

INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL FOR LEGAL RESEARCH AND ANALYSIS



Open Access, Refereed Journal Multi Disciplinary
Peer Reviewed

www.ijlra.com

DISCLAIMER

No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored, transmitted, or distributed in any form or by any means, whether electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording, or otherwise, without prior written permission of the Managing Editor of the *International Journal for Legal Research & Analysis (IJLRA)*.

The views, opinions, interpretations, and conclusions expressed in the articles published in this journal are solely those of the respective authors. They do not necessarily reflect the views of the Editorial Board, Editors, Reviewers, Advisors, or the Publisher of IJLRA.

Although every reasonable effort has been made to ensure the accuracy, authenticity, and proper citation of the content published in this journal, neither the Editorial Board nor IJLRA shall be held liable or responsible, in any manner whatsoever, for any loss, damage, or consequence arising from the use, reliance upon, or interpretation of the information contained in this publication.

The content published herein is intended solely for academic and informational purposes and shall not be construed as legal advice or professional opinion.

**Copyright © International Journal for Legal Research & Analysis.
All rights reserved.**

ABOUT US

The *International Journal for Legal Research & Analysis (IJLRA)* (ISSN: 2582-6433) is a peer-reviewed, academic, online journal published on a monthly basis. The journal aims to provide a comprehensive and interactive platform for the publication of original and high-quality legal research.

IJLRA publishes Short Articles, Long Articles, Research Papers, Case Comments, Book Reviews, Essays, and interdisciplinary studies in the field of law and allied disciplines. The journal seeks to promote critical analysis and informed discourse on contemporary legal, social, and policy issues.

The primary objective of IJLRA is to enhance academic engagement and scholarly dialogue among law students, researchers, academicians, legal professionals, and members of the Bar and Bench. The journal endeavours to establish itself as a credible and widely cited academic publication through the publication of original, well-researched, and analytically sound contributions.

IJLRA welcomes submissions from all branches of law, provided the work is original, unpublished, and submitted in accordance with the prescribed submission guidelines. All manuscripts are subject to a rigorous peer-review process to ensure academic quality, originality, and relevance.

Through its publications, the *International Journal for Legal Research & Analysis* aspires to contribute meaningfully to legal scholarship and the development of law as an instrument of justice and social progress.

PUBLICATION ETHICS, COPYRIGHT & AUTHOR RESPONSIBILITY STATEMENT

The *International Journal for Legal Research and Analysis (IJLRA)* is committed to upholding the highest standards of publication ethics and academic integrity. All manuscripts submitted to the journal must be original, unpublished, and free from plagiarism, data fabrication, falsification, or any form of unethical research or publication practice. Authors are solely responsible for the accuracy, originality, legality, and ethical compliance of their work and must ensure that all sources are properly cited and that necessary permissions for any third-party copyrighted material have been duly obtained prior to submission. Copyright in all published articles vests with IJLRA, unless otherwise expressly stated, and authors grant the journal the irrevocable right to publish, reproduce, distribute, and archive their work in print and electronic formats. The views and opinions expressed in the articles are those of the authors alone and do not reflect the views of the Editors, Editorial Board, Reviewers, or Publisher. IJLRA shall not be liable for any loss, damage, claim, or legal consequence arising from the use, reliance upon, or interpretation of the content published. By submitting a manuscript, the author(s) agree to fully indemnify and hold harmless the journal, its Editor-in-Chief, Editors, Editorial Board, Reviewers, Advisors, Publisher, and Management against any claims, liabilities, or legal proceedings arising out of plagiarism, copyright infringement, defamation, breach of confidentiality, or violation of third-party rights. The journal reserves the absolute right to reject, withdraw, retract, or remove any manuscript or published article in case of ethical or legal violations, without incurring any liability.

AWARENESS AND EFFECTIVENESS OF LEGAL AID SERVICES: AN EMPIRICAL STUDY

AUTHORED BY - ARVINDCHAKARAVARTHI A
LL.M Final Year Student (Criminal Law) Crescent Law School,
B. S. Abdur Rahman Crescent Inst of Science & Technology, TN

ABSTRACT

Access to justice is the foundation of the rule of law. Having a legal system that is accessible in a legal sense is not enough. Individuals must be aware of their rights and have access to counsel, the relevant institutions and, if necessary, legal representation. Legal aid is intended to overcome the disadvantages which arise from social disadvantage, poverty, ignorance of the law and unequal access to expert advice. Legal Services Authorities Act, 1987 provides for the establishment of legal services authorities at the national, state, district and local levels and Article 39A of the Constitution of India directs the State to secure that the operation of the legal system promotes justice on a basis of equal opportunity and to provide free legal aid by suitable legislation or schemes or in any other way to ensure that opportunities for securing justice are not denied to any citizen by reason of economic or other disabilities. This study is a non-doctrinal study on the public perception on the effectiveness of the legal aid service in India. This includes awareness of the general availability of the scheme, eligibility and free help, familiarization with District Legal Services Authorities and Legal Aid Clinics, experience of using the scheme, perception of accessibility, level of public confidence, barriers in accessing the scheme and possible outreach strategies. The answer material presents a contradictory picture. The respondents appear to have some grasp of the general idea of legal assistance, but they are less consistent in their knowledge of the institutions, eligibility requirements and practical access. Lack of knowledge and ignorance seem to be major barriers. Help has been judged effective, mediocre or unclear. The respondents provide helpful suggestions such as educational institutions, legal literacy camps, awareness campaigns, and more accessible clinics. In addition to the presence of legal services organizations, the study concludes that public awareness, accessibility, quality of assistance, communication and follow-up should be taken into account when assessing the efficacy of legal aid. The return image is a cropped view of a spreadsheet, and we cannot reliably check each individual count. Therefore, the results are

presented in descriptive percentage terms rather than exact figures. The report points to ongoing community engagement, more precise eligibility information, more robust legal aid clinics, user-friendly referral systems and frequent assessment.

INTRODUCTION

Justice only makes sense if people can effectively exercise and defend their rights. Legal problems can arise in family disputes, at work, in housing, in consumer transactions, in criminal proceedings, in obtaining public benefits and in other areas of everyday life. An individual without legal knowledge or without the ability to hire an expert will not be able to defend themselves from an accusation, make an informed decision or a legitimate claim¹. This can have financial, as well as adverse effects on equality, liberty, dignity and trust in public institutions. One of the main ways the state tries to overcome these disadvantages is through legal aid. They can advise you on legal matters, help you prepare documents, represent you in court or in front of tribunals, counsel you, assist you with settlements and refer you to the right authorities. The actual service provided depends on the legal services organization, the type of case, and any eligibility restrictions that might apply. Hence, effective legal aid is more than just formal legal representation. People need to know that help is there, how to apply for it, that the process is reliable and they will get timely and qualified assistance. The Indian Constitution, in the Directive Principles of State Policy, visualizes equal justice and free legal aid. Article 39A² states that the State shall secure that the operation of the legal system promotes justice on a basis of equal opportunity. In particular, it shall ensure free legal aid by appropriate legislation, programs or other appropriate means. This constitutional goal is implemented through the Legal Services Authorities Act of 1987 which provides for the establishment of committees and legal services authorities at various levels. The framework is meant to provide legal services to those who qualify under the law and to bring help closer to the people. But there is a difference between legal help as a service that people can find and get, and legal aid as a legal right. General awareness of the phrase does not correlate with knowledge of the District Legal Services Authority (DLSA), Legal Aid Clinics, eligibility categories and how to seek assistance. The study therefore treats perceived efficacy and awareness as two distinct but related aspects of access to justice.

¹ Mauro Cappelletti and Bryant G. Garth, Access to Justice: The Worldwide Movement to Make Rights Effective (Sijthoff and Noordhoff, 1978).

² The constitution of India ,art 39A

SCOPE AND LIMITATIONS

The study examines awareness and effectiveness of legal aid programs in India, including knowledge of free aid, DLSAs, legal aid clinics, eligibility, accessibility, and ways to improve them. It does not assess the performance of any one authority or individual legal aid attorney, or the results of cases, or the quality of services, or institutional expenditures. The only downside is the way the response data was delivered: a screenshot of a snippet of a spreadsheet. A number of the response labels have been shortened and a number of the demographic and early survey columns hidden. This means you can't make exact figures, percentages or demographic comparisons from this picture alone. The results are not presented as national conclusions, but descriptive hints of the material seen. Further research needs to be based on fully anonymized dataset and protect the privacy of respondents.

LEGAL FRAMEWORK GOVERNING LEGAL AID IN INDIA

Constitutional basis

Article 39A of the Constitution requires the State to ensure that the legal system operates in a way that promotes justice on the basis of equal opportunity and to provide free legal aid through appropriate legislation, schemes or other means so that no citizen is denied the opportunity to secure justice due to economic or other disabilities. 39A, while being one of the Directive Principles of State Policy, has contributed greatly to the interpretation of the larger constitutional promise of justice and fairness. Also helpful are Articles 14 and 21. Article 14 guarantees equality before the law³ and equal protection of the laws. The Supreme Court has interpreted Article 21, "Right to life and personal liberty except according to procedure established by law" to mean that the procedure should be fair in the relevant circumstances. Legal assistance may be needed to make these guaranties effective for those who would otherwise be unable to participate meaningfully in judicial proceedings.

The Legal Services Authorities Act, 1987

The legislative basis of national, state, district and other legal services authorities and committees is the legislative Services Authorities Act of 1987. The National Legal Services Authority is responsible for co-ordinating the national framework. The State Legal Services Authorities and District Legal Services Authorities perform their functions in their respective areas. Legal services organizations may hold Lok Adalat, legal aid programs, legal literacy and

³ The constitution of India ,art 14

awareness campaigns and such other programs or events under the Act and the relevant programs. Section 12⁴ of the Act specifies the classes of persons who are entitled to be provided with legal services subject to legislative conditions. These include members of Scheduled Castes or Scheduled Tribes, victims of trafficking or beggar, women and children, people with disabilities, people affected by mass disasters or specific types of violence, industrial workers, people in custody and people whose income is below specified limits.” It is necessary to compare the specific implementation of the clause with the current laws, regulations and applicable income ceilings. It’s worth remembering not everyone will be eligible straight away, and every forum has a different income ceiling. Section 13⁵ provides that individuals are entitled to receive legal services under the Act where the qualifying requirements are met and there are reasonable prospects of success. In practice, a prospective applicant should be able to find the appropriate authorities, figure out the paperwork required, and get clear instructions on how to proceed. So public education on eligibility is the key to successful implementation.

Legal aid Clinic and District Legal Services Authority

DLSAs are important local institutions as they link the communities in the districts to the statutory system. They may also provide information on how to apply for legal aid, and organize clinics, referrals, legal awareness initiatives and other services. Legal Aid Clinics are meant to provide basic legal assistance and information to those who may find it difficult to approach formal legal institutions. Practical value is affected by location, operational arrangements, availability of qualified staff, language availability, public awareness and efficient referral systems.

Judicial interpretation

In Hussainara Khatoon v. State of Bihar the Supreme Court observed the connection between criminal justice administration, poverty and undertrial detention⁶. In Khatri (II) v. State of Bihar, the Court accepted the need for providing legal aid to the impoverished defendants at the right stage of the criminal proceedings⁷. In Suk Das v. Union Territory of Arunachal Pradesh, the Court highlighted the importance of free legal aid and the need to ensure that a person’s ignorance does not impede their right⁸. The authorities are in favour of legal aid being

⁴The Legal Service Authority Act 1987 section 12.

⁵ The Legal Service Authority Act 1987 section 13.

⁶ Hussainara Khatoon v. Home Secretary, State of Bihar, (1980) 1 SCC 98.

⁷ Khatri v. State of Bihar, (1981) 1 SCC 627.

⁸ Suk Das v. Union Territory of Arunachal Pradesh, (1986) 2 SCC 401.

meaningful and practically accessible. Decisions form the normative context of this empirical investigation. The promise of equal justice may not be fully realized if people are entitled but do not know that help is available, cannot find the appropriate authority, or do not feel comfortable approaching it. Awareness and accessibility can be empirically measured, which can help to identify areas for improvement in public communication and service delivery.

ANALYSIS OF FINDINGS

The following analysis is deliberately descriptive. There are no numerical values for the broad trends observed in the answer material. Go through the entire worksheet and type in exact counts and exact percentages. This is an important distinction, since an empirical paper must be able to tell what the data shows reliably and what would require further calculation.

General awareness of legal aid

From the responses it is seen that most of the respondents know or have heard about the legal aid services. This would indicate that the group featured in the content is not entirely unfamiliar with the idea. But universal recognition is only the first step in consciousness. The person may have heard the term from friends, family, an attorney, or online resources, if they did not know how to get in touch with a legal services organization or what assistance might be available. The finding therefore demonstrates the difference between name awareness and actionable awareness⁹. Publicity should go much beyond telling people that legal aid is available. It should outline the kinds of assistance that are available, who could be eligible, where an application can be submitted, and how someone can follow up.

Knowledge and qualifications of gratuitous assistance

Responses to questions on free legal aid and eligibility were mixed, with some positive, some unclear and some negative. Thus, the idea of free legal aid may be more well known than the specific form of eligibility. Eligibility is an important issue as there is a chance that people may wrongly think they are not. Eligible or may expect support without knowing the relevant statutory requirements. Legal services authorities should have eligibility guidelines that are clear, up to date and easy to identify. Printed pamphlets, short videos, frequently asked questions and help desks can explain the main categories and signpost to the right authority to conduct an individual assessment, but these materials should not over-simplify the law or

⁹ National Legal Services Authority, "Legal Services," available at NALSA

promise automatic approval.

Awareness of Legal Aid Clinics and DLSA

Responses vary in their knowledge of the institutional dimension of legal aid, for instance of DLSAs and Legal Aid Clinics. This is important because these bodies are a bridge between general knowledge and practical assistance, and if a respondent is willing to accept help, they may not make an application if they do not know the name of the local authority or what it is supposed to do. Hence, community based organizations, educational institutions and local bodies may disseminate awareness materials containing name and contact details of concerned DLSA, location, office hours, channels of applications available and details about local Legal Aid Clinics.

Information Sources

The response choices are: social media, the internet, newspapers, friends, family and lawyers as potential sources of information about legal aid. The visible material indicates that respondents get information from multiple sources; information can be rapidly dispersed via digital communication, but personal networks and lawyers can offer reliable referrals, but informal sources can also spread information that is incomplete or outdated. Outreach strategy needs to be a blend of the digital and offline communication. Current information can be disseminated through official websites and verified social media accounts, while community meetings, legal literacy camps, schools, colleges and local service centres can reach people with limited internet access. Any information made available to the public must direct users to the official legal services authorities for verification.

Perceived quality of use and of experience

The positive, negative and uncertain answers to the question whether the respondent or a family member used legal aid mean that not all of the group have the same direct experience. The assistance is evaluated in categories from very effective to average, but the available data do not allow a reliable calculation of the share of each category. Future research should distinguish between direct users and non-users and ask users about the nature of the matter, the timeliness, communication, preparation, satisfaction with the outcome and whether they understood the advice they received. This rating should be treated with caution as a respondent who has not personally used legal aid may be responding on the basis of general impressions or on the experience of someone else.

Perceived ease of access

From the responses we can see that there are mixed feelings about accessibility. Some respondents for example say it is easy to get to, others say it is difficult, very difficult or do not know. This variation suggests that a single description of the system would not be enough. Access may vary according to location, knowledge, transport, language, digital access, disability, documentation requirements and the urgency or complexity of the legal problem. Legal services organizations should regularly evaluate the process for seeking help, streamline paperwork, clarify referral pathways, and ensure that those seeking help can access information without requiring multiple visits, even if a service is technically available but may be difficult to access in practice.

Aid to economically disadvantaged areas

The pattern of responses reveals neutral or opposing opinions in addition to agreement that legal aid is a means of economically disadvantaged groups in obtaining justice. This shows that although the social objective of legal aid is widely recognized, there is an uneven trust in its real impact. Legal aid that is perceived to be responsive and accountable will increase public trust. The system should communicate not only that the service is free for those who qualify, but what the service can actually provide, how complaints or concerns can be raised, and what to do in the event that a matter needs to be referred.

Reasons for not using

The response material identified lack of awareness, lack of information about eligibility, fear or hesitation and difficulty in approaching authorities. These are different barriers which require different responses: lack of awareness needs outreach; confusion about eligibility needs clear explanations; hesitation needs courteous, private and non-threatening service; and difficulty in approaching authorities needs accessible contact points and streamlined procedures. Information barriers are particularly important. Awareness programs need to focus on specific questions, not general slogans. A good program should enable participants to understand which institution they should approach, their basic eligibility, how to contact them and where to find reliable follow-up information.

Public awareness in local area

These ratings are subjective and should be considered as perceptions rather than an objective measure of the entire locality. The visible ratings for local public awareness are low and

moderate, with other responses also present. This shows that respondents do not share a common perception of high community awareness. Future surveys can improve measurement of local awareness by defining the geographic area, using a consistent sampling method, and testing practical knowledge in addition to asking respondents to rate their awareness. The results can help authorities decide where to prioritize legal literacy and outreach efforts within communities.

Adequate assistance and suggestions for improvement

Adequacy may depend on the type of legal issue, the expectations of the respondent and the quality or continuity of support received. A service should be assessed not just on whether there was an initial contact but whether clear advice, appropriate representation and information on the progress of their matter was provided to users. There are mixed views as to whether legal aid assistance is adequate, ranging from positive, partial, negative and uncertain. The response material has flagged up improvement options such as awareness programs, legal literacy camps, additional Legal Aid Clinics and awareness activities in schools and colleges. These options suggest a combined strategy: regular public education, local access points and early legal literacy for young people. Monitoring should be linked with outreach to enable authorities to measure how well people retain the information and can access services when they need them.

Perceived efficacy, in general

The results indicate a balanced conclusion that legal aid is recognized as an important mechanism but its effectiveness is dependent on awareness, access, service quality and follow-up. Overall ratings are in the categories of very effective, effective, moderately effective and less effective. The range indicates that respondents believe in the value of legal aid but do not necessarily feel that its performance is universally strong. With a complete dataset it is not possible to know the percentage of respondents in each category, or whether assessments are different with demographics or prior use, so the results are exploratory rather than definitive. The present analysis should be regarded as identifying areas for further research and practical improvement.

DISCUSSION

The response material says that legal aid awareness is multi-dimensional; just repeating the words “free legal aid” in an awareness campaign may make people aware of the term, but it

will not help a person navigate the system. Knowledge of the term does not imply knowledge of the right, understanding of eligibility, familiarity with local institutions or confidence in the service, and an effective public policy must work on all those levels. Furthermore, the results suggest that accessibility and awareness are mutually reinforcing: people are more likely to seek help if they know where to go and that the process is manageable; conversely, difficult procedures or ambiguous experiences can erode confidence and dilute word-of-mouth recommendations. Thus, outreach and quality of service should be complementary responsibilities. Another implication is the contrast between formal availability and effective access. The mere establishment of a DLSA or Legal Aid Clinic is an institutional achievement, but the public needs to know how to get there and obtain a useful response. Effective access encompasses physical location, access for persons with disabilities, language, digital options, straightforward communication, and respectful treatment. These factors are especially critical for individuals who are already socially or economically disadvantaged. The study also highlights the necessity of accurate and timely information. Legal services authorities should operate through official channels and trusted community intermediaries. Legal literacy can be particularly valuable in educational institutions, as students can learn about rights and public institutions before they encounter a legal problem. Finally, useful indicators such as response time, applicants' understanding of eligibility decisions, continuity of representation, user satisfaction, case progress and people's knowledge of how to seek further assistance should be collected ethically and without disclosing private case information. Effectiveness should be evaluated in terms of user experience and outcomes, as well as public perceptions.

RECOMMENDATION

Practical and Localised awareness programmes

The legal services authorities should organize regular awareness programs in public institutions, workplaces, rural areas, neighbourhoods and community centres¹⁰. The sessions should be in simple language and should contain examples of common legal issues. Each program should have clear contact information of the concerned DLSA and instructions on how to apply for an eligibility assessment.

Legal Literacy of Schools and Colleges

Educational efforts should provide information about rights and institutions but should not

¹⁰ The Legal Services Authorities Act, 1987, s. 4.

imply that general information is a substitute for individual legal advice. Schools and colleges should have age appropriate legal literacy classes, invite representatives from legal services and disseminate authentic information about legal aid. College legal aid cells and law students can help in community education under appropriate supervision.

Legal Aid Clinics

Authorities should consider whether the location and timing of clinics is convenient to people with transportation, employment or disability barriers. Legal aid clinics should be visible, accessible and staffed regularly. The location and opening hours should be publicized and there should be a clear referral process for matters that require specialized help.

General eligibility criteria

Digital checklists can assist people to prepare for an application, but do not substitute official assessment. Information on eligibility must be available in plain language and in local languages. Materials must cover the main points of Section 12 of the Legal Services Authorities Act, 1987, with the understanding that the authority must apply its mind to the facts of the case, and the rules that apply.

User friendly application and follow

Where possible, authorities ought to offer more than one way for people to make inquiries, such as in-person help and reliable telephone or online contact. Those applying should know what happens after they make contact, what documents may be needed, how they will be told of a decision and how to follow up. People should not be left wondering if their request has been received or not.

Quality Assurance & Accountability

Feedback on timeliness, communication, preparation, courteous treatment and whether the service met the user's needs. Complaints should be handled by an open procedure and monitoring should be for improvement and private when dealing with legal matters.

Public communication

Digital campaigns should provide links to official sources and be updated if contact details or regulations change. Materials should avoid technical language and clarify the difference between general legal information and case specific advice. Local bodies, civil society

organizations, community leaders, libraries, educational institutions and responsible media can partner with authorities to enhance outreach.

Periodic Empirical Assessment

Cross-tabulation can be used to test whether awareness differs by age, education, occupation or income, provided the sample size is large enough. The questionnaire and anonymized data should be kept so that the results can be independently verified. Future surveys should use a complete dataset, a clear sampling frame and transparent coding. Researchers should report the number of respondents for each option, totals of valid responses, missing values and percentages.

CONCLUSION

The Legal Services Authorities Act, 1987 and Article 39A provide a substantive legal and institutional framework and Supreme Court decisions have stressed that legal aid must be meaningful and not merely a formality. However, a legal framework does not guaranty that people are aware of or have access to services. Legal aid is an important mechanism to translate constitutional commitment to equal justice into practical assistance. The response material analysed in this study presents a mixed picture of awareness and perceived effectiveness. There seems to be a co-existence of general awareness about legal aid with uncertainty about eligibility, local institutions and access procedures. Respondents identified informational barriers, hesitation and difficulty in approaching authorities; they also suggested awareness programs, legal literacy camps, more clinics and initiatives in schools or colleges to improve the system. The study's primary finding is that awareness, accessibility and quality need to be improved simultaneously. Public awareness should be informative, the accessibility of local institutions, clarity of guidance to applicants, and quality of service should be measured through continuous feedback. The results are descriptive in nature as the screenshot provided does not allow for an exact check of all response counts, frequency tables, percentage, and relationships between demographic factors, awareness, and perceived effectiveness can be further explored with the whole dataset. Legal aid works best in the end when a person in need can find the service, understand the process, get the right help and actively participate in pursuing justice. These practical conditions need to be reinforced so that they can make equal justice a lived reality, not a verbal promise.