INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL FOR LEGAL RESEARCH AND ANALYSIS

Open Access, Refereed Journal Multi Disciplinary
Peer Reviewed



www.ijlra.com

ISSN: 2582-6433

DISCLAIMER

No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored, transmitted, or distributed in any form or by any means, whether electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording, or otherwise, without prior written permission of the Managing Editor of the *International Journal for Legal Research & Analysis (IJLRA)*.

The views, opinions, interpretations, and conclusions expressed in the articles published in this journal are solely those of the respective authors. They do not necessarily reflect the views of the Editorial Board, Editors, Reviewers, Advisors, or the Publisher of IJLRA.

Although every reasonable effort has been made to ensure the accuracy, authenticity, and proper citation of the content published in this journal, neither the Editorial Board nor IJLRA shall be held liable or responsible, in any manner whatsoever, for any loss, damage, or consequence arising from the use, reliance upon, or interpretation of the information contained in this publication.

The content published herein is intended solely for academic and informational purposes and shall not be construed as legal advice or professional opinion.

Copyright © International Journal for Legal Research & Analysis. All rights reserved.

ISSN: 2582-6433

ABOUT US

The *International Journal for Legal Research & Analysis (IJLRA)* (ISSN: 2582-6433) is a peer-reviewed, academic, online journal published on a monthly basis. The journal aims to provide a comprehensive and interactive platform for the publication of original and high-quality legal research.

IJLRA publishes Short Articles, Long Articles, Research Papers, Case Comments, Book Reviews, Essays, and interdisciplinary studies in the field of law and allied disciplines. The journal seeks to promote critical analysis and informed discourse on contemporary legal, social, and policy issues.

The primary objective of IJLRA is to enhance academic engagement and scholarly dialogue among law students, researchers, academicians, legal professionals, and members of the Bar and Bench. The journal endeavours to establish itself as a credible and widely cited academic publication through the publication of original, well-researched, and analytically sound contributions.

IJLRA welcomes submissions from all branches of law, provided the work is original, unpublished, and submitted in accordance with the prescribed submission guidelines. All manuscripts are subject to a rigorous peer-review process to ensure academic quality, originality, and relevance.

Through its publications, the *International Journal for Legal Research & Analysis* aspires to contribute meaningfully to legal scholarship and the development of law as an instrument of justice and social progress.

ISSN: 2582-6433

PUBLICATION ETHICS, COPYRIGHT & AUTHOR RESPONSIBILITY STATEMENT

The *International Journal for Legal Research and Analysis (IJLRA)* is committed to upholding the highest standards of publication ethics and academic integrity. All manuscripts submitted to the journal must be original, unpublished, and free from plagiarism, data fabrication, falsification, or any form of unethical research or publication practice. Authors are solely responsible for the accuracy, originality, legality, and ethical compliance of their work and must ensure that all sources are properly cited and that necessary permissions for any third-party copyrighted material have been duly obtained prior to submission. Copyright in all published articles vests with IJLRA, unless otherwise expressly stated, and authors grant the journal the irrevocable right to publish, reproduce, distribute, and archive their work in print and electronic formats. The views and opinions expressed in the articles are those of the authors alone and do not reflect the views of the Editors, Editorial Board, Reviewers, or Publisher. IJLRA shall not be liable for any loss, damage, claim, or legal consequence arising from the use, reliance upon, or interpretation of the content published. By submitting a manuscript, the author(s) agree to fully indemnify and hold harmless the journal, its Editor-in-Chief, Editors, Editorial Board, Reviewers, Advisors, Publisher, and Management against any claims, liabilities, or legal proceedings arising out of plagiarism, copyright infringement, defamation, breach of confidentiality, or violation of third-party rights. The journal reserves the absolute right to reject, withdraw, retract, or remove any manuscript or published article in case of ethical or legal violations, without incurring any liability.

ISSN: 2582-6433

BEYOND PUNISHMENT: REHABILITATION, PROBATION AND AFTERCARE IN INDIA AND FRANCE

AUTHORED BY – VIBITHA.M
LL.M Final Year Student (Criminal Law) Crescent Law School,
B. S. Abdur Rahman Crescent Institute of Science & Technology, TN

ABSTRACT

Traditionally, the criminal justice system has focused on punishment, deterrence and societal protection. But modern criminal justice techniques increasingly realize that punishment alone is not helpful in dealing with the causes of criminal behaviour or in preventing recidivism. Thus rehabilitation, probation and aftercare have become an integral part of a progressive criminal justice system. These techniques aim to reform offenders, to assist them to re-integrate into society and to give possibilities for them to lead responsible and law-abiding lives.

India and France provide excellent instances of different approaches to the rehabilitation of offenders. In India there is a statutory framework of releasing eligible prisoners on probation and after due admonition called Probation of offenders Act, 1958. The Act specifies that in passing sentence of imprisonment, the courts may take into account the nature of the offense, the character of the offender and the circumstances of the offense. Furthermore, constitutional principles, jail reform plans and correctional programs recognize the necessity of rehabilitation and social reintegration. However, the successful execution of rehabilitation methods is still hampered by inefficient probation services, prison overpopulation, societal stigma and lack of post-release support.

France has built an organized penal system with a major focus on probation, social reintegration and personalized monitoring. The French Penal Code and the French Prison Code provide supervised sentences and community-based procedures to prepare for release. The Prison Integration and Probation Services (SPIP) perform a vital role in monitoring criminals, assessing their specific requirements and helping them to reintegrate into society through work, housing, education and social support.

This paper is a comparative doctrinal examination of the legal framework for rehabilitation, probation and after-care in India and France. The article looks at the legal provisions, institutional structures, judicial procedures and practical issues in relation to offender reform in both jurisdictions. The report also analyses lessons for India from the French correctional

ISSN: 2582-6433

system, especially when considering tailored probation, professional supervision and structured aid to freed inmates. It claims that a criminal justice system has to move beyond the old ideology of punishment and adopt a balanced approach combining responsibility, rehabilitation and social protection if it is to be effective.

INTRODUCTION

The criminal justice system is a vital tool in maintaining peace and order, defending individual rights and achieving justice in the society. The traditional arguments for criminal punishment have been the doctrines of deterrence, retribution, prevention and incapacitation. However, current criminological theory has increasingly highlighted that offenders should not only be punished but also be given opportunities for rectification and rehabilitation.[1]

Poverty, unemployment, lack of education, family troubles, social alienation and psychological problems may be factors that influence the conduct of a crime. And imprisonment will not achieve the ultimate aims of criminal justice if the root causes are not addressed. Prison also exposes criminals to criminal influences, disrupts family bonds and makes it difficult to find work following release.

Rehabilitation is to reintegrate the offender as a responsible person back into society to address these difficulties. It comprises education, vocational training, counselling, psychological aid, employment prospects and social support. Probation is a non-custodial alternative to incarceration that allows certain qualifying offenders to stay in the community under specific conditions and supervision. Aftercare is rehabilitation aid offered after the period of jail or probation and helps released offenders to meet the obstacles of returning to society.

Reformation has been recognized as important in the Probation of Offenders Act, 1958, fundamental principles and many correctional programs in India. Similarly, France has created an institutionalized system of probation and social reintegration through its prison administration and probation services.

The comparison between India and France is essential since both nations regard rehabilitation as a key objective of criminal justice albeit their institutional setups and execution strategies are different. Through comparison research we can see both the pros and downsides of both systems and this gives us a chance to develop more effective correctional policies. This research seeks to answer the question of whether rehabilitation, probation and aftercare can offer a more effective and humane approach to criminal justice than punishment alone.

[1] United Nations General Assembly, United Nations Standard Minimum Rules for the Treatment of Prisoners (the Nelson Mandela Rules)

ISSN: 2582-6433

Objectives of Rehabilitation, Probation and Aftercare

Rehabilitation

Rehabilitation means the rehabilitation of the offender and his preparedness for his return into society as a responsible citizen. It seeks to address the personal, societal, economic and behavioural issues which may be the cause of criminal behaviour.

The major aims of the rehabilitation program are:

1. Reforming criminal behaviour through education and counselling.
2. Providing vocational training and employment opportunities.
3. Addressing psychological and social difficulties.
4. Developing respect for law and social responsibilities.
5. Reducing the possibility of reoffending.
6. Facilitating the successful reintegration of offenders into society.

Rehabilitation is based on the assumption that an offender may change and that the criminal justice system should provide fair opportunity for that improvement.

Probation

Probation is a type of correctional treatment that permits an offender to stay in the community rather than serving an immediate sentence to jail, provided that the criminal complies with terms established by the court.

Probation usually includes:

- Supervision by probation officers.
- Maintenance of proper conduct.
- Reporting and monitoring on a periodic basis.
- Compliance with Court Conditions.
- Participating in rehabilitation programs
- Social and Employment Adjustment Help.

Probation protects the society and reduces the unnecessary use of incarceration for suitable offenders.

Aftercare

After-care is the help given to criminals when they are released from prison or when they finish institutional correctional programs. Its aim is to ensure continuity of rehabilitation following release.

The major features of aftercare are:

ISSN: 2582-6433

1. Vocational assistance and help in finding job.
2. Housing and financial aid
3. Family counselling and social relationship rehabilitation.
4. Psychological and Emotional Support.
5. Rehabilitation in the community
6. Protection against discrimination and social stigma.

Aftercare is also important as released inmates typically have problems finding jobs, housing and acceptability in their communities.

Relationship between the three concepts

Rehabilitation, probation and aftercare are interrelated parts of a total system of corrections. The purpose of rehabilitation is to alter the criminal. Probation allows for correction in the community, while aftercare gives assistance to the individual following release. Together these methods promote a continuous cycle of rehabilitation and reintegration, rather than simply considering incarceration as the only solution to crime.

LEGAL FRAMEWORK OF PROBATION AND REHABILITATION IN INDIA

Constitutional Framework

The Constitution of India provides the basis of a compassionate and reformative criminal justice system.

1. Article 14 - Equal rights before the law

Article 14 provides for equality before law and equal protection of laws. It supports the fair and non-arbitrary treatment of prisoners and offenders in a correctional setting.

2. Article 21 - Protection of Life and Personal Liberty

Article 21 provides for the right of a person to life and liberty. The Supreme Court has interpreted this provision to encompass the right to live with dignity. Prisoners do not lose their basic rights just because they have been convicted of a crime.[2]

3. Article 39A - Free legal aid and equality of justice

Article 39A directs the State to offer free legal aid and achieve socialistic justice. Prisoners and released offenders often have problems in accessing justice and rehabilitation services. [3]Legal

[2] Sunil Batra v. Delhi Administration, (1978) 4 SCC 494.
[3] INDIA CONST. art. 39A

ISSN: 2582-6433

aid is vital in this respect.

These constitutional principles are the bedrock of a penal system built on dignity, fairness and human rights.

Probation of Offenders Act, 1958.

The Probation of Offenders Act, 1958 is the principal legislation which applies to the probation in India. It is intended to provide facilities for the release of suitable criminals on probation or after due admonition instead of imposing incarceration in all cases. [4]

The main provisions are:

- Section 3: Permits courts to forgive certain offenses after proper admonishment.
- Section 4. Allows qualifying offenders to be released on good conduct probation.
- Section 5. Gives courts the power to award compensation and fees.
- Section 6: Restricts the incarceration of offenders under twenty-one years of age, on statutory conditions.
- Section 7. Confidentiality of reports made by probation officers.
- Sections 13 and 14: Deal with the appointment and duties of probation officers.
- Section 12 Removal of several disqualifications attached to conviction.

Section 4 is of particular importance since it enables courts to place eligible offenders on probation, within the statutory standards for a time not exceeding three years. The court may also mandate supervision by a probation officer.

The law is a reflection of the fact that India knows jail is not the automatic punishment for all offenses.

Rehabilitation in Indian Prisons

There is an increasing expectation that Indian jails should be institutes for correction and not only sites of imprisonment. Prison rehabilitation also involves educational programs, vocational training, counselling, skill development and recreational activities.

Prison administration's various programs including the Model Prison Manual, 2016 guide prisoner welfare, correctional treatment and rehabilitation.[5]

The prison administration also acknowledges aftercare. The provisions of aftercare and rehabilitation in the Prison Manual are intended to help the released inmates to overcome social

[4] The Probation of Offenders Act, 1958 (Act 20 of 1958), Preamble.
[5] Ministry of Home Affairs, Government of India, Model Prison Manual 2016 (2016).

ISSN: 2582-6433

and economic problems and to restore their ties with their families and communities.

But these are applied differently in the States as jail management is a state matter.

JUDICIAL PERSPECTIVE ON REHABILITATION IN INDIA

The Indian Judiciary has traditionally laid emphasis on reformative justice.

1. Rattan Lal vs. State of Punjab

The Supreme Court has highlighted the reformative intent of the Probation of Offenders Act, 1958. This court recognized the need to give the proper criminals a chance to improve rather than throwing them unnecessarily in prison.[6]

2. Isher Das vs. State of Punjab

The Supreme Court took notice of the positive and reformative feature of the legislation of probation. The conclusion is for generous interpretation of regulations intended to give proper offenders opportunity for rehabilitation.[7]

3. Mohd Giasuddin vs. State of Andhra Pradesh

The Supreme Court has accepted the reformative approach to punishment. The Court has stated that modern penology must be concerned more with the rehabilitation and social re-adaptation of the criminal than with the simple infliction of punishment.

These rulings presuppose a judicial recognition that the ultimate purpose of criminal justice should be to provide for the prospect of rehabilitating offenders.[8]

REHABILITATION AND PROBATION IN FRANCE

France has established an organized penitentiary system that emphasizes rehabilitation, community supervision and prevention of reoffending. I know that the French criminal justice system recognizes that incarceration is not the sole effective means of addressing criminal behaviour.

Legal Framework in France

1. French Penal Code (Code pénal).
2. French Code of Criminal Procedure (Code de procédure pénale).
3. French Prison Code (Code pénitentiaire).

[6] Rattan Lal v. State of Punjab, AIR 1965 SC 444.
[7] Ishar Das v. State of Punjab, AIR 1972 SC 1295
[8] Mohammad Giasuddin v. State of Andhra Pradesh, (1977) 3 SCC 287.

ISSN: 2582-6433

4. Legislation governing the execution and individualisation of criminal sentences.[9]

These legislative institutions provide options for probation, alternative sentencing, sentence adjustment and rehabilitation.

Probation under the French Penal Code

In the French Penal Code, there is a scheme called sursis probatoire (Suspended Sentence with Probation) which is usually a suspended sentence with probationary monitoring.

Under the system, the court may suspend the serving of a term of imprisonment if the criminal fulfils specified requirements and complies with supervisory procedures. The applicable provisions are Articles 132-40 et seq. of the French Penal Code, including Articles 132-43, 132-44 and 132-45.[10]

These rules concern probationary obligations, supervision measures and help for social reintegration.

Conditions might be:

- Regular visits to the probation service.
- Participating in school or vocational programs.
- Finding or Keeping a Job
- Receiving the correct treatment in the right place.
- To stay away from some people.
- Residential and other court order monitoring.

The technique in France is a blend of supervision and social aid seeking to avoid recidivism, but also to keep the criminal linked to the society.

The role of the Services Pénitentiaires d'Insertion et de Probation (SPIP)

One of the most important characteristics of the French correctional system is the creation of the Services Pénitentiaires d'Insertion et de Probation (Prison Integration and Probation Services) (SPIP). [11]

They are integration and probation services in prisons, under the control of the French prison administration.

[9] Code pénal [French Penal Code]; Code de procédure pénale [French Code of Criminal Procedure]; Code pénitentiaire [French Prison Code] (France).
[10] Code pénal [French Penal Code] arts. 132-40 to 132-53 (France).
[11] Code pénitentiaire [French Prison Code] arts. D113-59 and D113-60 (France).

ISSN: 2582-6433

Their key responsibilities include:

- Supervision of convicts serving community sentences.
- Helping inmates when they are incarcerated.
- Development of individual rehabilitation programs.
- Helping convicts obtain housing and jobs.
- Keeping up social and family relationships.
- Monitoring compliance with judicial responsibilities.
- Individualized help to reduce recidivism.

The SPIP operates both inside prisons and outside jail institutions. It has multi-disciplinary teams consisting of probation officers, social workers, psychologists and other relevant staff.[12] One of the most fundamental features of this structure is that probation is not regarded as a simple system of surveillance. It also includes recognizing the social and personal issues of offenders and associating them with appropriate support resources.

Release Preparation and Aftercare in France

The French prison system places heavy emphasis on training convicts for their future reintegration to society.

The French Prison Code contains unique regulations on the preparation of inmates for release. Article D421-1 provides that the prison administration shall facilitate the preparation of detained prisoners for release in appropriate conditions. Article D421-3 provides for active preparation during the last period of incarceration, in particular for professional and social reintegration.

The rehabilitation procedure includes:

- Employment and employment training
- Learning opportunities.
- Restoration of familial relations.
- Help with housing.
- Social welfare assistance.
- Preparation for parole
- Coordination with other community-based groups.

SPIP helps coordinate these programs and establish individualized reintegration strategies.

[12] Ministry of Justice, Government of France, Role and Responsibilities of the Prison Integration and Probation Services (2019).

ISSN: 2582-6433

Alternative Measures to Imprisonment

The French criminal justice system has a range of strategies which lessen the reliance on imprisonment.

Some noteworthy examples are:

Community Service (Travail d'Intérêt Général): The perpetrator may be condemned to work unpaid for the benefit of the community. It encourages accountability and social responsibility.

Electronic Monitoring: Some offenders may be eligible to complete their sentences via electronic monitoring rather than prison.

Libération Conditionnelle (Conditional Release): Eligible offenders may be released before completion of sentence, under certain conditions and supervision.

Suspended Sentence with Probation: In sursis probatoire, offenders may avoid immediate incarceration, if they meet specific probationary requirements.

Such initiatives are a reflection of the French commitment to customized sentencing and correctional alternatives.

SIMILARITIES BETWEEN INDIA AND FRANCE

The two countries are institutionally distinct, although certain important ideas are common:

1. Both embrace rehabilitation as a worthy goal of the criminal justice process.
2. Both provide alternatives to jail for those offenders who qualify.
3. Both recognize the significance of probation supervision.
4. Both support education and vocational training.
5. Both acknowledge the need for reintegration into society.
6. Both strive to stop further crimes.

LESSONS INDIA CAN LEARN FROM FRANCE

The French model has many helpful lessons for developing Indian correctional system.

First, India might build a more integrated probation agency, with clear roles and professional standards.

Second, rehabilitation programs should be tailored to the requirements, background and circumstances of offenders.

Third, aftercare should start before the prisoner is released and should involve community

ISSN: 2582-6433

support structures.[13]

Fourthly, there should be greater co-operation between jail authorities, probation officers, employers, educational institutions and social welfare groups.

Finally, rehabilitation should be measured by outcomes such as employment, social adjustment and rates of reoffending.

CHALLENGES IN THE IMPLEMENTATION OF REHABILITATION AND AFTERCARE

Legal and institutional frameworks for rehabilitation have been devised in India and France but there are various problems impacting their practical effectiveness.

CHALLENGES IN INDIA

1. Absence of probation infrastructure

The lack of skilled probation officers and institutional resources impacts the supervision and rehabilitation of offenders.

2. Overcrowding in Prisons

Overcrowded jails have challenges of individual attention, educational facilities and effective correctional programs.[14]

3. Social Stigma

Former inmates endure discrimination from employers, neighbours and society. This can make successful reintegration harder.

4. Not enough jobs

The vast majority of ex-prisoners have difficulty obtaining employment because of their criminal records, insufficient qualifications and lack of occupational experience.

5. Lack of Aftercare Services

Post-release assistance is not consistent in India. The lack of collaboration between the jail authority and the community organizations makes things complicated too.[15]

6. Lack of Knowledge of Probation

Eligible offenders may not get the benefit of probation due to lack of awareness, lack of institutional capability or lack of consideration of particular circumstances.

[13] United Nations General Assembly, United Nations Standard Minimum Rules for the Treatment of Prisoners (the Nelson Mandela Rules), G.A. Res. 70/175, Rules 87–90 and 107–108 (2015).
[14] National Crime Records Bureau, Ministry of Home Affairs, Government of India, Prison Statistics India 2022,
[15] Ministry of Home Affairs, Government of India, Model Prison Manual 2016, ch. XXII, After-Care and Rehabilitation (2016).

ISSN: 2582-6433

TROUBLES IN FRANCE

1. Workload of Probation Service

Professionals in SPIP may have enormous caseloads which limit the time and attention which can be afforded individual offenders.

2. Jobs and housing problems

Institutional support might not be sufficient to ensure ex-offenders' stable employment and housing.

3. Social Exclusion

Public stigma may impede the ability of ex-offenders to reintegrate.

4. Finding the Middle Ground: Supervision and Rehabilitation

Probation services have to balance oversight of legal duties with providing essential social aid.

5. Need for resources

Personalized rehabilitation requires sufficient staffing, professional training, and cooperation across government agencies and community organizations.

COMMON ISSUES

The comparison shows several shared difficulties:

- Social discrimination of ex-offenders.
- No involvement in the community
- Difficult to find a job.
- Psychological & emotional difficulties.
- Likelihood of re-offending.
- Lack of collaboration between social care providers and the penitentiary system.
- These issues suggest that legislative acknowledgment is insufficient. A robust institutional support and ongoing community engagement are essential for successful rehabilitation.[16]

FINDINGS OF THE STUDY

The comparative research of rehabilitation, probation and aftercare in India and France offers the following results:

1. **Recognition of Rehabilitative Justice:** Both India and France acknowledge that the

[16] United Nations General Assembly, United Nations Standard Minimum Rules for the Treatment of Prisoners (the Nelson Mandela Rules),

ISSN: 2582-6433

criminal justice system should not be solely punitive. One of the main aims of their correctional systems is rehabilitation and social reintegration.

2. **Significance of Probation:** Probation offers an alternative to jail for eligible offenders. India conducts this under the Probation of Offenders Act, 1958. France has a system of probation under supervision.
3. **Institutional differences:** India has probation officers and the State level penal administration whereas France has specialized Prison Integration and Probation Services for supervision and rehabilitation.
4. **The Need for Effective Aftercare** The release of an offender is not the end of the rehabilitation process. Continued support for employment, housing, education and family is needed to help facilitate effective reintegration.
5. **The significance of education and jobs:** Through vocational training, educational opportunities, and aid with finding employment, former convicts can overcome economic obstacles and establish secure lifestyles.
6. **Social stigma: A major obstacle: Individualised Rehabilitation:** Ex-inmates may face prejudice and social exclusion, which can make it difficult for them to reintegrate into society and make positive contributions.
7. **Individualised Rehabilitation:** Rehabilitation programs should be developed to take account of the specific circumstances, background, requirements and behavioural characteristics of the offender.
8. **Co-ordination of institutions:** Rehabilitation requires co-operation between jail officials, probation officers, social welfare institutions, employers and voluntary organizations for it to be effective.
9. **Lessons from the French System:** The French system shows the importance of linking rehabilitation in prison to community supervision and support after release. India might borrow certain parts of this institutional setup.
10. **Preventing Re-offending:** Effective rehabilitation, probation supervision and ongoing after-care are critical elements of measures to avoid re-offending.
11. **Need for Policy Reforms:** A stronger probation infrastructure in India, adequately trained staff, better after-care facilities, and rigorous monitoring of rehabilitation programs.
12. **Human Dignity and Social Justice:** Offenders must be treated as people capable of change. In the administration of criminal justice, respect for human dignity and opportunities for social reintegration must continue to be essential factors.

ISSN: 2582-6433

Overall finding: The study concludes that punishment alone cannot achieve all the purposes of criminal justice. The system of rehabilitation, probation and aftercare should be applied in a comprehensive manner to encourage reformation of offenders, prevent recidivism and facilitate successful reintegration to the society.

SUGGESTIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

The following modifications are suggested to enhance the effectiveness of rehabilitation, probation and aftercare:

1. Enhancing Probation Services

India should strengthen probation administration by providing adequate skilled probation officers and regular professional training.

2. Tailored Rehabilitation Programs

Rehabilitation programs should be tailored to the offender's age, education, criminal history, personal circumstances and rehabilitation needs.

3. Established Structured Aftercare Centres

Specialized aftercare centres should be established to provide released inmates temporary accommodation, counselling, employment and social help.

4. Skill development & employment

Cooperation between government agencies and private organizations is needed to provide vocational training and career prospects for ex-prisoners.

5. Strengthening community engagement

Rehabilitation programs should have the active involvement of non-governmental organizations, educational institutions, employers and community organizations.

6. Improving Education in Prison

Prisoners shall have the opportunity for education, vocational training and participation in other authorized training programs.

7. Learning from the French SPIP Model

India has to think in terms of a specialized and coordinated probation and reintegration service. The institutional approach of France might be taken as a model, but in the Indian context of social and administrative constraints.

8. Monitoring & Evaluation

Rehabilitation programmes should be examined periodically against such indicators as employment success, completion of probation, social reintegration and reduction in reoffending.

ISSN: 2582-6433

9. Reducing Social Stigma

Public awareness activities should be initiated to enable the public to embrace rehabilitated offenders and to acknowledge their right to reconstruct their lives.

10. Better planning before release

Rehabilitation should not be initiated only after release. In jail, individual release plans should be prepared, including lodging, job, family support and access to critical services.[17]

CONCLUSION

A comparative study of India and France demonstrates that the criminal justice system should not only be punishment but also reformation, rehabilitation and social reintegration of offenders. While the Indian legal framework recognizes the need of probation and rehabilitation, its efficiency is nonetheless hampered by practical problems such as the absence of probation services, limited aftercare facilities and social stigma. In France, in contrast, there is a highly organized system of professional probation supervision and institutional support for the rehabilitation of offenders.

The report highlights the need for education, vocational training, job possibilities and continued social support for released inmates. The French model can be a model for the Indian prison system to emulate relevant practices, notably in tailored rehabilitation and planned aftercare services.

Rehabilitation is not a short-term process but rather a continuous responsibility of the criminal justice system. A criminal justice system would be successful not only when it punishes but also when it prevents reoffending and turns a person into a responsible member of society. A judicial system's chances to improve help to grow not only individuals but a safer and inclusive society as well.

[17] Ministry of Home Affairs, Government of India, Model Prison Manual 2016, ch. XXII, Rules 22.05–22.09 (2016).