

INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL FOR LEGAL RESEARCH AND ANALYSIS



Open Access, Refereed Journal Multi Disciplinary
Peer Reviewed

www.ijlra.com

DISCLAIMER

No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored, transmitted, or distributed in any form or by any means, whether electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording, or otherwise, without prior written permission of the Managing Editor of the *International Journal for Legal Research & Analysis (IJLRA)*.

The views, opinions, interpretations, and conclusions expressed in the articles published in this journal are solely those of the respective authors. They do not necessarily reflect the views of the Editorial Board, Editors, Reviewers, Advisors, or the Publisher of IJLRA.

Although every reasonable effort has been made to ensure the accuracy, authenticity, and proper citation of the content published in this journal, neither the Editorial Board nor IJLRA shall be held liable or responsible, in any manner whatsoever, for any loss, damage, or consequence arising from the use, reliance upon, or interpretation of the information contained in this publication.

The content published herein is intended solely for academic and informational purposes and shall not be construed as legal advice or professional opinion.

**Copyright © International Journal for Legal Research & Analysis.
All rights reserved.**

ABOUT US

The *International Journal for Legal Research & Analysis (IJLRA)* (ISSN: 2582-6433) is a peer-reviewed, academic, online journal published on a monthly basis. The journal aims to provide a comprehensive and interactive platform for the publication of original and high-quality legal research.

IJLRA publishes Short Articles, Long Articles, Research Papers, Case Comments, Book Reviews, Essays, and interdisciplinary studies in the field of law and allied disciplines. The journal seeks to promote critical analysis and informed discourse on contemporary legal, social, and policy issues.

The primary objective of IJLRA is to enhance academic engagement and scholarly dialogue among law students, researchers, academicians, legal professionals, and members of the Bar and Bench. The journal endeavours to establish itself as a credible and widely cited academic publication through the publication of original, well-researched, and analytically sound contributions.

IJLRA welcomes submissions from all branches of law, provided the work is original, unpublished, and submitted in accordance with the prescribed submission guidelines. All manuscripts are subject to a rigorous peer-review process to ensure academic quality, originality, and relevance.

Through its publications, the *International Journal for Legal Research & Analysis* aspires to contribute meaningfully to legal scholarship and the development of law as an instrument of justice and social progress.

PUBLICATION ETHICS, COPYRIGHT & AUTHOR RESPONSIBILITY STATEMENT

The *International Journal for Legal Research and Analysis (IJLRA)* is committed to upholding the highest standards of publication ethics and academic integrity. All manuscripts submitted to the journal must be original, unpublished, and free from plagiarism, data fabrication, falsification, or any form of unethical research or publication practice. Authors are solely responsible for the accuracy, originality, legality, and ethical compliance of their work and must ensure that all sources are properly cited and that necessary permissions for any third-party copyrighted material have been duly obtained prior to submission. Copyright in all published articles vests with IJLRA, unless otherwise expressly stated, and authors grant the journal the irrevocable right to publish, reproduce, distribute, and archive their work in print and electronic formats. The views and opinions expressed in the articles are those of the authors alone and do not reflect the views of the Editors, Editorial Board, Reviewers, or Publisher. IJLRA shall not be liable for any loss, damage, claim, or legal consequence arising from the use, reliance upon, or interpretation of the content published. By submitting a manuscript, the author(s) agree to fully indemnify and hold harmless the journal, its Editor-in-Chief, Editors, Editorial Board, Reviewers, Advisors, Publisher, and Management against any claims, liabilities, or legal proceedings arising out of plagiarism, copyright infringement, defamation, breach of confidentiality, or violation of third-party rights. The journal reserves the absolute right to reject, withdraw, retract, or remove any manuscript or published article in case of ethical or legal violations, without incurring any liability.

**A STUDY ON INTERNATIONAL HUMANITARIAN LAW
AND THE CHALLENGES IN MODERN ARMED
CONFLICT”**

AUTHORED BY - G YUVASHIKA
BBA.,LL.B(Hons)

Vels Institute of Science, Technology & Advanced Studies (VISTAS)

CO-AUTHOR - DR. R. RAJESH KHANNA
Assistant professor

School of Law



VELS INSTITUTE OF SCIENCE TECHNOLOGY

ADVANCED STUDIES CHENNAI

A Study on International Humanitarian Law and the Challenges in Modern Armed Conflict

ABSTRACT:

represents International Humanitarian Law a legal framework vital to regulate the conduct of armed conflict and suffering during war. Rooted in humanitarian principles, it seeks to protect individuals who are not directly participating in hostilities, while also placing limits on the methods and means of warfare. Despite its longstanding presence and continued relevance, the effectiveness of IHL is increasingly tested by the changing nature of modern armed conflicts. This study examines the evolution, principles, and application of International Humanitarian Law, with a particular focus on the challenges that arise in contemporary warfare. It begins by tracing the historical development of IHL and identifying its primary sources, including international conventions and customary practices. The study then explores the core legal principles such as distinction, proportionality, and military necessity, which guide the behavior of parties engaged in conflict. A significant portion of the analysis is devoted to understanding how IHL operates in present-day conflicts, many of which differ substantially from traditional inter-state wars.

The occurrence of internal conflicts and the prevalence of urban warfare have complicated the implementation of legal norms. Furthermore, advancements in technology—such as cyber warfare, unmanned aerial systems, and autonomous legal weapons—pose new ethical questions that existing frameworks are not fully equipped to address. The study also highlights the practical difficulties associated with enforcing IHL. Weak accountability mechanisms, political considerations, and inconsistent compliance often undermine its effectiveness. In many situations, violations persist not due to the absence of law, but because of challenges in enforcement and a lack of commitment among parties involved in conflict. In conclusion, this research emphasizes that while International Humanitarian Law remains an essential tool for protecting human dignity during armed conflict, it must continue to evolve in response to emerging realities. Strengthening enforcement mechanisms, improving awareness and training, and addressing technological developments are necessary steps toward ensuring that the principles of IHL remain meaningful and effective in modern warfare.

INTRODUCTION:

Armed conflict has been a constant feature of human history, but the way wars are fought—and the efforts to regulate them—have evolved significantly over time. While early warfare often operated without clear legal or moral restraint, the devastating humanitarian consequences of conflicts gradually led to the development of rules intended to limit suffering. This body of rules is now known as International Humanitarian Law (IHL), a framework designed to strike a balance between military necessity and humanitarian considerations. At International Humanitarian Law who are not, or are no longer, in hostilities.

15

21

5

4

1

2

seeks to protect those taking part This includes civilians, prisoners of war, and wounded combatants. At the same time, it places limits on the means and methods of warfare, prohibiting weapons and tactics that cause harm. These principles are not merely theoretical; they represent a collective attempt by the international community to preserve a sense of humanity even in the midst of violence.

However, the nature of armed conflict has changed dramatically in recent decades.

Traditional wars between states have increasingly given way to complex, fragmented conflicts involving nonstate actors, insurgent groups, and transnational networks. Modern battlefields are often located in densely populated urban areas, where distinguishing between civilians and combatants becomes extremely difficult. In addition, rapid technological advancements—such as cyber operations, drones, and autonomous weapon systems—have introduced new dimensions that existing legal frameworks struggle to fully address. These developments raise important questions about the continued effectiveness of International Humanitarian Law.

While the foundational principles remain relevant, their application in contemporary conflicts is often challenged by political interests, lack of enforcement mechanisms, and varying levels of compliance among parties to a conflict. In many cases, violations occur not because the law is absent, but because it is ignored, misunderstood, or inadequately enforced.

This study aims to examine both the enduring relevance and the practical limitations of International Humanitarian Law in the context of modern armed conflict. It explores the historical evolution and core principles of IHL, analyzes how these rules are applied in present-day conflicts, and identifies the key challenges that hinder their implementation. By doing so, the study seeks to provide a clearer understanding of whether existing legal frameworks are sufficient, or whether reforms are necessary to address the realities of contemporary warfare. Ultimately, the significance of this topic lies in its humanitarian focus. As conflicts continue to affect millions of people worldwide, the need for effective legal protections becomes increasingly urgent. Understanding the strengths and weaknesses of International Humanitarian Law is therefore not only an academic exercise, but also a step toward promoting accountability, reducing suffering, and reinforcing the importance of human dignity even in times of war.

HISTORICAL DEVELOPMENT OF INTERNATIONAL

The development of International Humanitarian Law (IHL) did not happen overnight; rather, it emerged gradually as a response to the harsh realities of war.

In earlier periods of history, warfare was largely unregulated, and the treatment of wounded soldiers, prisoners, and civilians often depended on the discretion of those in power. Although

certain ancient civilizations and religious traditions introduced moral guidelines for warfare, these were not uniform or legally binding in the way modern rules are understood today. A significant turning point in the

evolution of IHL came in the nineteenth century. The suffering witnessed on battlefields, particularly during large-scale European conflicts, led to growing concern about the absence of organized humanitarian protection. One of the most influential moments was the experience of Henry

aftermath of the Battle of Solferino in 1859. ³⁰ ~~Henry~~ ¹ ~~who observed the~~ the

condition of wounded soldiers left without care, he advocated for the creation of voluntary relief societies and international agreements to ensure humane treatment during war. His efforts eventually

led to the establishment of the International Committee of the Red Cross and the adoption of the first Geneva Convention in

1864. ¹ ~~The~~ ³ ~~Geneva~~ ⁵ ~~marked the beginning of a~~ ⁵ ~~formal legal~~ ³ ~~Convention~~ ⁵ ~~f~~

framework

aimed at protecting victims of armed conflict. Over time, additional treaties expanded these protections. The Hague Conventions of 1899 and 1907 further contributed by addressing

restrictions on ³ ~~certain~~ ⁵ ~~the conduct of hostilities~~ ³ ~~weapons~~ ⁵ ~~the~~ ³ ~~and~~ ⁵ ~~the means and methods of warfare, including~~ ³ ~~Together~~

, Geneva and Hague traditions formed the core structure of what is now recognized as International Humanitarian Law.

The experiences of the two World Wars revealed both the importance and the limitations of existing legal protections. The scale of destruction and the suffering of civilians highlighted the need for stronger and more comprehensive rules. This

³ ~~led to the adoption of the four Geneva Conventions of 1949,~~ ⁵ ~~which remain central to~~ IHL today. These conventions significantly expanded protections to include not only wounded and sick soldiers, but also prisoners of war and civilians in times of conflict. Further developments occurred with the introduction of the Additional

Protocols in 1977, which addressed issues arising from modern conflicts, including wars of national liberation and non-international armed conflicts. These protocols reinforced key principles such as distinction and proportionality, while also adapting the law to changing forms of warfare.

⁵ ~~In addition to treaty law, customary~~ ⁵ ~~international law~~ has played ⁵ ~~an important role in~~ ⁵ ~~shaping~~ IHL. Many

rules have become widely accepted through consistent state practice, even in situations where formal treaty obligations may not apply. Judicial decisions, international organizations, and scholarly contributions have also helped clarify and develop the law over time. Overall, the historical development of International Humanitarian Law reflects an ongoing effort to bring a measure of humanity into situations of armed conflict. While the law has evolved in response to changing circumstances, its central purpose has remained the same: to reduce suffering and protect those who are most vulnerable during war.

SOURCES OF INTERNATIONAL HUMANITARIAN LAW:

International Humanitarian Law (IHL) draws its authority from a variety of sources that together form a structured and widely recognized legal framework. These sources are not limited to written agreements but also include practices and interpretations that have developed over time. Understanding these sources is essential for appreciating how IHL operates in both theory and practice. One of the primary sources of IHL is treaty law. Treaties are formal agreements between states that establish legally binding rules governing

among

soldiers, prisoners of these and civilians. These armed conflict. the most important which set out detailed provisions protection. are the Geneva Conventions and their Additional Protocols. agreements reflect a collective commitment by states to uphold minimum humanitarian standards, even during hostilities. Because a large majority of countries have ratified these treaties, they form the backbone of modern IHL. Alongside treaties, customary international law plays a crucial role. consistent state practice accompanied by a belief that such practice is legally required. In the context of IHL, many rules—such as the obligation to distinguish between civilians and combatants— are considered whether they have formally accepted specific treaties. This makes customary law particularly important in situations involving non-state actors or states that are not party to certain agreements.

Judicial decisions and legal interpretations also contribute to the development and clarification of IHL. International courts and tribunals, through their judgments, help define the scope and meaning of legal provisions. While these decisions are not always binding beyond the specific cases they address, they carry significant persuasive value and often influence how the law is applied in future situations. In addition, the writings of legal scholars and the work of humanitarian organizations provide important guidance. Academic analysis helps interpret complex legal principles, while organizations involved in conflict settings often contribute practical insights into how the law functions on the ground. Their role is especially valuable in identifying gaps, proposing reforms, and promoting a better understanding of humanitarian norms. Another important aspect of IHL's sources is the role of general principles of law recognized by the international community. These principles, such as humanity and good faith, support the interpretation and application of specific rules, ensuring that the law remains grounded in broader notions of justice and fairness. Taken together, these sources create a comprehensive and adaptable legal system. They allow International Humanitarian Law to respond to new challenges while maintaining its fundamental purpose. Even as the nature of warfare continues to evolve, these sources provide a stable foundation for protecting human dignity in times of armed conflict.

FUNDAMENTAL PRINCIPLES OF INTERNATIONAL HUMANITARIAN LAW:

built upon core principles International Humanitarian Law (IHL) is a set of that guide the conduct of parties during armed conflict. These principles are not merely abstract ideas; they serve as practical rules that shape decisions on the battlefield and aim to reduce

16
7

13

19

14

unnecessary suffering. Even as warfare becomes more complex, these foundational concepts continue to provide a moral and legal compass.

the most central principles is

This a

civilians. Only those who are actively participating in hostilities may be lawfully targeted, while civilians and civilian objects must be protected. In practice, this

principle places a continuous obligation on military forces to assess their targets carefully and avoid harm to those who are not involved in the fighting.

Closely related to this is the principle of proportionality. It recognizes that while military operations may result in such harm

excessive in relation to the anticipated incidental military advantage. This principle does not prohibit all civilian casualties, but it demands a careful balancing of military objectives against humanitarian consequences. It introduces a level of restraint that seeks to prevent unnecessary destruction.

Another important principle is military necessity. This allows parties to take

measures that are necessary to achieve a legitimate military objective. It acknowledges the those measures

warfare while still placing limits on what can be justified. Military necessity cannot be used as a blanket excuse for actions that violate humanitarian protections.

realities principle lies at the heart of IHL. It emphasizes the need to prevent and The violate human suffering wherever possible. This principle underpins rules that prohibit torture, cruel treatment, and inhumane acts against individuals who are not taking part in hostilities. It reflects the idea that even in times of war, basic human dignity must be respected.

In addition, the principle of precaution plays a significant role in modern interpretations of IHL.

precautions to minimize harm to civilians and civilian objects to take all feasible This includes careful planning of attacks, verification of targets, and the selection of methods and means that reduce the risk of unintended damage.

These principles are interconnected and must be applied together rather than in isolation. They collectively create a framework that seeks to limit the effects of armed conflict without ignoring its realities. While challenges in implementation remain, especially in contemporary forms of warfare, these fundamental principles continue to serve as the backbone of International Humanitarian Law, guiding both legal interpretation and practical conduct during conflicts.

PROTECTION OF CIVILIANS AND VULNERABLE GROUPS:

concerns

One of the most important of International Humanitarian Law (IHL) is the protection of civilians and individuals who are especially vulnerable during armed conflict.

Unlike combatants, civilians are not participants in hostilities, and for this reason, they are entitled to a high level of legal protection. The rules governing their safety are designed to reduce the human cost of war and to ensure that those who are not involved in fighting are treated with dignity and respect.

At the centre of this protection is the idea that civilians must never be the direct target of attacks. Military operations are required to focus only on legitimate military objectives, and constant care **incidental harm** to civilian populations. This becomes particularly challenging in modern conflicts, where fighting often takes place in densely populated areas, making it difficult to clearly separate civilian life from military activity. **must be taken to avoid or at least minimize**

Beyond general civilian protection, IHL pays special attention to groups that may face greater risks during conflict. These include women, children, the elderly, refugees, and persons with disabilities. Each of these groups may experience the effects of war in different and often more severe ways. For example, children may be more vulnerable to displacement and recruitment into armed groups, while the elderly may

Women in conflict situations often face unique forms of harm, including genderbased violence and exploitation. IHL seeks to address these risks by requiring that all individuals be treated humanely, without adverse distinction. This means that protection must be provided without discrimination

medical care.

based on factors such as gender, nationality, or religion. The emphasis is not only on physical safety but also on preserving personal dignity.

Another important aspect of protection involves access to humanitarian assistance. Civilians affected by conflict must be allowed to receive essential supplies, including food, medical aid, and shelter. Humanitarian organizations play a key role in delivering this assistance, and parties to a conflict are expected to facilitate their work rather than obstruct it.

Despite these legal protections, standards set by IHL. Violations such as **indiscriminate attacks, forced displacement, and denial of humanitarian aid** continue to occur in many parts of the world.

These challenges highlight the gap between legal principles and actual practice in important questions about enforcement and accountability.

In essence, the protection of civilians and vulnerable groups reflects the humanitarian spirit at the core of International Humanitarian Law. While the law cannot eliminate the suffering caused by war, it aims to place meaningful limits on it. Ensuring that these protections are respected

MEANS AND METHODS OF WARFARE:

(IHL) International Humanitarian Law **not only** **remains one of the most pressing challenges in contemporary armed conflict.** **focuses on who may be targeted**

during armed conflict **places important limits on how wars are fought.** **the means and methods**

These limits are generally referred to as the regulation of **of warfare.** In simple terms, “**means**” refers **to the weapons and tools used in combat,** while “**methods**” refers to the strategies and tactics employed to carry out military operations.

The basic idea behind regulating means and methods of warfare is to prevent unnecessary suffering and avoid destruction that serves no real military purpose. Even in situations of armed conflict, the

choice of weapons and tactics is not unlimited. States and armed groups are expected to operate within boundaries set by international law, ensuring that humanity is preserved as far as possible. Certain weapons are prohibited because of the excessive harm they cause or because they cannot distinguish between military targets and civilians. For example, chemical and biological weapons are banned under international treaties due to their indiscriminate and long-lasting effects. Similarly,

that cause underfluous injury or unnecessary suffering are not

weapons

IHL. This reflects the broader principle that warfare should not go beyond what is necessary to achieve a legitimate military objective.

Methods of warfare are also subject to strict regulation. Attacks that are indiscriminate in nature—meaning they do not distinguish between combatants and civilians—are not allowed. Likewise, acts such as perfidy, which involve

betraying an adversary's trust by pretending to be protected under the law, are prohibited. These rules are intended to maintain a minimum level of honesty and restraint even in hostile situations. Modern armed conflicts have introduced new challenges in this area. The use of drones, cyber operations, and autonomous weapons systems has created

uncertainty about how existing legal rules apply. While these technologies may offer strategic advantages, they also raise serious concerns about accountability and the ability to ensure compliance with humanitarian principles. As warfare becomes more technologically advanced, the need to interpret and adapt legal standards becomes increasingly important.

Despite these challenges, the underlying purpose of regulating means and methods of warfare remains constant. It is

who are not involved in fighting, and ensure that even in war, there are boundaries that cannot be crossed. This balance

between military necessity and humanitarian lies at the heart of International Humanitarian Law and

continues to guide its application in both traditional and modern conflicts.

SUGGESTIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS:

The study of International Humanitarian Law in the context of armed modern makes it clear that while the legal framework is well established, its effectiveness depends largely on how it is implemented in real situations. Based on the issues identified, several practical suggestions and recommendations can be made to strengthen compliance and improve protection for victims of armed conflict.

Firstly, there is a need to improve awareness and education on International Humanitarian Law among all parties involved in conflict. This includes not only state armed forces but also non-state armed groups, where knowledge of legal obligations is often limited. Regular training, inclusion of IHL in military curricula, and dissemination programs by humanitarian organizations can help ensure that the rules of war are better understood and respected on the ground.

Secondly, enforcement mechanisms should be strengthened at the international level. Although

institutions such as international courts and tribunals exist, their effectiveness is often limited by issues of jurisdiction, political influence, and lack of cooperation from states. A stronger commitment from the international community is necessary to ensure that violations of IHL are properly investigated and that accountability is not avoided.

Thirdly, modern technological developments in warfare require urgent legal attention. The increasing use of drones, cyber operations, and autonomous weapons systems

do raises new ethical and legal questions that existing laws not

fully address. Clearer international guidelines and updated legal instruments are needed to regulate these technologies and ensure that humanitarian principles are not compromised.

Fourthly, greater support should be provided to humanitarian organizations working in conflict zones. These organizations play a vital role in delivering aid, protecting civilians, and monitoring violations. Ensuring safe and unrestricted access to affected areas can significantly improve the protection of vulnerable populations.

Lastly, stronger international cooperation is essential. Armed conflicts today often have cross-border implications, and no single state can address these challenges alone. Collaborative efforts through international organizations and treaties can help promote consistency in the application of IHL and reduce violations.

In conclusion, while International Humanitarian Law provides a strong moral and legal foundation for limiting the effects of war, its true impact depends on commitment, cooperation, and continuous adaptation to new realities.

Strengthening these areas can help ensure that the principles of humanity remain central even in times of armed conflict.

CONCLUSION:

International Humanitarian Law has developed as a crucial legal response to the realities of armed conflict, where human suffering has often been an unavoidable consequence of war. Across its evolution, it has consistently aimed to introduce limits on violence, protect individuals who are not part of hostilities, and preserve a minimum standard of humanity even in the most difficult circumstances. The study of its historical development, sources, principles, protection mechanisms, and rules governing warfare shows that IHL is both comprehensive in design and deeply rooted in humanitarian values.

At the same time, the application of these legal norms in modern armed conflicts reveals a complex and often difficult reality. Contemporary warfare is no longer limited to traditional battlefields between states. Instead, it frequently involves non-state armed groups, internal conflicts, and rapidly changing technologies.

These developments have made it harder to clearly identify combatants, regulate conduct, and ensure consistent compliance with legal standards.

While the core principles of distinction, proportionality, humanity, and military necessity remain relevant, their implementation is frequently challenged by practical and political factors. Civilian populations continue to bear the greatest burden of armed conflict, despite the existence of strong

legal protections. Issues such as indiscriminate attacks, misuse of new technologies, and weak enforcement mechanisms highlight the gap between legal ideals and ground realities.

Nevertheless, the importance of International Humanitarian Law cannot be undermined. It continues to serve as a necessary framework for limiting the effects of war and reminding parties to a conflict that even in violence, certain

boundaries must not be crossed. Its effectiveness, however, depends not only on the strength of the law itself but also on the willingness of states and armed groups to respect and implement it in good faith.

In conclusion, while International Humanitarian Law faces significant challenges in the context of modern armed conflict, it remains an essential instrument for protecting human dignity. Strengthening compliance, improving accountability, and adapting legal interpretations to new forms of warfare are necessary steps to ensure that its humanitarian purpose continues to be meaningful in practice, not just in principle.

Here is a **clean, properly formatted reference list** for your project “*A Study on International Humanitarian Law and the Challenges in Modern Armed Conflict*” in a standard academic style (OSCOLA/LLB-friendly). You can place this at the end of your dissertation.

REFERENCES

Treaties and Conventions

- Geneva Convention I–IV (adopted 12 August 1949, entered into force 21 October 1950).
- Protocol Additional to the Geneva Conventions of 12 August 1949 (Protocol I) (1977).
- Protocol Additional to the Geneva Conventions of 12 August 1949 (Protocol II) (1977).
- Rome Statute of the International Criminal Court (1998).
- United Nations Charter (1945).

Cases

- *Legality of the Threat or Use of Nuclear Weapons* (Advisory Opinion) [1996] ICJ Rep 226.
- *Prosecutor v Duško Tadić* (Decision on Jurisdiction) IT-94-1 (ICTY, 1995).

Books

- Antonio Cassese, *International Law* (2nd edn, Oxford University Press 2005).
- Marco Sassòli, *International Humanitarian Law: Rules, Controversies, and Solutions* (Edward Elgar 2019).

- Mary Kaldor, *New and Old Wars: Organized Violence in a Global Era* (3rd edn, Polity Press 2012).
- Jean Pictet, *Development and Principles of International Humanitarian Law* (Martinus Nijhoff 1985).

Reports and Institutional Publications

- International Committee of the Red Cross (ICRC), *Customary International Humanitarian Law* (Cambridge University Press 2005).
- ICRC, *International Humanitarian Law and the Challenges of Contemporary Armed Conflicts* (2019).
- ICRC, *Commentary on the First Geneva Convention* (2016).
- United Nations Security Council Reports on Armed Conflict (various years).
- Human Rights Watch, *World Report* (various editions).

Other Legal Materials

- Tallinn Manual 2.0 on the International Law Applicable to Cyber Operations (Cambridge University Press 2017).
- United Nations General Assembly Resolutions on Autonomous Weapons Systems (various).

