INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL FOR LEGAL RESEARCH AND ANALYSIS

Open Access, Refereed Journal Multi Disciplinary
Peer Reviewed

www.ijlra.com

ISSN: 2582-6433

DISCLAIMER

No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored, transmitted, or distributed in any form or by any means, whether electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording, or otherwise, without prior written permission of the Managing Editor of the *International Journal for Legal Research & Analysis (IJLRA).*

The views, opinions, interpretations, and conclusions expressed in the articles published in this journal are solely those of the respective authors. They do not necessarily reflect the views of the Editorial Board, Editors, Reviewers, Advisors, or the Publisher of IJLRA.

Although every reasonable effort has been made to ensure the accuracy, authenticity, and proper citation of the content published in this journal, neither the Editorial Board nor IJLRA shall be held liable or responsible, in any manner whatsoever, for any loss, damage, or consequence arising from the use, reliance upon, or interpretation of the information contained in this publication.

The content published herein is intended solely for academic and informational purposes and shall not be construed as legal advice or professional opinion.

ISSN: 2582-6433

ABOUT US

The *International Journal for Legal Research & Analysis (IJLRA)* (ISSN: 2582-6433) is a peer-reviewed, academic, online journal published on a monthly basis. The journal aims to provide a comprehensive and interactive platform for the publication of original and high-quality legal research.

IJLRA publishes Short Articles, Long Articles, Research Papers, Case Comments, Book Reviews, Essays, and interdisciplinary studies in the field of law and allied disciplines. The journal seeks to promote critical analysis and informed discourse on contemporary legal, social, and policy issues.

The primary objective of IJLRA is to enhance academic engagement and scholarly dialogue among law students, researchers, academicians, legal professionals, and members of the Bar and Bench. The journal endeavours to establish itself as a credible and widely cited academic publication through the publication of original, well-researched, and analytically sound contributions.

IJLRA welcomes submissions from all branches of law, provided the work is original, unpublished, and submitted in accordance with the prescribed submission guidelines. All manuscripts are subject to a rigorous peer-review process to ensure academic quality, originality, and relevance.

Through its publications, the *International Journal for Legal Research & Analysis* aspires to contribute meaningfully to legal scholarship and the development of law as an instrument of justice and social progress.

ISSN: 2582-6433

PUBLICATION ETHICS, COPYRIGHT & AUTHOR RESPONSIBILITY STATEMENT

The *International Journal for Legal Research and Analysis (IJLRA)* is committed to upholding the highest standards of publication ethics and academic integrity. All manuscripts submitted to the journal must be original, unpublished, and free from plagiarism, data fabrication, falsification, or any form of unethical research or publication practice. Authors are solely responsible for the accuracy, originality, legality, and ethical compliance of their work and must ensure that all sources are properly cited and that necessary permissions for any third-party copyrighted material have been duly obtained prior to submission. Copyright in all published articles vests with IJLRA, unless otherwise expressly stated, and authors grant the journal the irrevocable right to publish, reproduce, distribute, and archive their work in print and electronic formats. The views and opinions expressed in the articles are those of the authors alone and do not reflect the views of the Editors, Editorial Board, Reviewers, or Publisher. IJLRA shall not be liable for any loss, damage, claim, or legal consequence arising from the use, reliance upon, or interpretation of the content published. By submitting a manuscript, the author(s) agree to fully indemnify and hold harmless the journal, its Editor-in-Chief, Editors, Editorial Board, Reviewers, Advisors, Publisher, and Management against any claims, liabilities, or legal proceedings arising out of plagiarism, copyright infringement, defamation, breach of confidentiality, or violation of third-party rights. The journal reserves the absolute right to reject, withdraw, retract, or remove any manuscript or published article in case of ethical or legal violations, without incurring any liability.

ISSN: 2582-6433

WHEN ALGORITHMS MEET THE ENVIRONMENT: AI-DRIVEN CLIMATE JUSTICE AND LEGAL ACCOUNTABILITY

AUTHORED BY - SUDESHNAMERCY. M
LLM Department of Cyber Space Law and Justice,
The Tamil Nadu Dr. Ambedkar Law University School of Excellence In Law

ABSTRACT

The world community has been forced to reevaluate established frameworks for environmental governance and accountability due to the worsening climate crisis. In this regard, artificial intelligence (AI) has become a revolutionary legal instrument that has the potential to change the way environmental harm is identified, evaluated, and decided. This study uses a doctrinal research methodology to investigate the growing role of AI-powered predictive technologies in ecological risk identification, emission standard compliance monitoring, and evidence-based environmental decision support.

The study examines the growing intersection of artificial intelligence (AI), environmental litigation, and climate justice, showing how tools like machine learning algorithms, satellite-based analytics, and climate modeling can greatly improve the openness, effectiveness, and accountability of legal proceedings pertaining to environmental protection. It assesses the use of AI systems by legislators, courts, and regulatory bodies to enhance proactive environmental governance and adjudicatory accuracy in cases involving pollution, ecological degradation, and harm related to climate change. The study places these international developments within India's legal framework by utilizing comparative insights from international frameworks, such as the Paris Agreement (2015), the EU Artificial Intelligence Act (2024), and the UNEP Climate Justice Principles (2020). It examines how AI might be incorporated into the National Green Tribunal Act of 2010, the Environment (Protection) Act of 1986, and the constitutional duties outlined in Articles 21 and 48A. These legal underpinnings show how AI could support current regulatory and judicial systems while also highlighting India's dedication to environmental protection. But there are also significant obstacles to the use of AI in environmental litigation. Significant ethical and legal conundrums are raised by problems like algorithmic bias, opacity in automated decision-making, insufficient data protection

ISSN: 2582-6433

regulations, and accountability concerns. To guarantee that AI tools are used responsibly, fairly, and transparently, the study critically assesses these risks and emphasizes the need for an extensive regulatory framework.

The study concludes by stating that AI-enabled predictive justice can hasten climate accountability through facilitating early environmental violation detection, facilitating speedier dispute resolution, and promoting sustainable development principles.By proposing an ideal regulatory structure for integrating AI into environmental adjudication, the research envisions a future in which technological innovation and environmental law work together to strengthen climate justice at both domestic and international levels.

Keywords: Artificial Intelligence, Climate Justice, Predictive Justice, Environmental Litigation, Accountability, Sustainable Development.

INTRODUCTION

As the most significant issue of the twenty-first century, climate change has an impact on international law, policy, and socioeconomic stability. Legal systems are now forced to transition from reactive mechanisms to proactive, technology-integrated governance due to the extent of environmental degradation, which includes deforestation and rising sea levels. Artificial Intelligence (AI) has become a potent ally in the fight for climate justice in this dynamic environment.[1] AI can transform legal approaches to climate accountability by enabling real-time environmental monitoring, pattern recognition, and predictive analytics. AI-driven systems can help courts, regulators, and policymakers make evidence-based decisions by detecting industrial emissions through satellite imagery and forecasting ecological disruptions. Thus, "predictive justice," which sees AI as a tool to anticipate, stop, and address environmental violations before they cause irreversible harm, represents a paradigm shift at the nexus of technology and law. Subhash Kumar v. State of Bihar[2] and M.C. Mehta v. Union of India [3]are two landmark cases that have shaped India's environmental jurisprudence. By enhancing data accuracy, compliance monitoring, and accountability systems, integrating AI into this legal environment may reinforce these judicial objectives. In addition to serving as a catalyst for successful environmental litigation, this study investigates how AI can uphold

[1] United Nations Environment Programme, Emissions Gap Report 2023, p. 4.
[2] Subhash Kumar v. State of Bihar, AIR 1991 SC 420.
[3] M.C. Mehta v. Union of India, AIR 1987 SC 965.

ISSN: 2582-6433

moral and constitutional obligations.

IMPORTANCE OF THE STUDY

Artificial intelligence integration into environmental litigation is not only a technological advancement but also a legal requirement in the age of complex climate governance. Rapid environmental violations frequently outpace traditional adjudication, which relies on manual evidence and expert testimony. The predictive powers of AI improve transparency in regulatory decisions, automate data analysis, and provide real-time insights. AI can help the National Green Tribunal make evidence-based, effective decisions and improve adherence to important environmental laws in India, including the Environment (Protection) Act of 1986, the Air Act of 1981, and the Water Act of 1974.

OBJECTIVE OF THE STUDY

1. To investigate how predictive technologies and artificial intelligence can improve regulatory accountability and environmental litigation.
2. To evaluate how AI is incorporated into current Indian environmental laws and international legal frameworks.
3. To determine the ethical, technical, and legal obstacles to integrating AI with climate justice systems.

RESEARCH PROBLEM

India has progressive environmental laws and judicial precedents, but enforcement is still mostly reactive and slow. Artificial intelligence cannot be incorporated into environmental adjudication without a formal legal framework. Conventional methods are inefficient because they mainly rely on expert testimony and manual data. Indian jurisprudence underutilizes AI's potential for predictive monitoring and accountability. Therefore, the challenge is to determine how AI can doctrinally support climate justice and environmental litigation in India's legal system.

REVIEW OF LITERATURE

The emergence of Artificial Intelligence (AI) in environmental governance has prompted scholars, policymakers, and jurists to examine its potential to transform climate-justice mechanisms. Early literature primarily focused on the environmental impacts of digital

ISSN: 2582-6433

technologies, while contemporary studies have begun to address the reciprocal role of AI as a tool for environmental protection and predictive justice.

Boer and de Jong (2022) observed that AI-enabled climate models enhance the precision of emission forecasting and ecological-risk assessment, thus assisting policymakers in making data-driven decisions for sustainability.[4] The authors emphasized that predictive analytics can help operationalize international environmental obligations under the Paris Agreement. Similarly, *Kumar and Singh (2023)* analysed India's legal preparedness for AI integration and noted the absence of a comprehensive statutory or regulatory framework governing AI-based environmental monitoring.[5] *The World Bank (2022)* further argued that AI could improve the accuracy of carbon-emission tracking systems and accelerate the implementation of Sustainable Development Goal 13 on Climate Action.[6]

From the doctrinal perspective, *Sharma (2023)* connected AI-driven evidence assessment with the Indian constitutional principles of environmental justice under Articles 21 and 48A.[7] According to the author, AI's capacity for large-scale data processing aligns with the precautionary and polluter-pays principles developed through Indian jurisprudence in Vellore Citizens Welfare Forum v. Union of India and M.C. Mehta v. Union of India.[8]

RESEARCH GAP

Legal analysis in India is largely ignored in research on AI and environmental sustainability. Research on the application of AI, particularly predictive analytics, to environmental adjudication is scarce. To improve accountability under Articles 21 and 48A, a doctrinal analysis is desperately needed.

METHODOLOGY

Using a doctrinal legal approach, the study examines primary laws like the Environment (Protection) Act of 1986 as well as secondary sources like books, journals, and international

[4] Boer B. and de Jong P., AI for Environmental Prediction, Cambridge University Press (2022) p. 57.
[5] Kumar S. and Singh V., "Regulating AI for Environmental Governance in India," NUJS Law Review (2023) Vol. 15 p. 123.
[6] World Bank, Artificial Intelligence and Climate Change Mitigation: Policy Brief (2022) p. 6.
[7] Sharma R., "AI and Climate Accountability: A Constitutional Perspective," NALSAR Tech-Law Journal (2023) Vol. 4 p. 54.
[8] Vellore Citizens Welfare Forum v. Union of India, (1996) 5 SCC 647; M.C. Mehta v. Union of India, AIR 1987 SC 965.

ISSN: 2582-6433

agreements. In order to determine how AI integration in international environmental litigation can complement India's environmental jurisprudence, it takes a comparative approach.

LEGAL FRAMEWORK

INTERNATIONAL LEGAL FRAMEWORK

(a) The Paris Agreement 2015

The Paris Agreement, which requires states to work toward keeping the rise in global temperatures to 1.5° Cover pre-industrial levels, is the cornerstone of global climate governance. The necessity of climate data reporting and transparency frameworks is emphasized in Article 13 of the Agreement. By automating emission tracking and facilitating real-time assessments of each country's adherence to its Nationally Determined Contributions (NDCs), AI-based satellite monitoring and predictive analytics can operationalize this transparency.[9]

Predictive tools that use AI to monitor carbon footprints can assist states in meeting the goals of adaptation planning and progressive emission reduction outlined in Article 4. By enabling data-driven decision-making among developing nations, the integration of such technologies also supports the Agreement's capacity-building agenda under Article 11.

(b) The Artificial Intelligence Act of the European Union (2024)

An innovative precedent for risk-based AI regulation is established by the EU Artificial Intelligence Act (AI Act). One of the "high-risk" AI applications is environmental protection, which calls for accountability, openness, and human supervision. The classification system in this Act may be used as a template by India to create comparable guidelines controlling the application of AI in climate governance, especially to maintain equity and avoid algorithmic bias in the interpretation of environmental data.[10]

(c) Principles of UNEP Climate Justice

Given that vulnerable groups are disproportionately impacted by climate change, the UNEP's Climate Justice Principles promote inclusive and equitable environmental governance. By detecting climate vulnerabilities, mapping ecological threats, and assisting with climate adaptation initiatives, AI-enabled predictive tools can aid in the enforcement of these principles. Significantly, AI technologies support UNEP's focus

[9] The Paris Agreement 2015, Articles 4 and 13.
[10] European Union Artificial Intelligence Act 2024, OJ L No. …, Recital 60.

ISSN: 2582-6433

on participatory justice by giving underprivileged communities access to environmental data and enabling them to pursue remedies.

INDIAN LEGAL FRAMEWORK

With a strong foundation in the constitutional values of social justice and sustainability, India's environmental jurisprudence has developed into one of the most progressive systems in the world. But there are still issues with accountability and enforcement, two areas where AI-based solutions can make a big difference.

a. Constitutional provision

Article 21 of the Indian Constitution, which protects the right to life and personal liberty, implicitly acknowledges environmental protection as a fundamental right. The Supreme Court ruled in Subhash Kumar v. State of Bihar that the right to breathe clean air and water is part of the right to life. In a similar vein, the 42nd Constitutional Amendment's Article 48A requires the State to preserve and enhance the environment, as well as to protect wildlife and forests.[11] By enhancing the State's proactive role in environmental governance, AI-powered systems that forecast and stop environmental degradation align with these constitutional mandates.

b. Environment (Protection) Act, 1986

This Act, which was passed in reaction to the Bhopal Gas Disaster in 1984, offers a thorough framework for environmental regulation in India. The Central Government has the authority to set emissions, discharge, and environmental quality standards under Sections 3 and 6. By offering accurate, real-time data on industrial pollutants, AI-based emission tracking systems and pollution modeling tools can improve enforcement. AI models, for instance, can forecast changes in air quality and initiate Section 15 legal action for non-compliance, enhancing the effectiveness of administrative oversight and environmental litigation.

c. National Green Tribunal Act, 2010

By deciding environmental cases quickly, the National Green Tribunal (NGT), which was created by this Act, is essential to maintaining environmental justice. The Tribunal has jurisdiction over all civil cases pertaining to natural resources and environmental protection under Section 14 of the Act. By helping judges with data analytics, environmental impact predictions, and compliance monitoring, incorporating AI into

[11] Constitution of India, Articles 21, 48A and 51A (g).

ISSN: 2582-6433

NGT's procedural framework has the potential to completely transform environmental litigation. The Supreme Court broadened the precautionary principle and the polluter pays principle in M.C. Mehta v. Union of India. These ideas can be successfully operationalized by AI-driven predictive justice systems, allowing for preventive action before environmental harm is done.

d. The Information Technology Act, 2000 and Data Governance

The Information Technology Act of 2000 and the Digital Personal Data Protection Act of 2023 offer the legal framework for data protection in India because AI significantly depends on massive data sets. Although these laws protect the privacy and security of data, they need to be extended to control AI-powered environmental data systems in order to guarantee that environmental datasets are open, verifiable, and used in an ethical manner.

ROLE OF ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE IN STRENGTHENING ENVIRONMENTAL LITIGATION

Predictive Analytics and Climate Risk Assessment

To identify environmental risks, AI predictive algorithms can examine emissions, satellite data, and climatic trends. Governments use tools like IBM Green Horizon and Google Earth Engine to predict ecological changes. Authorities can use AI to predict pollution and take preventative action by adhering to the precautionary principle established in Vellore Citizens Welfare Forum v. Union of India (1996). [12]Courts and regulators can move from reactive litigation to proactive environmental governance by incorporating AI into legal procedures.

Enhancing Evidentiary Processes

In environmental cases, evidence is essential for establishing liability and causation. Large datasets can be processed by AI to determine the sources of pollution, evaluate its effects, and verify legal compliance. AI-assisted remote sensing aids in the detection of violations in areas such as illegal mining or deforestation that have little human oversight. NGT decisions can be impartially and consistently supported by AI-based evidence verification. In environmental adjudication, this guarantees impartiality and fairness. This kind of use is consistent with the Constitution's Article 14.

[12] Vellore Citizens Welfare Forum v. Union of India, (1996) 5 SCC 647.

ISSN: 2582-6433

Real-Time Monitoring and Regulatory Enforcement

Continuous environmental monitoring is made possible by AI-powered satellite imagery and drone surveillance. The CPCB and state boards can identify air and water pollution in real time with the use of AI sensors. This supports government action under Section 3 of the Environment (Protection) Act, 1986. Legal action for noncompliance under Section 15 may be triggered by real-time AI alerts. AI is therefore a tool for both enforcement and monitoring.

Judicial Assistance and Data-Driven Decision-Making

In environmental cases, courts are frequently limited by access to scientific evidence and technical complexity. By creating case summaries, comparing precedents, and evaluating scientific submissions, AI-powered legal research tools, like ChatGPT-based legal databases and AI-driven precedent mapping, can support judges. The judicial backlog can be decreased by using AI models such as Natural Language Processing (NLP) to extract pertinent legal principles from enormous case repositories.

Global and Domestic Illustrations

Globally, AI is being used extensively for environmental management. AI is being used by the European Space Agency's Climate Change Initiative to monitor deforestation and sea level rise. Predictive AI is used by the US EPA to pinpoint areas with high pollution levels. The National Clean Air Programme is one of the AI pilot projects in India that keeps an eye on urban air pollution. Adherence to NGT and Supreme Court directives may be improved by incorporating these models into legal procedures.

ETHICAL AND LEGAL CHALLENGES IN AI DRIVEN ENVIRONMENTAL LITIGATION

Algorithmic Bias and Data Discrimination

AI systems may be biased because they rely on historical data, which could be harmful to marginalized or tribal communities in sensitive areas. The Court stressed fair distribution of the environmental burden in Indian Council for Enviro-Legal Action v. Union of India (1996).[13] By underrepresenting vulnerable areas or incorrectly identifying pollution sources, biased AI may violate Articles 14 and 21.

[13] Indian Council for Enviro-Legal Action v. Union of India, (1996) 3 SCC 212.

ISSN: 2582-6433

Lack of Transparency and Explainability

Accountability is questioned due to AI decision-making's "black box" nature. Litigants and courts need to be able to comprehend how an AI model came to its conclusions. It is challenging to guarantee procedural fairness in the absence of transparency, especially in light of Section 19 of the National Green Tribunal Act, 2010, which mandates adherence to natural justice principles. India must create AI auditing frameworks that enable algorithmic explainability and human oversight in legal proceedings in order to address this.

Data Privacy and Environmental Surveillance

The ongoing gathering of sensor and satellite data is frequently essential to AI-based environmental governance. According to Justice K.S. Puttaswamy v. Union of India (2017),[14] which acknowledged the right to privacy as inherent to Article 21, such surveillance may violate those rights. Implementing data anonymization, consent-based data sharing, and secure AI infrastructures is necessary to strike a balance between data transparency and privacy protection, as required by the Digital Personal Data Protection Act of 2023.

Accountability and Liability of Automated Systems

It can be difficult to determine who is responsible for decisions made by AI systems. Finding the accountable party developer, user, or regulator—becomes legally challenging if an AI model generates inaccurate predictions that result in environmental misjudgment or regulatory failure. In order to ensure that humans maintain ultimate control over AI decisions, the EU AI Act mandates "human-in-the-loop" systems for high-risk applications.

Ethical Governance and Human Oversight

Adherence to the principles of inclusivity, transparency, and proportionality is necessary for the ethical integration of AI. AI should support human dignity and sustainable development, according to the UNESCO Recommendation on the Ethics of Artificial Intelligence (2021). These ethics must be reflected in environmental litigation by making sure AI enhances human judgment rather than takes its place. To properly evaluate technological evidence, judicial officers, regulators, and legislators need to be trained in AI literacy.

[14] Justice K.S. Puttaswamy v. Union of India, (2017) 10 SCC 1.

ISSN: 2582-6433

POLICY RECOMMENDATION AND LEGAL REFORMS

A strong institutional and legal framework that strikes a balance between technological advancement and moral and constitutional protections is necessary for the incorporation of artificial intelligence (AI) into environmental litigation. The following policy suggestions are put forth in light of comparative legal research and doctrinal analysis:

1. Establishment of a National Framework for AI in Environmental Governance
2. Integration of AI within the National Green Tribunal (NGT)
3. AI-Based Early-Warning Systems and Public Participation
4. Capacity Building and Judicial Training

CONCLUSION

The combination of environmental law and artificial intelligence marks a turning point in the fight for climate justice. Environmental litigation needs to change from a reactive to a predictive and preventive system as climate threats increase. AI improves accountability and evidence-based decision-making by transforming complex environmental data into actionable insights. This integration, which has its roots in the ideas of M.C. Mehta, the Vellore Citizens Welfare Forum, and Subhash Kumar, is in line with the Constitution's Articles 21 and 48A as well as international frameworks such as the Paris Agreement and the UNEP Principles. But unchecked technology could jeopardize justice itself. Therefore, a well-rounded strategy based on ethics, transparency, and human oversight is essential. Predictive justice enabled by AI can support sustainable development and preserve ecological integrity for future generations if used responsibly.

REFERENCES

1. Bar Council of India (2023) Report on AI in Legal Education and Practice. New Delhi: Bar Council of India.
2. Boer, B. & de Jong, P. (2022) AI for Environmental Prediction. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
3. Central Pollution Control Board (2023) Annual Report 2022–23. New Delhi: Central Pollution Control Board.
4. European Commission (2022) Liability for AI and Emerging Technologies Report. Brussels: European Commission.

ISSN: 2582-6433

5. Gupta, A. (2021) 'Delays in Environmental Litigation in India: Causes and Remedies', Indian Law Review, 7, pp. 110–132.
6. Joshi, D. (2023) 'AI-Driven Governance and Environmental Compliance in Developing Economies', Asian Journal of Legal Studies, 5, pp. 71–90.
7. Kumar, S. & Singh, V. (2023) 'Regulating AI for Environmental Governance in India', NUJS Law Review, 15, pp. 118–140.
8. Mishra, P. (2022) 'The National Green Tribunal and Climate Justice: Emerging Jurisprudence', Indian Journal of Environmental Law, 9, pp. 84–102.
9. Peeters, M. & Mullally, G. (2021) Climate Law and Governance for Future Generations. Cheltenham: Edward Elgar Publishing.
10. Sharma, R. (2023) 'AI and Climate Accountability: A Constitutional Perspective', NALSAR Tech-Law Journal, 4, pp. 50–70.
11. World Bank (2022) Artificial Intelligence and Climate Change Mitigation: Policy Brief. Washington D.C.: World Bank.