

# INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL FOR LEGAL RESEARCH AND ANALYSIS



Open Access, Refereed Journal Multi-Disciplinary  
Peer Reviewed

[www.ijlra.com](http://www.ijlra.com)

## DISCLAIMER

No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored, transmitted, or distributed in any form or by any means, whether electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording, or otherwise, without prior written permission of the Managing Editor of the *International Journal for Legal Research & Analysis (IJLRA)*.

The views, opinions, interpretations, and conclusions expressed in the articles published in this journal are solely those of the respective authors. They do not necessarily reflect the views of the Editorial Board, Editors, Reviewers, Advisors, or the Publisher of IJLRA.

Although every reasonable effort has been made to ensure the accuracy, authenticity, and proper citation of the content published in this journal, neither the Editorial Board nor IJLRA shall be held liable or responsible, in any manner whatsoever, for any loss, damage, or consequence arising from the use, reliance upon, or interpretation of the information contained in this publication.

The content published herein is intended solely for academic and informational purposes and shall not be construed as legal advice or professional opinion.

**Copyright © International Journal for Legal Research & Analysis.  
All rights reserved.**

## ABOUT US

The *International Journal for Legal Research & Analysis (IJLRA)* (ISSN: 2582-6433) is a peer-reviewed, academic, online journal published on a monthly basis. The journal aims to provide a comprehensive and interactive platform for the publication of original and high-quality legal research.

IJLRA publishes Short Articles, Long Articles, Research Papers, Case Comments, Book Reviews, Essays, and interdisciplinary studies in the field of law and allied disciplines. The journal seeks to promote critical analysis and informed discourse on contemporary legal, social, and policy issues.

The primary objective of IJLRA is to enhance academic engagement and scholarly dialogue among law students, researchers, academicians, legal professionals, and members of the Bar and Bench. The journal endeavours to establish itself as a credible and widely cited academic publication through the publication of original, well-researched, and analytically sound contributions.

IJLRA welcomes submissions from all branches of law, provided the work is original, unpublished, and submitted in accordance with the prescribed submission guidelines. All manuscripts are subject to a rigorous peer-review process to ensure academic quality, originality, and relevance.

Through its publications, the *International Journal for Legal Research & Analysis* aspires to contribute meaningfully to legal scholarship and the development of law as an instrument of justice and social progress.

## ***PUBLICATION ETHICS, COPYRIGHT & AUTHOR RESPONSIBILITY STATEMENT***

The *International Journal for Legal Research and Analysis (IJLRA)* is committed to upholding the highest standards of publication ethics and academic integrity. All manuscripts submitted to the journal must be original, unpublished, and free from plagiarism, data fabrication, falsification, or any form of unethical research or publication practice. Authors are solely responsible for the accuracy, originality, legality, and ethical compliance of their work and must ensure that all sources are properly cited and that necessary permissions for any third-party copyrighted material have been duly obtained prior to submission. Copyright in all published articles vests with IJLRA, unless otherwise expressly stated, and authors grant the journal the irrevocable right to publish, reproduce, distribute, and archive their work in print and electronic formats. The views and opinions expressed in the articles are those of the authors alone and do not reflect the views of the Editors, Editorial Board, Reviewers, or Publisher. IJLRA shall not be liable for any loss, damage, claim, or legal consequence arising from the use, reliance upon, or interpretation of the content published. By submitting a manuscript, the author(s) agree to fully indemnify and hold harmless the journal, its Editor-in-Chief, Editors, Editorial Board, Reviewers, Advisors, Publisher, and Management against any claims, liabilities, or legal proceedings arising out of plagiarism, copyright infringement, defamation, breach of confidentiality, or violation of third-party rights. The journal reserves the absolute right to reject, withdraw, retract, or remove any manuscript or published article in case of ethical or legal violations, without incurring any liability.

# **BEYOND THE WHITE COAT: ACCOUNTABILITY, ETHICS, AND MEDICAL NEGLIGENCE IN THE AGE OF DEFENSIVE MEDICINE**

AUTHORED BY - DR. GAYATRI SHARMA

Assistant Professor

Chameli Devi Institute of Professional Studies, Indore (M.P.)

## **Abstract**

Medical negligence remains a profound concern in healthcare outcomes worldwide, and India is no exception. The intersection of accountability, professional ethics, and defensive medicine has grown increasingly complex in recent years. This paper examines the medico-legal landscape in India, explores landmark judgments and recent case studies, and evaluates how fears of litigation affect modern clinical practice. The study is qualitative and uses doctrinal analysis, judicial precedents, and real-world case reports to assess ethical dilemmas faced by practitioners and the consequent impact on patient care.

### **Keywords:**

Medical Negligence, Defensive Medicine, Ethics, Accountability, India, Medico-Legal, Medical Malpractice Litigation

## **1. Introduction**

The practice of medicine has traditionally been governed by an implicit contract of trust between patients and healthcare professionals. However, in recent decades, the rise in medical negligence litigation has caused a shift in that dynamic. Medical negligence occurs when a healthcare provider fails to exercise reasonable care, resulting in harm to the patient. In India, such claims often involve complex legal principles, patient rights, and professional accountability. Increasingly, physicians adopt defensive medicine—ordering unnecessary investigations or avoiding high-risk cases—to minimise exposure to legal claims. This paper explores how ethical practice and legal accountability intersect in India's evolving medico-legal environment and assesses whether defensive medicine poses a threat to healthcare quality.

## 2. Conceptual and Legal Framework

### 2.1 Medical Negligence Defined

Medical negligence, while universally recognised, is uniquely shaped by the legal frameworks of individual jurisdictions. In India, negligence arises when:

- A duty of care exists between the medical professional and patient,
- There is a breach of that duty, and
- The breach causes harm or loss to the patient.

Courts typically rely on legal principles such as the doctrine in *Jacob Mathew v. State of Punjab* which emphasises that a doctor must perform with the competence expected of a reasonably skilled professional in that specialty.

### 2.2 Defensive Medicine

Defensive medicine refers to the practice of recommending tests, procedures, or refusing to treat high-risk patients primarily to avoid malpractice litigation rather than based on clinical benefit. The phenomenon arises in contexts where legal accountability is perceived as punitive rather than corrective. Although not recognised as a legal standard, this approach can distort clinical priorities and inflate healthcare costs.

### 2.3 Regulatory and Legal Context in India

Indian courts have crafted a distinct medico-legal regime for addressing negligence. Healthcare services are now included within the ambit of the Consumer Protection Act, allowing patients to seek compensation for substandard care. Judicial interpretations emphasise the role of informed consent, documentation, and adherence to accepted practice standards.

Research analysing judgements by Northern Indian State Consumer Commissions revealed that physicians have a clear duty of care, and breaches result in legal liability. In a 2.5-year review of 89 cases, a majority involved failure to meet professional standards or informed consent lapses.

## 3. Judicial Responses and Landmark Precedents

### 3.1 Supreme Court Review

A recent 10-year review of Supreme Court decisions (2011–2021) on alleged medical negligence shows evolving judicial thought. The study noted that surgical specialties—especially obstetrics and gynaecology—are more frequently implicated in claims. Courts

favoured healthcare providers in approximately 55% of cases, and the presence of comprehensive medical records strongly influenced outcomes.

This highlights two principles:

- Good record-keeping reduces litigation risk, and
- Courts distinguish between unavoidable complications and true negligence.

### **3.2 Civil vs. Criminal Liability**

Historically, the legal system grappled with whether negligent acts by doctors should incur civil, criminal, or both liabilities. Studies show that ambiguity has existed in applying criminal charges unless there is gross negligence. Recent codification, such as provisions in the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita (BNS), suggest re-criminalisation of negligent deaths in medical settings (Section 106), potentially increasing fear among practitioners and promoting defensive clinical behaviour.

## **4. Recent Case Studies in India**

To understand contemporary clinical and ethical dilemmas, real cases from Indian news and judicial forums illustrate how negligence claims manifest domestically.

### **4.1 Botched Cataract Surgery and Consumer Compensation**

In Chennai, a patient undergoing cataract surgery at ESI Hospital lost vision in the operated eye due to incomplete removal of the cataract cortex. The District Consumer Commission ruled that the hospital's service was substandard, applying the doctrine of *res ipsa loquitur* (the thing speaks for itself). The hospital was ordered to pay ₹10 lakh in compensation and litigation costs.

This case underscores that improper surgical outcomes, especially where objective clinical standards exist, can lead to legal accountability without extensive forensic analysis.

### **4.2 Child Fatality Due to Lapses in Care**

A State Commission found medical negligence in the death of a class one student at a private hospital in Pathanamthitta. The commission identified lapses in care including administration of anesthesia without proper examination, and even tampering with post-mortem reports to protect the institution. Legal action and compensation were mandated.

### **4.3 Amputation After Improper Treatment of Fracture**

A petition filed in the Kerala High Court sought investigation into negligence by government doctors after a nine-year-old girl's undetected wound led to infection and eventual arm amputation. The petition highlighted systemic failures and demanded the development of standard operating procedures to prevent similar outcomes.

### **4.4 Alleged Negligence in Dengue Treatment**

In Rajkot, police booked two doctors over the death of a dengue patient due to delays in treatment and lack of timely intervention. This demonstrates how negligence allegations are increasingly addressed through criminal legal processes as well.

### **4.5 Blood Transfusion Error Exposes System Gaps**

An investigation in Bikaner revealed the wrong blood group was nearly transfused to an elderly patient—a potentially fatal error. Though the patient survived, the incident exposed lapses in basic care protocols and elicited criticism over insufficient punitive action.

These diverse examples reflect how negligence spans multiple specialties—from ophthalmology to emergency medicine—and how legal outcomes vary based on evidence, documentation, and systemic accountability.

## **5. Ethical Dimensions of Defensive Medicine**

### **5.1 Balancing Accountability and Professional Autonomy**

Healthcare professionals must balance their ethical obligation to do good with the risk of punitive legal outcomes. Defensive medicine may protect clinicians legally but can undermine patient-centred care. Over-ordering tests increases healthcare costs, while avoiding complicated cases may deny care to those in need.

A qualitative analysis of medico-legal literature emphasises that while patient rights must be respected, legal frameworks should protect clinicians from frivolous litigation, fostering an environment where clinical decision-making is not dominated by fear.

### **5.2 Documentation and Communication**

Proper documentation—including informed consent and contemporaneous clinical notes—is repeatedly cited as a key factor in legal defence. Courts often rely on records to determine whether clinicians adhered to accepted practice standards.

## 6. Institutional and Policy Considerations

### 6.1 The Role of Consumer Forums

Consumer forums in India have been instrumental in adjudicating negligence claims. However, there is criticism that non-specialist adjudicators may lack medical expertise, leading to misinterpretation of complex clinical decisions.

### 6.2 Need for Specialist Tribunals

Experts argue for specialist medico-legal tribunals with medical and legal expertise to handle negligence claims sensitively and scientifically. Such bodies could reduce litigation timelines, improve consistency in judgement, and reduce pressure on general consumer commissions.

### 6.3 Education and Clinical Governance

Healthcare institutions must prioritise clinical governance—protocols, peer reviews, and risk management—to reduce negligence risk. Training in ethics and medico-legal responsibilities should be integrated into medical curricula.

## 7. Tables and Figures Section (Latest Data on Medical Negligence in India)

**Table 1: Annual Medical Negligence / Malpractice Trends in India**

Parameter	Statistic	Source
Estimated annual medical negligence cases in India	5.2 million	Research data analysing malpractice trends in India, revealing a surge in incidents and litigation.
Increase in malpractice incidents (over past decade)	110% increase	Same national analysis indicating sharp growth in reported incidents.
Surge in litigation cases	~400% increase	Reflects heightened willingness of patients to pursue legal redress.
Compliance with ethical guidelines by healthcare providers	~46% only	Ethical compliance remains sub-optimal among medical professionals.
Deaths attributed to surgical errors	~80%	A substantial percentage of medical error deaths are surgical in origin.
Emergency mismanagement fatality share	~70%	Emergencies often involve treatment delays or errors.

This table summarises India-wide trends showing that medical negligence remains a pressing systemic challenge.

**Figure 1: Distribution by States – Malpractice Reports (Approx. % Share)**

State	Percentage of Reported Malpractice Cases
Punjab	~24%
West Bengal	~17%
Maharashtra	~16%
Tamil Nadu	~11%

These estimates illustrate disproportionate distribution of malpractice concerns across states. Data adapted from ethical adherence and malpractice incidence research.

**Table 2: Speciality-Wise Negligence Cases (NCDRC Review)**

Medical Specialty	% of Negligence Findings	Reference
Surgery	27%	Review of consumer disputes decided by NCDRC.
Obstetrics & Gynaecology	21%	Same retrospective review.
Other specialties	Remainder % (Distributed)	Same review.

Table shows that surgical cases are most frequently implicated in negligence adjudications, followed by obstetrics.

**Figure 2: Timeline of Litigation Growth in India (Indicative)**

Year Range	Estimated Cases Filed	Trend
2014	~2.1 million	Baseline
2020	~3.4 million	~60% increase
2024	~5.2 million	~110% increase overall

This figure reflects the general upward trajectory of reported medical negligence complaints over a decade.

Note: Exact annual judicial filings are not centrally tracked officially, but estimates are based on aggregated legal analysis.

## Interpretation and Analysis of Data

### Interpretation of Table 1

The estimated 5.2 million annual malpractice incidents in India signifies a structural health-system challenge rather than isolated events. Such widespread reporting reflects both increasing medical service utilisation and enhanced patient awareness of legal rights. However, only about 46% of providers comply with ethical guidelines, suggesting ethical lapses contribute substantially to negligence claims.

From a medico-legal perspective, high malpractice incidence combined with low ethical compliance implies that accountability systems need strengthening — not just in legal adjudication but in professional training and clinical governance.

### Analysis of Figure 1: Regional Trends

The disproportionate share of malpractice reports in states such as Punjab (24%) and West Bengal (17%) likely arises from a combination of higher litigation awareness, urban-rural healthcare integration issues, and differences in healthcare delivery quality.

Understanding this regional variance helps policymakers target localised interventions — for example, bolstering patient safety protocols in high-incidence areas while enhancing reporting frameworks in under-represented regions.

### Significance of Table 2: Specialty Focus

That surgical and obstetric cases dominate negligence findings aligns with global medico-legal data, as high-risk procedures inherently carry greater potential for error.

This supports the argument that clinical governance — especially in high-risk specialties — must be prioritised through standardised protocols, mandatory audit trails, and regular competency evaluations. It also underlines why defensive medicine proliferates: clinicians in these fields may adopt risk-averse practices to avoid litigation.

### Growth Trends Over Time (Figure 2)

The upward trend of malpractice reporting reflects both actual increases in incidents and better documentation/legal advocacy by patients over time.

This trend connects directly to the thematic focus of the paper — that defensive medicine arises not only from fear of litigation but from expanding patient rights awareness and more accessible legal processes.

### **Conclusion: Insights from Tables and Figures**

By incorporating latest qualitative data, this research strengthens the argument that: Medical negligence in India is widespread and rapidly increasing. Ethical compliance gaps contribute significantly to negligence risks. Certain specialties and regions show higher liability trends, implying targeted reforms may be needed. Defensive medicine practices are a systemic response, not merely individual behaviour, partially justified by uncertainty and risk.

## **8. Discussion**

The Indian medico-legal environment reflects an increasing assertion of patient rights, stronger judicial oversight, and greater public awareness. However, these developments have also contributed to heightened anxiety among practitioners, driving defensive medicine.

Balancing accountability with ethical medical practice remains challenging. Courts attempt to differentiate between negligence and unavoidable outcomes, but ambiguity persists. Well-documented cases show that objective clinical standards and proactive risk management improve both patient safety and legal defensibility.

## **9. Conclusion**

Medical negligence, accountability, and ethics form a triad that profoundly impacts healthcare delivery in India. As litigation becomes more common, clinicians face pressure to reduce legal exposure—sometimes at the expense of patient-centred care. This paper highlights the need for transparent legal responses, specialist adjudication, and stronger clinical governance models to protect both patients and professionals. Ultimately, safeguarding public health requires a medico-legal framework that integrates fairness, scientific rigour, and ethical integrity.

## **References (Sample)**

1. Modgil V, Harish D, Amandeep S. Analysis of Medical Negligence Cases Adjudged by State Consumer Commissions of Northern India. *Journal of Forensic Medicine & Toxicology*, 2024.
2. James R et al. A 10-year Review of Judgments on Alleged Medical Negligence Cases by the Supreme Court of India. *Journal of Indian Academy of Forensic Medicine*, 2024.

3. Singh AK & Rai MK. What is Medical Negligence? *Medicine India*, 2025.
4. Recent case reports from Times of India on medical negligence incidents in India (2025).
5. Ahmed S & Singh B. Medical Negligence in India: A Critical Study. *Indian Journal of Health and Medical Law*, 2025.
6. Gupta M & Gupta B. Navigating BNS Laws in Medical Practice: A Contemporary Legal Review for Indian Healthcare. 2025.
7. Literature on defensive medicine and legal scrutiny in India.
8. Business Standard. (2024). *Over 5.2 million medical malpractice cases filed in India annually.*
9. Indian Journal of Medical Ethics. (2023). *Medical negligence cases decided by NCDRC.*

