

INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL FOR LEGAL RESEARCH AND ANALYSIS



Open Access, Refereed Journal Multi Disciplinary
Peer Reviewed

www.ijlra.com

DISCLAIMER

No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored, transmitted, or distributed in any form or by any means, whether electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording, or otherwise, without prior written permission of the Managing Editor of the *International Journal for Legal Research & Analysis (IJLRA)*.

The views, opinions, interpretations, and conclusions expressed in the articles published in this journal are solely those of the respective authors. They do not necessarily reflect the views of the Editorial Board, Editors, Reviewers, Advisors, or the Publisher of IJLRA.

Although every reasonable effort has been made to ensure the accuracy, authenticity, and proper citation of the content published in this journal, neither the Editorial Board nor IJLRA shall be held liable or responsible, in any manner whatsoever, for any loss, damage, or consequence arising from the use, reliance upon, or interpretation of the information contained in this publication.

The content published herein is intended solely for academic and informational purposes and shall not be construed as legal advice or professional opinion.

**Copyright © International Journal for Legal Research & Analysis.
All rights reserved.**

ABOUT US

The *International Journal for Legal Research & Analysis (IJLRA)* (ISSN: 2582-6433) is a peer-reviewed, academic, online journal published on a monthly basis. The journal aims to provide a comprehensive and interactive platform for the publication of original and high-quality legal research.

IJLRA publishes Short Articles, Long Articles, Research Papers, Case Comments, Book Reviews, Essays, and interdisciplinary studies in the field of law and allied disciplines. The journal seeks to promote critical analysis and informed discourse on contemporary legal, social, and policy issues.

The primary objective of IJLRA is to enhance academic engagement and scholarly dialogue among law students, researchers, academicians, legal professionals, and members of the Bar and Bench. The journal endeavours to establish itself as a credible and widely cited academic publication through the publication of original, well-researched, and analytically sound contributions.

IJLRA welcomes submissions from all branches of law, provided the work is original, unpublished, and submitted in accordance with the prescribed submission guidelines. All manuscripts are subject to a rigorous peer-review process to ensure academic quality, originality, and relevance.

Through its publications, the *International Journal for Legal Research & Analysis* aspires to contribute meaningfully to legal scholarship and the development of law as an instrument of justice and social progress.

PUBLICATION ETHICS, COPYRIGHT & AUTHOR RESPONSIBILITY STATEMENT

The *International Journal for Legal Research and Analysis (IJLRA)* is committed to upholding the highest standards of publication ethics and academic integrity. All manuscripts submitted to the journal must be original, unpublished, and free from plagiarism, data fabrication, falsification, or any form of unethical research or publication practice. Authors are solely responsible for the accuracy, originality, legality, and ethical compliance of their work and must ensure that all sources are properly cited and that necessary permissions for any third-party copyrighted material have been duly obtained prior to submission. Copyright in all published articles vests with IJLRA, unless otherwise expressly stated, and authors grant the journal the irrevocable right to publish, reproduce, distribute, and archive their work in print and electronic formats. The views and opinions expressed in the articles are those of the authors alone and do not reflect the views of the Editors, Editorial Board, Reviewers, or Publisher. IJLRA shall not be liable for any loss, damage, claim, or legal consequence arising from the use, reliance upon, or interpretation of the content published. By submitting a manuscript, the author(s) agree to fully indemnify and hold harmless the journal, its Editor-in-Chief, Editors, Editorial Board, Reviewers, Advisors, Publisher, and Management against any claims, liabilities, or legal proceedings arising out of plagiarism, copyright infringement, defamation, breach of confidentiality, or violation of third-party rights. The journal reserves the absolute right to reject, withdraw, retract, or remove any manuscript or published article in case of ethical or legal violations, without incurring any liability.

THE ROLE OF THE GOODS AND SERVICES TAX (GST) IN SHAPING SMALL AND MEDIUM ENTERPRISES (SMEs) AND RURAL ENTREPRENEURSHIP

AUTHORED BY - RIYA SINHA

Guest Faculty, Department of Commerce, Ambedkar College, Fatikroy

Abstract

The implementation of the Goods and Services Tax (GST) stands as one of the most significant structural economic reforms in modern fiscal history, fundamentally redefining indirect taxation by replacing a fragmented cascading system with a unified, destination-based tax architecture. This descriptive research paper examines the dual impact of GST on Small and Medium Enterprises (SMEs) and rural entrepreneurship. Utilizing a comprehensive review of secondary policy data, economic literature, and institutional reports, the study analyzes how the transition to a formalized tax framework has altered operational realities. The findings indicate that while GST has successfully eliminated tax cascading, expanded geographical market access through streamlined logistics, and improved long-term institutional credit eligibility via formalization, it has simultaneously introduced severe short-term friction points. These vulnerabilities include disproportionate compliance costs, acute working capital compression driven by automated Input Tax Credit (ITC) locks, and systemic digital exclusion among rural enterprises lacking technological literacy and infrastructure. Ultimately, the paper provides strategic policy recommendations aimed at bridging the digital-rural divide and simplifying compliance architectures to sustain grassroots economic growth.

Keywords: Goods and Services Tax, Small and Medium Enterprises, Rural Entrepreneurship, Tax Cascading, Input Tax Credit, Economic Formalization, Digital Divide.

Introduction

Small and Medium Enterprises (SMEs) and rural startups serve as the primary engine for socio-economic development in emerging economies, particularly in India. These enterprises drive localized innovation, account for a substantial portion of manufacturing output, and absorb a vast, diverse labor pool outside major urban agglomerations. Historically, however, these small-scale entities operated within a severely fragmented sub-national tax ecosystem

characterized by complex, multi-layered central and state levies, such as Central Excise Duty, Service Tax, State Value Added Tax (VAT), Octroi, and Entry Tax. This archaic system induced a "tax-on-tax" cascading effect, artificially inflating production costs and restricting the geographic mobility of small businesses.

The introduction of the Goods and Services Tax (GST) aimed to dismantle these internal trade barriers by creating a singular, unified national market under the philosophy of "One Nation, One Tax." By converting indirect taxation into an electronic, destination-based value-added framework, the reform sought to formalize the unorganized sector, enhance tax compliance, and boost supply chain efficiencies. Yet, the impact of such structural overhauls is rarely uniform. For rural entrepreneurs and micro-enterprises operating at the periphery of digital infrastructure, the sudden mandate for technology-driven, periodic tax compliance presents a stark contrast to the realities of grassroots commerce. This paper provides a detailed descriptive analysis of how the structural design of GST intersects with the operational landscapes of SMEs and rural entrepreneurs, evaluating both its transformative benefits and its unintended systemic constraints.

Literature Review

Prior to the implementation of unified consumption taxes, economic literature heavily documented the regressive nature of cascading taxes on small businesses. Early public finance models demonstrated that when taxes are levied at every stage of a supply chain without relief for prior taxes paid, smaller, non-integrated firms suffer disproportionately compared to large, vertically integrated corporations.

Following the global adoption of value-added frameworks, researchers shifted their focus toward compliance asymmetries. Studies consistently reveal that the administrative cost of tax compliance exhibits economies of scale; large corporations possess the dedicated financial infrastructure and legal personnel to absorb regulatory changes seamlessly, whereas SMEs face regressive compliance burdens where a higher percentage of their lean revenue is redirected toward administrative maintenance.

In the specific context of rural entrepreneurship, contemporary research highlights a pronounced "digital-divide friction." Rural enterprises frequently face severe infrastructural challenges, including erratic power supplies, unstable internet connectivity, and low digital

accounting literacy. Consequently, institutional reports indicate that while macro-level formalization expands the national tax base and stabilizes supply chains, it can inadvertently marginalize rural micro-enterprises that cannot quickly pivot from cash-based, informal workflows to rigid, cloud-based tax filing systems.

Theoretical Framework and Structural Benefits

The foundational economic theory underlying the GST model rests on value-addition tracking and tax neutrality through a continuous chain of production and distribution. This framework alters the growth trajectory of the SME and rural sectors via four primary structural mechanisms:

1. Eradication of the Cascading Tax Effect

Under the legacy tax system, a manufacturer could not claim credit for state VAT paid on raw materials against central excise duty owed on final output. GST systematically eliminates this hidden compounding cost through a comprehensive **Input Tax Credit (ITC)** mechanism. By ensuring that tax is levied strictly on the value added at each specific node, the real cost of production drops, directly improving the price competitiveness of small-scale domestic products against foreign imports or mass-produced corporate goods.

2. Logistical Unification and Supply Chain Rationalization

Before GST, interstate commerce was bottlenecked by physical state borders, octroi check-posts, and complex compliance documentation like "C-Forms." These barriers delayed cargo transit, caused inventory decay for rural agro-processing units, and forced SMEs to maintain highly inefficient warehouse networks in multiple states purely for tax mitigation. The abolition of state border checkpoints and the integration of electronic **E-Way Bills** have slashed logistical turnaround times, allowing rural enterprises to service distant metropolitan markets without local distribution hubs.

3. Threshold Exemptions and the Composition Scheme

To insulate micro-enterprises from severe regulatory burdens, the GST framework incorporates specific financial shock-absorbers:

- **Turnover Exemptions:** Micro-businesses with annual revenues below designated thresholds (typically ₹40 lakhs for goods and ₹20 lakhs for services) are exempt from

mandatory registration, preserving their localized cash-flow advantages.

- **The Composition Scheme:** Small traders and manufacturers with turnovers up to ₹1.5 crores can opt for a simplified, low-rate flat tax structure (ranging from 1% to 5%) accompanied by minimal, quarterly filing protocols, shielding them from detailed invoice-by-invoice accounting.

4. Digital Formalization and Institutional Credit Alignment

A primary barrier to SME scaling has historically been the lack of reliable financial auditing, which prevents traditional commercial banks from assessing their creditworthiness. Because the GST architecture records every transaction digitally on a centralized portal, an enterprise's tax-return history serves as a verifiable, tamper-proof record of business volume. Fintech platforms and public banks increasingly leverage this alternate data stream to extend cash-flow-based, unsecured institutional loans to rural entrepreneurs, eroding their dependency on predatory informal moneylenders.

Critical Challenges and Operational Bottlenecks

While the macro-economic thesis supporting GST is robust, its operational implementation has generated acute friction points across the micro-enterprise landscape.

1. Regressive Compliance Overhead

The operational design of GST demands continuous data symmetry. For a standard registered entity, compliance requires filing regular outbound supply returns (GSTR-1) and consolidated monthly summaries (GSTR-3B). Maintaining perpetual digital ledgers, matching invoices, and reconciling accounts requires dedicated software or specialized accounting personnel. For a lean rural entrepreneur, the recurring cost of hiring external tax consultants and digital assistants acts as an administrative tax, consuming a significant portion of their net operating margins.

2. Working Capital Contraction and Invoice-Matching Frictions

The realization of Input Tax Credit is strictly conditional upon **GSTR-2B invoice matching**. An SME can only claim credit for taxes paid on inputs if their upstream supplier uploads the corresponding invoice to the national portal correctly and on time. If a rural merchant buys raw materials from an informal, unregistered, or non-compliant local vendor, the SME's ITC is immediately blocked. Furthermore, delayed processing of export and input refunds by tax

authorities permanently traps liquid capital inside the tax ecosystem, triggering severe cash crunch emergencies for small enterprises operating on tight weekly cycles.

3. Infrastructure Deficits and Digital Literacy Asymmetry

The entire GST infrastructure is tethered to a centralized online utility. However, the distribution of digital literacy and hardware infrastructure remains highly unequal across regional lines. Rural entrepreneurs frequently grapple with unstable broadband connectivity, power blackouts, and localized user-interface complexities within the tax portal. When the digital portal experiences server lags during peak filing deadlines, rural firms incur financial penalties for late filings through no fault of their own, compounding their operational distress.

4. Market Exclusion and Competitive Asymmetries

The integration of the automated ITC loop has generated an unintended structural shift in purchasing behavior. Large corporate buyers increasingly refuse to source raw components or services from unorganized micro-enterprises that fall below the mandatory GST registration threshold. Corporations avoid these unregistered suppliers because purchases from them fail to generate an automated tax credit, thereby raising the corporate buyer's net tax liability. Consequently, the threshold exemption designed to protect micro-entrepreneurs inadvertently prices them out of mainstream supply chains, forcing them to choose between expensive formal compliance or market exclusion.

Policy Recommendations

To reconcile the structural objectives of tax formalization with the survival and expansion of grassroots enterprise networks, the following policy adjustments are proposed:

- **Automated Provisional ITC Release:** Implement a provisional mechanism that releases a significant percentage of claimed Input Tax Credits to verified micro-enterprises immediately upon filing, resolving invoice-matching delays through ex-post-facto end-of-quarter audits rather than immediate capital locks.
- **Establishment of Rural Tax Facilitation Hubs:** Launch localized, state-funded digital compliance kiosks at the village council or district level. These hubs should offer free high-speed internet access, basic billing software, and subsidized accounting assistance directly to rural businesses.

- **Expansion and Fine-Tuning of the Composition Scheme:** Modify the Composition Scheme to permit small-scale manufacturers to sell goods across state borders without forfeiting their simplified tax status, allowing rural artisans and food-processors to access national e-commerce channels without triggering complex regular GST returns.
- **Abolition of Late Fee Penalties for Rural Districts:** Introduce a flexible, tier-based compliance calendar or eliminate financial penalties for late filing for micro-enterprises operating in regions with documented infrastructural deficits or during periods of systemic portal maintenance.

Conclusion

The Goods and Services Tax has undeniably driven an irreversible wave of formalization across the small and medium enterprise sectors, converting a highly fragmented regional tax network into an integrated, data-driven domestic market. The elimination of compounding levies, the acceleration of interstate freight movements, and the creation of verifiable digital transaction histories have structurally elevated the scalability and creditworthiness of mid-sized firms.

However, for micro-scale operators and rural entrepreneurs, the reform remains a double-edged sword. The administrative rigidity of invoice matching, localized digital access barriers, and the threat of large-scale supply chain exclusion present profound challenges that cannot be resolved by fiscal theory alone. The long-term success of the GST regime relies on its adaptability. By executing targeted administrative reliefs, deploying rural digital support networks, and easing working capital restrictions, policymakers can ensure that this unified tax architecture acts as an inclusive catalyst for sustainable, grassroots economic prosperity rather than a barrier to entry.

References

- Bird, R. M., & Gendron, P. P. (2007). *The VAT in developing and transitional countries*. Cambridge University Press.
- Keen, M., & Lockwood, B. (2010). The value added tax: Its causes and consequences. *Journal of Development Economics*, 92(2), p.138–151. doi.org

- Ministry of Finance. (2017). *Economic survey 2016-17: Chapter 11. New taxation shifts and the informal footprint*. Government of India.
- National Institute of Public Finance and Policy. (2018). *Evaluation of the operational efficiency of the goods and services tax network*. NIPFP Research Reports.
- Mukherjee, S. (2020). Impact of GST on corporate supply chain efficiency and SME integration in emerging economies. *Journal of Business Research*, p.114, 412–421.
- Rao, M. G. (2019). Goods and Services Tax in India: Progress, performance, and prospects. *Economic and Political Weekly*, p.54(32), 44–50.

