. To resolve this issue, standards should provide requirements with clear definitions of key terms

ISSN: 2582-6433

and detailed explanations of corporate conduct. For example, rather than making general statements like "support a precautionary approach to environmental challenges," With this in mind, it is also important to acknowledge the possibility that if CSR standards become overly specific, companies can claim they don't apply to them, so walking the fine line between being more specific but not excessively precise is profound.[9]

Furthermore, establishing mandated business transparency in CSR-related activities is critical to maintaining sustainable corporate practices. Companies must be obligated to share any details regarding their CSR efforts, disclosing their goals and initiatives to the public. Such transparency would allow consumers and stakeholders to examine a company's devotion to CSR efforts. Corporations would also be more willing to commit to more ethical and sustainable practices if they knew the public was supervising their actions. Addressing such challenges would require comprehensive, standardized transparency guidelines that align CSR reports with financial disclosures to ensure credibility. The feasibility of implementing this would require the consideration of factors such as competition, regulatory framework differences, data collection, and the complexity of global supply chains.[10]

In addition, forming independent external oversight bodies might be beneficial to guarantee company adherence to CSR standards. These bodies would be authorized to conduct in-depth assessments of CSR-related corporate activities, validate company report accuracy, and examine claims of non-adherence to regulations. They would play a vital role in maintaining established CSR standards, pressuring companies to comply and commit to genuine CSR engagement. This oversight would keep consistent CSR efforts across different areas and industries and narrow the gap between legal regulations and corporate behaviour.

These legal reforms would ensure corporations exceed their commitments to CSR, contributing to increased voluntary ethical actions and uniformity for businesses overall. However, it's essential to acknowledge the logistical and financial concerns of implementing something like this, as organizing and funding oversight bodies for thousands of companies is no easy task. A minor adjustment to lighten this might be, similar to penalties, creating oversight bodies that would be proportionate to the company's market influence so time and money are spent more efficiently as opposed to having an equal amount per company. Another major challenge is the danger of regulatory corruption, where audit agencies lack impartiality through the influence of the very companies they are meant to oversee. The stringent autonomy

ISSN: 2582-6433

measures must be put in place, such as government-backed funding or rotating executive leadership to avoid any influence. [11]

THE POSSIBILITY OF CSR LAWS

Rising interest has been shown in the potential to legally mandate CSR in countries yet to do so. This would require all companies to comply with fixed CSR regulations, removing the voluntary aspect. Many factors, such as public approval, government support, and existing legal frameworks, determine the likelihood of such laws being implemented. Creating new statutes enforcing CSR offers both benefits and challenges. From one perspective, enforced CSR regulations could result in more consistent and uniform business practices, aligning them with international standards and eliminating the specificity disparity. From another perspective, enacting these laws would require an analysis of current political and economic environments across multiple nations. Scholars have argued that CSR has become increasingly challenging to integrate in developing nations, particularly ones in South America and Africa. While some countries have already started incorporating CSR into their regulatory frameworks, others have yet to begin due to flawed government systems or economic limitations. [12]

The recent government initiatives in various countries have advanced the possibility of enforced CSR worldwide. As a starting point, within the European Union, there have been several legislative actions regarding the enforcement of CSR, such as the creation of the Non-Financial Reporting Directive of 2014. This law requires large corporations to be transparent about their environmental practices, societal involvement, work environment, and diversity. The initiative was created to hold companies accountable by providing consumers with information on their businesses' internal CSR performance, yet it proved to have more problems than anticipated[13].

A further illustration is India's less recent efforts to integrate CSR into their legal system with the creation of the Companies Act of 2013, which prescribes certain businesses to set aside at least 2% of their net profits to use towards CSR efforts.**[14]** This Act was initially created to systematize CSR and help alleviate national poverty, healthcare issues, and environmental concerns. What came about was that companies viewed this law as a tax obligation instead of a step toward positive social change. There also arose loopholes in non-compliance as this law allowed companies to simply outline their grounds for non-compliance without any considerable penalties. Regarding the Companies Act of 2013, instituting more

ISSN: 2582-6433

stringent penalties for non-compliance and attentively tracking companies' obedience can contribute to meaningful CSR involvement and business transparency. [15]

SUGGESTIONS

Eventually for improving weak enforcement mechanisms, incentivizing CSR compliance alongside fostering collaboration between intergovernmental organizations presents two feasible solutions.

- Firstly, to incentivize CSR, governments can further extend tax reductions for corporations that have a strong dedication to CSR. This approach not only encourages accountable actions by companies but also improves national ESG ratings, which can attract investors, fuel economic growth, and establish long-lasting benefits for a government and its people.
- Additionally, offering benefits such as priority in procurement processes is also a strong incentive, ensuring that corporations committed to CSR are given priority in obtaining government funding. These benefits would make CSR compliance more financially sustainable for companies and motivate them to integrate CSR into their operations.
- Moreover, given that forming a unified intergovernmental body is unrealistic, fostering collaboration between existing ones can help standardize enforcement mechanisms, particularly in global supply chains. For example, collaboration between the UN and the OECD could significantly improve CSR oversight by aligning their common principles with investment regulations and global commerce.

CONCLUSION

This paper has examined the nuances of implementing CSR within existing legal frameworks and systems. Legal constraints such as ambiguity in legal terminology, lack of effective enforcement mechanisms, and historical circumstances have all enabled businesses to exploit legal loopholes, undermining the fundamental moral aspects of corporate responsibility. In the case studies involving given these challenges, introducing a global approach to CSR implementation that harmonizes national standards could facilitate worldwide collaboration on improving existing structures and ultimately prevent companies from relocating to nations with weak regulatory environments.

ISSN: 2582-6433

There are significant gaps between CSR-related frameworks and current legal systems, often allowing corporations to bypass participating in sincere CSR efforts. By addressing these legal loopholes and reassessing existing frameworks, the integrity of CSR efforts can be reinforced, ensuring that companies engage in genuine ethical practices instead of manipulating regulatory inconsistencies. In the future, government officials and industry leaders must dedicate effort toward developing more defined, standardized, and enforceable standards that are aligned with CSR principles. This pragmatic approach will promote long-term business accountability and immensely help in regaining public trust in both the corporate and legal sectors permanently.

REFERENCES

1. Francés, D. S. and Tomás, L.P. (2019), The Effects of Corporate Social Responsibility on Consumer Loyalty through Consumer Perceived Value, Economic Research-Ekonomska Istraživanja, 32(1), 66–84.
2. Agudelo, M. A. L., Jóhannsdóttir, L. and Davídsdóttir, B. (2021), A Literature Review of the History and Evolution of Corporate Social Responsibility, International Journal of Corporate Social Responsibility, 4(1), 1–23.
3. Rangan, V. K., Chase, L. and Karim, S. (2015), The truth about CSR, Harvard Business Review. https://hbr.org/2015/01/the-truth-about-cs (2015).
4. Assoune, A. (2020), All You Need To Know About the SA8000 Certification, Panaprium, https://www.panaprium.com/blogs/i/sa8000-certification (2020).
5. Tayan, B., Larcker, D., Watts, E. and Pomorski, L. (2022), ESG Ratings: A Compass without Direction, The Harvard Law School Forum on Corporate Governance. https://corpgov.law.harvard.edu/2022/08/24/esg-ratings-a-compass-without-direction.
6. Chatterji, A. K., Levine, D. I. and Toffel, M. W. (2009), How Well Do Social Ratings Actually Measure Corporate Social Responsibility?, Journal of Economics and Management Strategy, 18(1), 125–169.
7. OECD. *The OECD:* Better policies for better lives, OECD, https://www.oecd.org/en/about.html (2024).
8. Yale Center for Environmental Law and Policy (2024), Environmental Performance Index, Epi.yale.edu. https://epi.yale.edu/measure/2024/EPI.

ISSN: 2582-6433

9. Felter, E. B. and Komar, B. (2023), Move from corporate responsibility to impact economy, World Economic Forum. https://www.weforum.org/stories/2023/04/moving-from-corporate-responsibility-to-impact/
10. Wu, C.-C., Cheng, F.C. and Sheh, D.Y. (2023), Exploring the factors affecting the implementation of corporate social responsibility from a strategic perspective, Humanities and Social Sciences Communications, 10(1), 1–11.
11. Dobers, P. And Halme, M. (2009), Corporate social responsibility and developing countries, Corporate Social Responsibility and Environmental Management, 16(5), 237–249. https://doi.org/10.1002/csr.212.
12. European Parliament, Non-financial Reporting Directive, https://www.europarl.europa.eu/RegData/etudes/BRIE/2021/654213/EPRS_BRI(2021) 654213_EN.pdf (2021).
13. Strand, R., Freeman, R. E. and Hockerts, K.(2014), Corporate Social Responsibility and Sustainability in Scandinavia: An Overview, Journal of Business Ethics, 127(1), 1–15. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10551-014-2224-6 (2014).
14. Aswani, J. and Aswani, J. (2024), The Costs of India's Mandatory Corporate Social Responsibility Rule – ProMarket, ProMarket. https://www.promarket.org/2024/07/11/the-costs-of-indias-mandatory-corporate-social-responsibility-rule/
15. Strand, R., Freeman, R. E. And Hockerts, K. (2014), Corporate Social Responsibility and Sustainability in Scandinavia: An Overview, Journal of Business Ethics, 127(1), 1–15.