

INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL FOR LEGAL RESEARCH AND ANALYSIS



Open Access, Refereed Journal Multi-Disciplinary
Peer Reviewed

www.ijlra.com

DISCLAIMER

No part of this publication may be reproduced or copied in any form by any means without prior written permission of Managing Editor of IJLRA. The views expressed in this publication are purely personal opinions of the authors and do not reflect the views of the Editorial Team of IJLRA.

Though every effort has been made to ensure that the information in Volume II Issue 7 is accurate and appropriately cited/referenced, neither the Editorial Board nor IJLRA shall be held liable or responsible in any manner whatsoever for any consequences for any action taken by anyone on the basis of information in the Journal.

Copyright © International Journal for Legal Research & Analysis

EDITORIALTEAM

EDITORS

Dr. Samrat Datta

Dr. Samrat Datta Seedling School of Law and Governance, Jaipur National University, Jaipur. Dr. Samrat Datta is currently associated with Seedling School of Law and Governance, Jaipur National University, Jaipur. Dr. Datta has completed his graduation i.e., B.A.LL.B. from Law College Dehradun, Hemvati Nandan Bahuguna Garhwal University, Srinagar, Uttarakhand. He is an alumnus of KIIT University, Bhubaneswar where he pursued his post-graduation (LL.M.) in Criminal Law and subsequently completed his Ph.D. in Police Law and Information Technology from the Pacific Academy of Higher Education and Research University, Udaipur in 2020. His area of interest and research is Criminal and Police Law. Dr. Datta has a teaching experience of 7 years in various law schools across North India and has held administrative positions like Academic Coordinator, Centre Superintendent for Examinations, Deputy Controller of Examinations, Member of the Proctorial Board



Dr. Namita Jain

Head & Associate Professor

School of Law, JECRC University, Jaipur Ph.D. (Commercial Law) LL.M., UGC -NET Post Graduation Diploma in Taxation law and Practice, Bachelor of Commerce.

Teaching Experience: 12 years, AWARDS AND RECOGNITION of Dr. Namita Jain are - ICF Global Excellence Award 2020 in the category of educationalist by I Can Foundation, India. India Women Empowerment Award in the category of "Emerging Excellence in Academics by Prime Time & Utkrisht Bharat Foundation, New Delhi. (2020). Conferred in FL Book of Top 21 Record Holders in the category of education by Fashion Lifestyle Magazine, New Delhi. (2020). Certificate of Appreciation for organizing and managing the Professional Development Training Program on IPR in Collaboration with Trade Innovations Services, Jaipur on March 14th, 2019



Mrs.S.Kalpana

Assistant professor of Law

Mrs.S.Kalpana, presently Assistant professor of Law, VelTech Rangarajan Dr.Sagunthala R & D Institute of Science and Technology, Avadi. Formerly Assistant professor of Law, Vels University in the year 2019 to 2020, Worked as Guest Faculty, Chennai Dr.Ambedkar Law College, Pudupakkam. Published one book. Published 8Articles in various reputed Law Journals. Conducted 1Moot court competition and participated in nearly 80 National and International seminars and webinars conducted on various subjects of Law. Did ML in Criminal Law and Criminal Justice Administration. 10 paper presentations in various National and International seminars. Attended more than 10 FDP programs. Ph.D. in Law pursuing.



Avinash Kumar



Avinash Kumar has completed his Ph.D. in International Investment Law from the Dept. of Law & Governance, Central University of South Bihar. His research work is on "International Investment Agreement and State's right to regulate Foreign Investment." He qualified UGC-NET and has been selected for the prestigious ICSSR Doctoral Fellowship. He is an alumnus of the Faculty of Law, University of Delhi. Formerly he has been elected as Students Union President of Law Centre-1, University of Delhi. Moreover, he completed his LL.M. from the University of Delhi (2014-16), dissertation on "Cross-border Merger & Acquisition"; LL.B. from the University of Delhi (2011-14), and B.A. (Hons.) from Maharaja Agrasen College, University of Delhi. He has also obtained P.G. Diploma in IPR from the Indian Society of International Law, New Delhi. He has qualified UGC – NET examination and has been awarded ICSSR – Doctoral Fellowship. He has published six-plus articles and presented 9 plus papers in national and international seminars/conferences. He participated in several workshops on research methodology and teaching and learning.

ABOUT US

INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL FOR LEGAL RESEARCH & ANALYSIS
ISSN

2582-6433 is an Online Journal is Monthly, Peer Review, Academic Journal, Published online, that seeks to provide an interactive platform for the publication of Short Articles, Long Articles, Book Review, Case Comments, Research Papers, Essay in the field of Law & Multidisciplinary issue. Our aim is to upgrade the level of interaction and discourse about contemporary issues of law. We are eager to become a highly cited academic publication, through quality contributions from students, academics, professionals from the industry, the bar and the bench. INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL FOR LEGAL RESEARCH & ANALYSIS ISSN 2582-6433 welcomes contributions from all legal branches, as long as the work is original, unpublished and is in consonance with the submission guidelines.

RIGHT TO INFORMATION ACT, 2005: ENHANCING TRANSPARENCY AND ACCOUNTABILITY IN INDIA

AUTHORED BY – AKSHAT GUPTA

College: Amity University

Course: B.A., LL. B (H)

CO-AUTHOR—DR. KUMUKUM SRIVASTAVA

Assistant Professor

Abstract

The Right to Information (RTI) Act, 2005 is a landmark piece of legislation in the Indian democratic framework, empowering citizens to demand transparency and accountability from public authorities. It represents a shift from a culture of secrecy to one of openness in governance. The Act mandates timely response to citizen requests for government information, ensuring that the functioning of the government remains open to scrutiny. This paper delves into the historical background, key provisions, significance, and implementation challenges of the RTI Act. It also evaluates the impact of RTI on governance and democratic participation in India, while discussing the judicial interpretations and landmark cases that have shaped its trajectory. The analysis reveals both the strengths and the shortcomings of the Act, suggesting reforms to reinforce the right to information as an essential democratic right. This report aims to offer a comprehensive understanding of RTI as a tool for empowering citizens and strengthening democratic governance.

1. Introduction

The Right to Information (RTI) Act, 2005, is considered one of the most significant laws passed in independent India, offering a powerful mechanism for promoting transparency and combating corruption. The legislation grants Indian citizens the right to access information from public authorities, thereby making the government more accountable and participative.

Rooted in the principles of good governance, the RTI Act enables citizens to question and audit public spending, scrutinize government policies, and challenge bureaucratic inertia. The

passage of the RTI Act was the result of prolonged grassroots movements and civil society advocacy, particularly led by organizations such as the Mazdoor Kisan Shakti Sangathan (MKSS).

This paper explores the origins, objectives, and architecture of the RTI Act, assessing its practical implications and influence on governance. The aim is to evaluate how the RTI framework fosters democratic engagement and identify areas requiring reform.

2. Historical and Legislative Background

The evolution of the Right to Information in India began with the recognition that secrecy in public administration often fosters corruption and inefficiency. Although the Indian Constitution did not explicitly provide the right to information, the Supreme Court interpreted Article 19(1)(a) — the right to freedom of speech and expression — to include the right to know.

The movement for RTI gained momentum in the 1990s with the efforts of civil society groups such as MKSS, which organized public hearings (jan sunwais) to expose corruption in rural employment schemes. Their work catalyzed broader demands for legal entitlements to access information.

The first significant milestone was the Freedom of Information Act, 2002, which had limited scope and effectiveness. Dissatisfaction with its implementation led to the enactment of the more robust Right to Information Act, 2005. The RTI Act received Presidential assent on June 15, 2005, and came into full force on October 12, 2005.

3. Objectives and Significance of the RTI Act

The RTI Act is founded on the principles of democratic accountability, participative governance, and transparency. Its key objectives include:

- Empowering citizens to access information held by public authorities.
- Promoting transparency in the functioning of the government.
- Combating corruption and arbitrariness in public administration.
- Enhancing trust between citizens and the state.

The Act applies to all levels of government — central, state, and local — and includes

constitutional authorities, ministries, departments, public sector undertakings, and bodies substantially financed by the government. The Act also promotes proactive disclosure, whereby public authorities are mandated to publish key information at regular intervals without being asked.

4. Key Provisions of the RTI Act

4.1 Right to Access Information

Section 3 of the RTI Act provides every citizen the right to access information under the control of public authorities. Requests can be made in writing or electronically, and information must be provided within 30 days (or 48 hours in life and liberty cases).

4.2 Public Information Officers (PIOs)

Every public authority is required to appoint a Public Information Officer (PIO) to receive and process RTI requests. Assistant PIOs are designated at sub-district levels to facilitate the process.

4.3 Proactive Disclosure (Section 4)

The Act mandates public authorities to proactively disclose information related to their structure, decision-making processes, budgets, subsidies, and more. This reduces the need for individual RTI requests.

4.4 Exemptions and Restrictions (Section 8 and 9)

Certain information is exempt from disclosure, such as matters affecting national security, personal privacy, and cabinet deliberations. These exemptions are narrowly defined and subject to public interest overrides.

4.5 Information Commissions

The Act provides for the establishment of the Central Information Commission (CIC) and State Information Commissions (SICs) to adjudicate disputes and ensure compliance. These bodies have quasi-judicial powers to summon witnesses and documents.

5. Impact of the RTI Act on Governance

5.1 Impact of the RTI Act on Governance

Since its implementation, the RTI Act has transformed the relationship between citizens and the state. It has empowered ordinary people to hold governments accountable, uncover corruption, and assert their rights.

Examples of impact include:

- Uncovering the 2G spectrum scam and Commonwealth Games irregularities.
- Exposing ghost beneficiaries in public welfare schemes like PDS and MNREGA.
- Enabling whistleblowers and activists to demand transparency in environmental clearances and land acquisition.

The RTI Act has thus democratized information, enabling a form of participatory governance where the citizen is at the center of policy enforcement.

6. Challenges in Implementation

Despite its successes, the RTI Act faces several implementation challenges:

6.1 Bureaucratic Resistance

Many officials view the Act as an intrusion into administrative functioning. Delays, evasive replies, and lack of cooperation from PIOs are common complaints.

6.2 Backlog and Pendency

Information Commissions are overburdened, leading to long delays in appeal hearings. Some states have huge backlogs, weakening the enforcement of the Act.

6.3 Threats to Whistleblowers

RTI activists have faced harassment, threats, and even violence for exposing corruption. The lack of a strong whistleblower protection framework undermines the spirit of transparency.

6.4 Amendments Diluting the Act

The RTI (Amendment) Act, 2019 gave the central government powers to determine the tenure and salaries of Information Commissioners. Critics argue this undermines the autonomy of Information Commissions.

7. Suggested Reforms and the Way Forward

To strengthen the RTI framework, several reforms can be considered:

- Enhancing the autonomy and resources of Information Commissions.
- Digitizing RTI processes for faster, transparent responses.
- Implementing the Whistleblower Protection Act in conjunction with RTI.
- Expanding training for PIOs and awareness among citizens.

Public authorities should also embrace the culture of transparency, moving beyond mere

compliance toward genuine openness. Proactive disclosures must be improved in quality and comprehensiveness.

8. Conclusion

The Right to Information Act, 2005, is a cornerstone of democratic governance in India. It has empowered citizens, improved public service delivery, and exposed systemic corruption. By bridging the information gap between the state and society, it has strengthened India's democratic foundations.

However, for the RTI Act to realize its full potential, institutional support, political will, and active civic participation are essential. Transparency should not be seen as a threat to administration but as a necessary condition for accountable governance. As India continues to evolve as a democracy, the RTI Act will remain a vital instrument for ensuring that power truly resides with the people.

9. References

1. The Right to Information Act, 2005.
2. Mazdoor Kisan Shakti Sangathan (MKSS) Movement Archives.
3. Commonwealth Human Rights Initiative, "Report on RTI Implementation in India."
4. Datar, Arvind. "Commentary on Constitution of India." LexisNexis.
5. Rajagopal, Krishnaraj. "RTI and the Citizen-State Relationship." The Hindu, 2020.
6. Central Information Commission Annual Reports (2010–2023).
7. RTI Foundation of India. "Case Studies on RTI Successes."
8. Transparency International India Reports, 2019–2024.