

INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL FOR LEGAL RESEARCH AND ANALYSIS



Open Access, Refereed Journal Multi-Disciplinary
Peer Reviewed

www.ijlra.com

DISCLAIMER

No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored, transmitted, or distributed in any form or by any means, whether electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording, or otherwise, without prior written permission of the Managing Editor of the *International Journal for Legal Research & Analysis (IJLRA)*.

The views, opinions, interpretations, and conclusions expressed in the articles published in this journal are solely those of the respective authors. They do not necessarily reflect the views of the Editorial Board, Editors, Reviewers, Advisors, or the Publisher of IJLRA.

Although every reasonable effort has been made to ensure the accuracy, authenticity, and proper citation of the content published in this journal, neither the Editorial Board nor IJLRA shall be held liable or responsible, in any manner whatsoever, for any loss, damage, or consequence arising from the use, reliance upon, or interpretation of the information contained in this publication.

The content published herein is intended solely for academic and informational purposes and shall not be construed as legal advice or professional opinion.

**Copyright © International Journal for Legal Research & Analysis.
All rights reserved.**

ABOUT US

The *International Journal for Legal Research & Analysis (IJLRA)* (ISSN: 2582-6433) is a peer-reviewed, academic, online journal published on a monthly basis. The journal aims to provide a comprehensive and interactive platform for the publication of original and high-quality legal research.

IJLRA publishes Short Articles, Long Articles, Research Papers, Case Comments, Book Reviews, Essays, and interdisciplinary studies in the field of law and allied disciplines. The journal seeks to promote critical analysis and informed discourse on contemporary legal, social, and policy issues.

The primary objective of IJLRA is to enhance academic engagement and scholarly dialogue among law students, researchers, academicians, legal professionals, and members of the Bar and Bench. The journal endeavours to establish itself as a credible and widely cited academic publication through the publication of original, well-researched, and analytically sound contributions.

IJLRA welcomes submissions from all branches of law, provided the work is original, unpublished, and submitted in accordance with the prescribed submission guidelines. All manuscripts are subject to a rigorous peer-review process to ensure academic quality, originality, and relevance.

Through its publications, the *International Journal for Legal Research & Analysis* aspires to contribute meaningfully to legal scholarship and the development of law as an instrument of justice and social progress.

PUBLICATION ETHICS, COPYRIGHT & AUTHOR RESPONSIBILITY STATEMENT

The *International Journal for Legal Research and Analysis (IJLRA)* is committed to upholding the highest standards of publication ethics and academic integrity. All manuscripts submitted to the journal must be original, unpublished, and free from plagiarism, data fabrication, falsification, or any form of unethical research or publication practice. Authors are solely responsible for the accuracy, originality, legality, and ethical compliance of their work and must ensure that all sources are properly cited and that necessary permissions for any third-party copyrighted material have been duly obtained prior to submission. Copyright in all published articles vests with IJLRA, unless otherwise expressly stated, and authors grant the journal the irrevocable right to publish, reproduce, distribute, and archive their work in print and electronic formats. The views and opinions expressed in the articles are those of the authors alone and do not reflect the views of the Editors, Editorial Board, Reviewers, or Publisher. IJLRA shall not be liable for any loss, damage, claim, or legal consequence arising from the use, reliance upon, or interpretation of the content published. By submitting a manuscript, the author(s) agree to fully indemnify and hold harmless the journal, its Editor-in-Chief, Editors, Editorial Board, Reviewers, Advisors, Publisher, and Management against any claims, liabilities, or legal proceedings arising out of plagiarism, copyright infringement, defamation, breach of confidentiality, or violation of third-party rights. The journal reserves the absolute right to reject, withdraw, retract, or remove any manuscript or published article in case of ethical or legal violations, without incurring any liability.

THE ROLE OF STATE GOVERNMENTS IN ATTRACTING AND FACILITATING FOREIGN DIRECT INVESTMENT

AUTHORED BY - SUVIGYA SRIVASTAVA

Introduction

India's constitutional identity as a "Union of States" reflects a carefully designed federal structure in which both the Union and the States share responsibilities for governance, development, and economic progress. While national leadership, particularly the Prime Minister and the Union Government, plays a defining role in shaping macroeconomic policy, foreign relations, and national security, India's sustained and inclusive growth cannot be achieved without the active and innovative participation of state governments. In practice, the Chief Ministers of states function as pivotal economic and political leaders whose decisions directly influence industrial development, infrastructure creation, investment inflow, and the overall quality of life within their jurisdictions.

Although the powers of Chief Ministers may appear comparatively limited when measured against the authority of the Prime Minister, their functional influence over development is immense. States are responsible for key sectors such as land, agriculture, public health, law and order, local infrastructure, and industrial facilitation — all of which are decisive factors for economic growth. Therefore, the trajectory of India's development is not determined solely in New Delhi but is shaped significantly in state capitals.

Historical Dependence and the Evolution of State Capacity

At the time of Independence, most Indian states lacked financial self-sufficiency and depended heavily on central transfers for development. The early decades of planned economic growth saw the Union Government playing a dominant role in resource allocation through mechanisms such as the Planning Commission and centrally sponsored schemes. This system, though necessary at the time, created a pattern of fiscal dependence.

However, as India's economy liberalized post-1991, states began to assume a more proactive

developmental role. Economic reforms, decentralization of decision-making, and competitive federalism encouraged states to build their own industrial policies, attract private investment, and develop sector-specific growth models. Over time, certain states demonstrated that effective governance, policy clarity, and infrastructure development could transform regional economies. States like Maharashtra, Tamil Nadu, Gujarat, Karnataka, and Telangana have showcased how strategic planning and efficient use of funds can generate rapid industrial and technological growth.

This evolution has also led to a new phase of inter-state comparison. Citizens today measure their state's performance against others in terms of employment opportunities, infrastructure, investment inflow, and quality of public services. When large foreign or domestic investments choose one state over another, residents of the latter often feel economically disadvantaged. This competitive environment has pushed states to innovate, improve ease of doing business, and create investor-friendly policies.

Challenges in Fund Allocation and Governance

Despite success stories, the Indian federal framework also faces challenges. Central transfers to states are often linked to factors such as population density, development indices, and fiscal requirements. While this formula-based approach aims at equity, it can sometimes lead to inefficient utilization and governance issues at the state level. Instances of misallocation of funds, corruption, and administrative inefficiency have hindered development in several regions.

Such challenges underscore the fact that financial autonomy alone does not guarantee progress. Effective institutions, transparency, accountability, and political stability are equally important. Where governance mechanisms are weak, increased financial flows may not translate into developmental outcomes. Conversely, states with strong administrative capacity have demonstrated that even limited resources, when strategically deployed, can produce significant economic gains.

Debates on Autonomy and Federal Balance

The extent of autonomy that states should enjoy has been a long-standing debate in Indian politics. While excessive centralization may stifle regional innovation, unregulated autonomy could risk policy fragmentation and uneven development. The modern approach seeks a

balance through cooperative and competitive federalism. Cooperative federalism ensures coordination between Union and States on national priorities, while competitive federalism encourages states to outperform each other in economic and governance indicators.

Examples from other federations illustrate the power of subnational units. California in the United States, for instance, represents one of the world's largest economies if viewed independently. Such examples demonstrate that strong, economically vibrant states can significantly elevate a nation's overall economic standing. Similarly, in India, the rise of dynamic state economies contributes directly to national GDP growth and global competitiveness.

States as Drivers of Investment and Industrialization

In today's globalized economy, foreign direct investment (FDI) plays a critical role in technology transfer, employment generation, and industrial expansion. Although FDI policies are framed at the Union level, the actual implementation environment is determined by states. Investors evaluate factors such as land availability, labour conditions, infrastructure quality, regulatory processes, and political stability — all of which fall within state jurisdiction.

States have thus become frontline actors in attracting FDI. Many have established single-window clearance systems, industrial corridors, sector-specific parks, and investor summits. These initiatives reflect the understanding that economic growth depends on ease of doing business at the state level.

However, despite India's large market and demographic advantages, foreign investors have often preferred countries such as China, Vietnam, Indonesia, Brazil, and certain African economies. Key reasons include regulatory complexity, procedural delays, land acquisition hurdles, and policy unpredictability. While the Union Government has initiated reforms to address these issues, state-level governance remains decisive in shaping investor confidence.

India's Structural Advantages as an Emerging Market

India possesses several inherent strengths that position it as a potential global investment hub over the next two decades:

- A young and expanding workforce

- Availability of both skilled and unskilled labour
- Vast domestic markets with rising consumption
- Large land resources for industrial development
- Democratic governance and rule of law

These attributes make India attractive to multinational corporations. Yet, to fully harness these advantages, states must address administrative bottlenecks and build robust industrial ecosystems. Investment decisions are increasingly influenced by the speed and predictability of state-level approvals rather than national policy announcements alone.

Need for Inter-State Competition in Attracting FDI

The concept of states competing to attract foreign investment is not contrary to national interests. Rather, it aligns with the logic of competitive federalism. When states strive to improve infrastructure, streamline regulations, and enhance governance standards, the entire nation benefits. Reduced dependence on the Union for investment promotion empowers states to design localized growth strategies suited to their strengths.

Moreover, higher inflows of FDI at the state level contribute to:

- Increased GDP and per capita income
- Employment generation
- Technological advancement
- Integration into global supply chains

Such growth also strengthens international economic relations, as business partnerships often translate into stronger diplomatic and trade ties.

Beyond Moderate Growth: The Need for Acceleration

India's current growth rate of 6–7% is commendable in the global context but may be insufficient to achieve rapid economic transformation. Historical examples, such as China's double-digit growth phase, demonstrate how sustained high growth can lift millions out of poverty and reposition a country globally. To reach similar levels of economic acceleration, India must leverage FDI more effectively, and this requires state-led economic dynamism.

India's development story cannot be written solely by the Union Government. The Chief Ministers and state administrations are central actors in shaping economic outcomes. While the Union provides policy direction and international engagement, states translate these

frameworks into tangible growth. Their role in infrastructure development, regulatory reform, and investment facilitation is indispensable.

As India aspires to become a leading global economy, strengthening state capacity, encouraging competitive federalism, ensuring transparent governance, and fostering investor-friendly ecosystems at the state level will be crucial. When states innovate and thrive, the nation prospers as a whole. Foreign direct investment, supported by efficient state governance, can serve as a catalyst for sustained economic transformation, enabling India to move beyond moderate growth toward long-term global leadership.

Trends And Future Prospects Of Foreign Direct Investment Across Indian States

1. Introduction: The Shift Toward State-Led Investment Economies

Foreign Direct Investment (FDI) has evolved into one of the most decisive instruments shaping India's economic trajectory in the 21st century. While the Union Government determines overall FDI policy, sectoral caps, and international investment agreements, the practical decision of where an investor establishes operations is largely influenced by state-level conditions. This has transformed Indian federalism into an era of competitive economic federalism, where states compete, innovate, and actively market themselves as global investment destinations.

Over the decades, certain states have emerged as consistent FDI leaders due to historical advantages, while others are now rising through institutional reform, industrial specialization, and proactive global outreach by state leadership. The evolution of India's FDI landscape reveals a shift from centralized industrial allocation to decentralized, state-driven investment competition, and this trend is likely to intensify over the next 15 years.

2. Maharashtra: India's Traditional FDI Epicentre

Maharashtra has consistently remained India's leading FDI hub, owing to structural economic advantages and historical industrial development.

2.1 Financial and Commercial Capital Status

Mumbai's position as India's financial nucleus gives Maharashtra a built-in advantage. The presence of national-level stock exchanges, major banking institutions, financial regulators,

insurance corporations, and multinational headquarters makes the state the primary destination for FDI in:

- Financial services
- Banking and fintech
- Insurance and capital markets

Investors prefer ecosystems where capital markets, professional services, and financial infrastructure are deeply established.

2.2 Sectoral Diversity as a Stability Factor

Maharashtra's FDI success is driven by diversification:

- IT and IT-enabled services
- Financial services
- Film and media industry
- Manufacturing and infrastructure
- Pharmaceuticals and chemicals

Unlike states dependent on a single sector, Maharashtra offers a risk-balanced economic environment, ensuring long-term investor confidence.

2.3 Agglomeration and Institutional Maturity

Decades of industrialization have produced:

- Skilled labour pools
- Corporate clusters
- Logistics networks
- Legal and consulting ecosystems

Such agglomeration creates self-sustaining growth, where investors gravitate toward already-developed business ecosystems.

2.4 Continued Global Investment Confidence

Maharashtra's leadership continues to engage globally. At the World Economic Forum (Davos) 2023, MoUs exceeding US\$17 billion were secured. This indicates that even as a mature market, the state remains dynamic and continues transitioning toward higher-value sectors such as green energy, logistics, and global capability centres.

3. Gujarat: the manufacturing and financial innovation model

Over the past 15 years, Gujarat has become a major alternative investment destination, especially in manufacturing.

3.1 Industrial Strengths

Gujarat's pro-industry policies, port connectivity, industrial corridors, and land availability have driven investment in heavy manufacturing and exports. The presence of large Indian multinational companies with roots in Gujarat further strengthens investor confidence.

3.2 GIFT City as a Game Changer

The development of GIFT City marks a strategic institutional innovation. As an international financial services centre, it mirrors global financial free zones such as UAE's DIFC and ADGM. It represents India's attempt to attract offshore financial services, cross-border transactions, and global financial institutions within a special regulatory ecosystem.

4. Karnataka: the knowledge and innovation capital

Karnataka's transformation over the past 20–25 years is one of India's most significant economic shifts.

4.1 Bengaluru and the IT Revolution

Bengaluru's robust IT ecosystem has made Karnataka a magnet for:

- Software services
- Artificial intelligence
- Biotechnology
- Electronics manufacturing

It has also become a major startup and venture capital hub, directly attracting FDI into innovation-driven sectors.

4.2 Future Industrial Ambitions

At the Karnataka Global Investors Meet 2025, investment proposals worth INR 10.27 trillion (US\$118.26 billion) were secured. The Industrial Policy 2025–30 aims to:

- Create 2 million jobs by 2029
- Achieve 12% annual manufacturing growth
- Attract INR 7.5 trillion (US\$86.31 billion) in investments

These figures indicate Karnataka's continued ambition to lead high-value industrialization.

5. Delhi-ncr and spillover effects: haryana and uttar pradesh

New Delhi's status as India's political capital has catalyzed economic clustering in surrounding regions.

5.1 Gurugram and Noida as Corporate Hubs

Gurugram (Haryana) and Noida (Uttar Pradesh) have emerged as multinational corporate centres, benefiting from:

- Proximity to policymakers
- Urban infrastructure
- Real estate development

These cities host numerous MNC offices and have become service economy hubs.

5.2 Startup Ecosystem

Both Bengaluru and the NCR region are major startup centres, which attracts venture capital and FDI into technology, fintech, and innovation sectors.

6. Competitive Federalism And Administrative Responsiveness

FDI decisions increasingly depend on state-level responsiveness. The example of the Indian School of Business choosing Hyderabad after administrative issues elsewhere demonstrates how governance efficiency can permanently shift major investments.

States now compete through:

- Investor summits
- Policy incentives
- Ease-of-doing-business reforms
- Single-window clearances

7. Future Fdi Destinations (Next 15 Years)

7.1 Tamil Nadu: Diplomacy-Led Industrial Expansion

Under active global outreach, Tamil Nadu has strengthened investor ties with countries like UAE, Japan, Spain, the US, and Germany. The state is attracting investments in:

- Manufacturing
- Automobiles

- Pharmaceuticals

FDI here directly contributes to job creation and economic stability.

7.2 Telangana: Hyderabad as the Investment Engine

Hyderabad accounts for around 90% of Telangana's FDI inflows. Key sectors include:

- IT
- Biotechnology
- Pharmaceuticals
- Data centres

At WEF Davos 2024, Telangana secured ₹40,000 crore in investments. The state aims to offer superior infrastructure compared to other metros.

7.3 Maharashtra and Karnataka: Mature but Expanding

These states continue to evolve into high-value FDI destinations, focusing on R&D, global service centres, and advanced industries.

7.4 Uttar Pradesh: The Aspiring Giant

Uttar Pradesh aims to become a **US\$1 trillion state economy**. Historically lagging in FDI, the state has increased investor outreach, including engagement with German firms. Investor summits under state leadership aim to reposition UP as a major destination.

8. Political leadership as economic diplomacy

Chief Ministers increasingly function as global investment ambassadors. International visits, MoUs, and participation in forums like WEF highlight the decentralization of economic diplomacy.

9. Determinants of future fdi flows

Future trends will depend on:

- Infrastructure readiness
- Skilled labour
- Regulatory predictability
- Digital governance
- Urban development
- Sustainability

India's FDI geography is shifting toward a multi-polar structure. Traditional hubs like Maharashtra and Delhi NCR remain dominant, but states such as Gujarat, Tamil Nadu, Telangana, Karnataka, and Uttar Pradesh are reshaping the landscape. This reflects the rise of state-led economic governance in India's federal system.

Conclusion: The Future of India's Growth Lies in State-Led Economic Dynamism

India stands at a defining moment in its economic journey. As the country transitions into the next phase of development, it faces a dual narrative. On one hand, the resurgence of "*Swadeshi*" — domestic manufacturing, self-reliance, and indigenous industrial growth — has gathered significant momentum. On the other, India continues to be viewed by foreign investors as one of the world's most promising emerging markets, offering vast opportunities while also presenting regulatory, infrastructural, and administrative challenges. This coexistence of national self-reliance and global integration is not contradictory; rather, it represents the modern economic reality of a globalized yet strategically independent nation.

At the Union level, the Government of India has increasingly emphasized free trade agreements, global supply chain integration, and technological transfer as key pillars of industrial growth. Such measures are critical to strengthening domestic industries, improving competitiveness, and ensuring that India does not remain merely a consumer market but evolves into a production and innovation hub. However, while macroeconomic policy direction emanates from the Centre, the practical success of these initiatives depends substantially on the states. The states are not just administrative divisions; they are the real operational theatres of economic development. It is at the state level that land is allocated, infrastructure is developed, industries are licensed, and labour ecosystems are shaped.

The analysis of FDI trends across India reveals a recurring pattern: economic success is strongly linked to the rise of dynamic urban centres. Cities such as Mumbai and Bengaluru illustrate how strong metropolitan ecosystems can serve as gateways for global capital. Once such hubs develop, they create ripple effects, benefitting adjoining districts and transforming entire regions. These cities offer investors ready-made ecosystems — skilled labour, connectivity, financial institutions, technology clusters, and business services. However, relying excessively on a few megacities is not a sustainable long-term strategy. Congestion,

high costs, urban stress, and regional inequality eventually limit growth potential.

Therefore, the next stage of India's development must focus on multi-city growth models. States need to cultivate new economic zones, industrial corridors, and urban clusters beyond traditional metros. Developing second- and third-tier cities into investment-ready centres will ensure balanced regional development and reduce pressure on existing hubs. This approach aligns with sustainable development principles, as it distributes economic activity more evenly and promotes social equity. For investors, emerging cities offer the first-mover advantage — access to land, cost efficiency, and a growing workforce — making them attractive alternatives to saturated metropolitan markets.

India's untapped markets represent one of its greatest strengths. Large sections of the population remain outside high-income consumption networks, yet they possess rising aspirations, educational advancement, and workforce potential. Transforming these untapped regions into industrial and service hubs can unlock enormous economic value. However, this transformation cannot be driven solely by central policy. State governments must take the lead in identifying sectoral strengths, improving local infrastructure, and fostering investor confidence.

Certain regions — such as Punjab, Madhya Pradesh, Odisha, and much of the eastern belt — remain relatively underrepresented in FDI inflows despite offering competitive costs and resource advantages. Historically, limited policy focus, infrastructural gaps, and slower administrative reforms have discouraged large-scale investments in these states. Yet, these regions represent the frontier of India's next development wave. With strategic planning, improved connectivity, and proactive governance, they can evolve into new industrial growth poles.

In contrast, states like Maharashtra and Gujarat have repeatedly demonstrated how proactive governance and industrial vision can transform regional economies. These states have consistently acted as drivers of India's business ecosystem, whether during the IT revolution or the emerging era of artificial intelligence and advanced manufacturing. Their success underscores a critical lesson: state capacity, institutional efficiency, and policy stability are decisive in attracting global capital. Even in sectors where Union initiatives may have lagged, certain states have independently secured major investments, reinforcing the importance of

decentralized economic leadership. The recent example of global aerospace investments in Karnataka illustrates how state-level engagement can position India in high-technology global value chains.

These developments highlight the necessity for a cooperative federal framework in which the Union and states operate as partners rather than competitors. The Centre must provide macroeconomic stability, trade frameworks, and diplomatic engagement, while states must translate these into actionable, investor-friendly ecosystems. Greater autonomy in industrial promotion, regulatory flexibility, and fiscal incentives can empower states to leverage their unique strengths. This partnership approach will allow India to compete effectively in a world where investment decisions are increasingly influenced by subnational governance.

Ultimately, the logic of a capitalist market economy supports this decentralized competition. States that understand their comparative advantages — whether in manufacturing, technology, agriculture, logistics, or services — can tailor investment strategies accordingly. Rather than attempting uniform industrialization, states should pursue specialization, attracting companies aligned with local strengths. This targeted approach enhances efficiency, maximizes resource utilization, and creates sustainable employment.

India's aspiration for high growth and global leadership cannot be fulfilled through central policy alone. It requires a mosaic of vibrant, competitive, and innovative states driving development from the ground up. By fostering multi-city growth, empowering underdeveloped regions, and promoting state-led investment initiatives, India can convert its demographic potential and market scale into long-term prosperity. The future of India's economic success will therefore depend not only on national vision but on how effectively states rise to the challenge of becoming global investment destinations in their own right.

References

<https://www.india-briefing.com/news/india-fdi-tracker-2025-38140.html/>

<https://www.india-briefing.com/news/fdi-landscape-in-india-fy-2023-24-a-state-sector-analysis-33445.html/>

<https://economictimes.indiatimes.com/news/india/over-50-pc-of-total-fdi-in-the-country-come-to-maharashtra-in-q1fy25-devendra-fadnavis/articleshow/113114586.cms?from=mdr>

<https://india-briefing.com/news/karnataka-industrial-policy-2025-30-global-investor-meet->

[2025-outcomes-36130.html/](#)

<https://government.economictimes.indiatimes.com/news/economy/cm-stalin-concludes-europe-visit-returns-to-tamil-nadu-with-15516-crore-investments/123746221>

<https://indianexpress.com/article/cities/lucknow/rs-5-lakh-crore-investment-target-yogi-reviews-preparations-for-5th-groundbreaking-ceremony-10258375/>

<https://economictimes.indiatimes.com/news/defence/karnataka-wins-tata-airbus-helicopter-manufacturing-unit/articleshow/121419379.cms?from=mdr>

<https://www.china-briefing.com/china-outbound-news/india-fdi-tracker-2025>

<https://www.newindianexpress.com/states/tamil-nadu/2025/Aug/10/cm-stalin-to-visit-uk-germany-to-attract-foreign-investment-in-tamil-nadu>

<https://government.economictimes.indiatimes.com/news/economy/cm-stalin-concludes-europe-visit-returns-to-tamil-nadu-with-15516-crore-investments/123746221>

<https://www.news9live.com/city/hyderabad/telangana-becomes-6th-state-to-receive-highest-fdi-in-2024-hyderabad-bags-most-of-it-2785194>

<https://www.thehindu.com/news/national/telangana/telangana-continues-its-growth-story-with-gsdp-during-2022-23-pegged-at-1313-lakh-crore/article67635337.ece>

<https://www.telangana.gov.in/news/press-releases/2024/01/cm-concludes-successful-davos-trip-with-record-investments/>

IJLRA