

INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL FOR LEGAL RESEARCH AND ANALYSIS



Open Access, Refereed Journal Multi-Disciplinary
Peer Reviewed

www.ijlra.com

DISCLAIMER

No part of this publication may be reproduced or copied in any form by any means without prior written permission of Managing Editor of IJLRA. The views expressed in this publication are purely personal opinions of the authors and do not reflect the views of the Editorial Team of IJLRA.

Though every effort has been made to ensure that the information in Volume II Issue 7 is accurate and appropriately cited/referenced, neither the Editorial Board nor IJLRA shall be held liable or responsible in any manner whatsoever for any consequences for any action taken by anyone on the basis of information in the Journal.

Copyright © International Journal for Legal Research & Analysis

EDITORIALTEAM

EDITORS

Dr. Samrat Datta

Dr. Samrat Datta Seedling School of Law and Governance, Jaipur National University, Jaipur. Dr. Samrat Datta is currently associated with Seedling School of Law and Governance, Jaipur National University, Jaipur. Dr. Datta has completed his graduation i.e., B.A.LL.B. from Law College Dehradun, Hemvati Nandan Bahuguna Garhwal University, Srinagar, Uttarakhand. He is an alumnus of KIIT University, Bhubaneswar where he pursued his post-graduation (LL.M.) in Criminal Law and subsequently completed his Ph.D. in Police Law and Information Technology from the Pacific Academy of Higher Education and Research University, Udaipur in 2020. His area of interest and research is Criminal and Police Law. Dr. Datta has a teaching experience of 7 years in various law schools across North India and has held administrative positions like Academic Coordinator, Centre Superintendent for Examinations, Deputy Controller of Examinations, Member of the Proctorial Board



Dr. Namita Jain

Head & Associate Professor

School of Law, JECRC University, Jaipur Ph.D. (Commercial Law) LL.M., UGC -NET Post Graduation Diploma in Taxation law and Practice, Bachelor of Commerce.

Teaching Experience: 12 years, AWARDS AND RECOGNITION of Dr. Namita Jain are - ICF Global Excellence Award 2020 in the category of educationalist by I Can Foundation, India. India Women Empowerment Award in the category of "Emerging Excellence in Academics by Prime Time & Utkrisht Bharat Foundation, New Delhi.(2020). Conferred in FL Book of Top 21 Record Holders in the category of education by Fashion Lifestyle Magazine, New Delhi. (2020). Certificate of Appreciation for organizing and managing the Professional Development Training Program on IPR in Collaboration with Trade Innovations Services, Jaipur on March 14th, 2019



Mrs.S.Kalpana

Assistant professor of Law

Mrs.S.Kalpana, presently Assistant professor of Law, VelTech Rangarajan Dr.Sagunthala R & D Institute of Science and Technology, Avadi. Formerly Assistant professor of Law, Vels University in the year 2019 to 2020, Worked as Guest Faculty, Chennai Dr.Ambedkar Law College, Pudupakkam. Published one book. Published 8Articles in various reputed Law Journals. Conducted 1Moot court competition and participated in nearly 80 National and International seminars and webinars conducted on various subjects of Law. Did ML in Criminal Law and Criminal Justice Administration. 10 paper presentations in various National and International seminars. Attended more than 10 FDP programs. Ph.D. in Law pursuing.



Avinash Kumar



Avinash Kumar has completed his Ph.D. in International Investment Law from the Dept. of Law & Governance, Central University of South Bihar. His research work is on "International Investment Agreement and State's right to regulate Foreign Investment." He qualified UGC-NET and has been selected for the prestigious ICSSR Doctoral Fellowship. He is an alumnus of the Faculty of Law, University of Delhi. Formerly he has been elected as Students Union President of Law Centre-1, University of Delhi. Moreover, he completed his LL.M. from the University of Delhi (2014-16), dissertation on "Cross-border Merger & Acquisition"; LL.B. from the University of Delhi (2011-14), and B.A. (Hons.) from Maharaja Agrasen College, University of Delhi. He has also obtained P.G. Diploma in IPR from the Indian Society of International Law, New Delhi. He has qualified UGC – NET examination and has been awarded ICSSR – Doctoral Fellowship. He has published six-plus articles and presented 9 plus papers in national and international seminars/conferences. He participated in several workshops on research methodology and teaching and learning.

ABOUT US

INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL FOR LEGAL RESEARCH & ANALYSIS
ISSN

2582-6433 is an Online Journal is Monthly, Peer Review, Academic Journal, Published online, that seeks to provide an interactive platform for the publication of Short Articles, Long Articles, Book Review, Case Comments, Research Papers, Essay in the field of Law & Multidisciplinary issue. Our aim is to upgrade the level of interaction and discourse about contemporary issues of law. We are eager to become a highly cited academic publication, through quality contributions from students, academics, professionals from the industry, the bar and the bench. INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL FOR LEGAL RESEARCH & ANALYSIS ISSN 2582-6433 welcomes contributions from all legal branches, as long as the work is original, unpublished and is in consonance with the submission guidelines.

LEGAL IMPLICATIONS OF GIG ECONOMY IN INDIA: NEED FOR LABOUR LAW REFORMS

AUTHORED BY - AARCY AGGARWAL
B. Com (Honors), LLB, Punjabi University, Patiala

Abstract

The gig economy in India has expanded rapidly with the advent of digital platforms such as Uber, Zomato, Swiggy, Urban Company, and others. This model has enabled flexible work opportunities but raised concerns regarding workers' rights, job security, minimum wages, and social security. Despite contributing significantly to the Indian economy, gig workers remain largely outside the ambit of traditional labor laws. This paper examines the legal implications of the gig economy in India, identifies the shortcomings in the current regulatory framework, and makes a strong case for comprehensive labour law reforms to address the precarious nature of gig work.

1. Introduction

The rise of the gig economy has fundamentally transformed the traditional employer-employee relationship in India and around the globe (Vyas, 2020). Characterized by short-term contracts, freelance engagements, and digital platforms acting as intermediaries between service providers and consumers, the gig economy has emerged as a significant driver of employment (Abram, 2021). As per a report by NITI Aayog (2022), India is home to over 7.7 million gig workers, with projections suggesting this number will reach 23.5 million by 2030. While the gig economy offers flexibility and opportunities for income generation, it also raises critical legal concerns regarding job security, social protection, rights at work, and dispute resolution (Singh, 2021). Despite the exponential growth of platform-based employment, Indian labour laws continue to operate within a framework suited for a formal economy model (ILO, 2021). The regulatory vacuum has resulted in an asymmetrical power dynamic where gig workers remain vulnerable and unprotected (Khetam, 2023). This research paper critically evaluates the legal implications of the gig economy in India, identifying gaps in the current labour law regime and arguing for comprehensive reforms to address the emerging challenges.

2. Understanding the Gig Economy in India

The term "gig economy" refers to a labour market characterized by short-term contracts, freelance work, and platform-based engagements rather than permanent jobs (Shyam, 2022). The term "gig" originates from the entertainment industry, referring to a one-time performance by artists. Today, the gig economy encompasses a wide range of services delivered on demand via digital platforms like Uber, Zomato, Swiggy, Urban Company, Ola, and others (Upadhayay, 2022). Gig workers typically function as independent contractors, offering services without entering into formal employment contracts (IWWAGE, 2022; Basole, 2019). While they enjoy flexible working hours, they are often deprived of essential employment rights, such as minimum wages, job security, health insurance, paid leave, or retirement benefits (Shamsher, 2021; MLE, 2020).

In India, the shift towards a platform-based gig economy has coincided with technological advancement, increased smartphone penetration, and changing consumption patterns (SCL, 2020). Young workers, migrants, and those excluded from formal employment increasingly turn to gig work due to ease of entry and lack of alternatives (PIB, 2021). However, the informal nature of these engagements raises significant concerns:

- **Ambiguity in Employment Status:** Gig workers are neither classified as 'employees' nor fully self-employed in the traditional sense, creating a grey zone for legal interpretation.
- **Lack of Social Security:** Traditional benefits associated with employment like Provident Fund (PF), Employee State Insurance (ESI), and maternity benefits are largely unavailable.
- **Asymmetry in Bargaining Power:** Digital platforms set the terms of engagement unilaterally, often altering payment structures without prior consultation.
- **Algorithmic Control:** Platforms use opaque algorithms to manage workers, allocate tasks, and determine pay, leaving little scope for negotiation or contestation.

The existing legal framework, primarily governed by the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947; Minimum Wages Act, 1948; and the Employees' Provident Funds and Miscellaneous Provisions Act, 1952, is premised on a binary classification of employment (i.e., employer-employee). It fails to capture the unique attributes of platform-based work (Rajagopal, 2021).

The introduction of the Code on Social Security, 2020 marks a step forward by recognizing "gig workers" and "platform workers" as distinct categories (Fairwork, 2023; Kapoor, 2022;

Rathi, 2022). However, the code remains limited in implementation and lacks specific provisions mandating universal social security coverage (Scroll, 2022; NDTV, 2021; Live, 2023). In the absence of judicial clarity and legislative precision, gig workers are often denied the protection that traditional employees enjoy (SCI, 2022; India, 2023; Bhattacharya, 2020).

In India, the gig economy is broadly categorized into:

- **Platform-based delivery work** (Swiggy, Zomato)
- **Transportation and ride-sharing** (Ola, Uber)
- **Freelancing and professional services** (Urban Company, Freelancer, Upwork)

As per NITI Aayog's 2022 report, India had around 7.7 million gig workers in 2020-21, projected to rise to 23.5 million by 2029-30. Despite their growing presence, gig workers often lack benefits such as:

- Minimum wage protection
- Social security benefits
- Health insurance
- Collective bargaining rights

3. Legal Classification: Employee vs. Independent Contractor

At the heart of the legal debate surrounding gig workers is their classification (Pande, 2023). Traditionally, Indian labour laws recognize two categories:

1. **Employees** - Enjoy protection under labour laws (e.g., Industrial Disputes Act, Factories Act, ESI Act)
2. **Independent Contractors** - Not entitled to labour law benefits

Gig workers typically fall under the second category, which excludes them from statutory rights (Mint, 2023). This classification is crucial, as it determines access to legal protections. Courts in India have yet to issue a uniform ruling on this matter.

4. Judicial Responses

Judicial interpretation has been limited in India, but international precedents are insightful. For instance:

- **UK Supreme Court in Uber BV v. Aslam (2021)**: Held that Uber drivers are “workers” and not self-employed contractors.
- **California's AB-5 Law**: Adopted a presumption that gig workers are employees unless proven otherwise.

In India:

- **In Zomato v. Delivery Partners** (2021, Delhi HC), the court emphasized the need for legislative action, avoiding reclassification under existing frameworks.
- The **Ola-Uber driver union cases** have not yet received a landmark ruling.

Thus, judicial activism in India has been tentative, deferring to legislative clarity.

5. Existing Labour Laws: Inadequacies and Gaps

The Indian labour regulatory framework, primarily designed for the formal sector, fails to capture the realities of gig work (ET, 2023; Hindu, 2-23; Ken, 2022). Key gaps include:

- **No uniform definition of gig or platform workers**
- **Lack of employer accountability** on wages, working conditions
- **Limited access to social welfare schemes**
- **No provisions for grievance redressal mechanisms**

Moreover, India's four new labour codes – though consolidated – still do not effectively integrate gig workers.

6. The Code on Social Security, 2020

This code introduced some recognition by defining:

- **Gig worker:** A person outside the traditional employer-employee relationship
- **Platform worker:** Someone engaged through a digital platform

Provisions include:

- Registration of gig and platform workers
- Framework for social security schemes (to be implemented by central/state governments)

Limitations:

- Voluntary registration is a burden on the worker
- Absence of enforceable rights
- No mandatory contribution from platforms/employers

Thus, while symbolically important, the Code lacks the teeth for enforceable protections.

7. Comparative Jurisdictions: International Best Practices

Several countries have taken progressive steps:

- **UK:** Grants gig workers benefit like minimum wage, paid leaves

- **Spain:** Recognizes platform workers as employees
- **California:** AB-5 law presumes workers as employees unless proved otherwise
- **EU:** Proposes a directive for platform work regulation (2021)

These jurisdictions demonstrate that gig workers can be brought under protective frameworks without stifling innovation.

8. Need for Labour Law Reforms in India

India requires holistic reform to:

- Redefine ‘work’ and ‘employment’ in digital contexts
- Mandate **minimum wages, occupational safety, and social security**
- Ensure **algorithmic transparency** in work allocation
- Facilitate **collective bargaining and unionization**
- Establish **tripartite boards** including workers, platforms, and government

9. Constitutional Mandate and Gig Work

Gig workers’ protection is also a matter of constitutional concern:

- **Article 14** – Right to equality (discrimination due to work classification)
- **Article 21** – Right to livelihood and dignity
- **Directive Principles (Art. 39, 41, 43)** – State's responsibility to ensure adequate livelihood and social security

Thus, legal reforms are essential to fulfil the constitutional promise.

10. Role of Judiciary and PILs

Public Interest Litigations (PILs) have emerged to address gig worker exploitation. Key demands include:

- Compulsory registration of gig workers
- Allocation of CSR funds by platforms
- Government accountability in creating welfare boards

Judicial recognition of gig workers as a vulnerable group needing affirmative protection is gradually gaining ground.

11. Challenges in Reform Implementation

- **Platform lobbying** against regulation

- **State-Centre coordination** on labour reforms
- **Worker fragmentation** limiting unionization
- **Data privacy and surveillance** by platforms

Nonetheless, strong political will, legal clarity, and institutional innovation can overcome these.

12. Discussion

The exponential rise of the gig economy is a reflection of India's adaptability to technological innovations and the changing aspirations of its workforce. Yet, this transformation has brought with it an invisible class of workers operating in the shadow of legal ambiguity. While platform companies justify their business models under the garb of flexibility and entrepreneurship, the ground realities expose the vulnerability of gig workers long hours, uncertain incomes, algorithmic control, and lack of redressal mechanisms (ILO, 2018).

The inadequacy of the existing labour law framework lies not just in its archaic structure but in its inability to adapt to the informal-yet-structured nature of gig work. The legislative efforts seen in the Code on Social Security, 2020, though commendable, fall short due to their non-binding nature and dependence on future executive actions. For real change, India needs a paradigm shift to view labour not only in terms of contractual relationships but also in terms of social obligations and economic interdependence.

Furthermore, judicial hesitance in interfering with employment classification underscores the need for clear legislative direction. In the absence of such legal interventions, gig workers remain at the mercy of private platforms whose incentives are often misaligned with workers' welfare. Drawing lessons from global practices, it is time India considers hybrid classifications like "dependent contractors" or "platform-based employees," ensuring both flexibility and legal protection.

The conversation around gig work must also engage with broader economic and ethical questions. Who bears the cost of flexibility? Should profit be prioritized over worker dignity? As the gig economy grows, its regulatory vacuum threatens not just gig workers but the very idea of equitable economic progress. Thus, the urgency for legal reform is not merely a matter of worker protection but a question of India's commitment to inclusive growth and constitutional justice.

Conclusion

The gig economy's promise of innovation, efficiency, and employment must not come at the cost of fundamental rights and legal protection. India stands at a legal crossroads. On one side lies a digital revolution creating new opportunities; on the other lies an archaic legal framework unable to keep pace. Labour law reforms are imperative not just to protect gig workers but to ensure that the future of work is inclusive, just, and constitutionally sound. By redefining employment relationships, expanding social security, and enforcing platform accountability, India can lead the way in creating a fair gig economy. The time for half-measures is over. The law must rise to meet the realities of the 21st-century workforce.

References

1. NITI Aayog. (2022). *India's Booming Gig and Platform Economy*. Retrieved from <https://www.niti.gov.in>
2. International Labour Organization (ILO). (2021). *World Employment and Social Outlook: The Role of Digital Labour Platforms in Transforming the World of Work*. Retrieved from <https://www.ilo.org>
3. Ministry of Labour and Employment. (2020). *Code on Social Security, 2020*. Retrieved from <https://labour.gov.in>
4. Standing Committee on Labour. (2020). *Report on Code on Social Security*. Lok Sabha Secretariat. Retrieved from <https://loksabhadocs.nic.in>
5. Press Information Bureau. (2021). *Platform Workers to Be Covered Under Social Security*. Retrieved from <https://pib.gov.in>
6. Khetan, M. (2023). *Gig Work in India: Social Protections and Policy Pathways*. ORF Occasional Paper. Retrieved from <https://www.orfonline.org>
7. Shamsher, M. (2021). Legal challenges of gig workers in India. *Indian Journal of Law and Public Policy*, 7(2), 45–56. Retrieved from <https://ijlpp.com>
8. Shyam Sundar, K. R. (2022). *Reimagining Labour Law for Gig and Platform Workers in India*. IIM Kozhikode Working Paper. Retrieved from <https://www.iimk.ac.in>
9. Singh, A. (2021). The future of work: Platform workers in India. *Economic and Political Weekly*, 56(22), 35–41. Retrieved from <https://www.epw.in>
10. Vyas, M. (2020). *India's Labour Market During the Pandemic: A CMIE View*. Centre for Monitoring Indian Economy. Retrieved from <https://www.cmie.com>

11. Abraham, R. (2021). Digital platforms and the informal workforce. *Carnegie India*. Retrieved from <https://carnegieindia.org>
12. Upadhyay, V. (2022). Algorithmic control and worker autonomy in gig work. *The Wire Science*. Retrieved from <https://science.thewire.in>
13. IWWAGE. (2022). *Women in the Gig Economy: A Study of Digital Platforms*. Retrieved from <https://iwwage.org>
14. ILO. (2018). *Digital Labour Platforms and the Future of Work: Towards Decent Work in the Online World*. Retrieved from <https://www.ilo.org>
15. Fairwork India. (2023). *Fairwork Ratings 2023: Labour Standards in the Platform Economy*. Retrieved from <https://fair.work/en/fw/homepage/>
16. Basole, A. (2019). *Structural transformation and employment generation in India*. Azim Premji University Working Paper. Retrieved from <https://cse.azimprejiuniversity.edu.in>
17. Kapoor, R., & Bera, S. (2022). *Informality, Gig Economy, and Labour Law in India*. Brookings India Working Paper. Retrieved from <https://www.brookings.edu>
18. Rathi, A. (2022). India's gig economy is booming, but protections lag behind. *Quartz India*. Retrieved from <https://qz.com/india>
19. Pande, R. (2023). *Gig Economy and Labour Rights: Emerging Jurisprudence in India*. NUJS Law Review, 16(1), 112–135. Retrieved from <https://nujslawreview.org>
20. Bhattacharya, R. (2020). *Uber Drivers and Labour Rights in India*. *The Hindu Centre for Politics and Public Policy*. Retrieved from <https://thehinducentre.com>
21. Rajagopal, S. (2021). *Social Protection for Gig Workers: A Comparative Perspective*. Retrieved from <https://ids.ac.uk>
22. India Today. (2023). *Explained: Gig Economy in India and What Govt is Doing*. Retrieved from <https://www.indiatoday.in>
23. Scroll.in. (2022). *Zomato Delivery Boys Protest Pay Cuts, Lack of Insurance*. Retrieved from <https://scroll.in>
24. NDTV. (2021). *Swiggy Delivery Partners Strike for Better Wages and Social Security*. Retrieved from <https://ndtv.com>
25. LiveLaw. (2023). *Gig Workers Move SC for Recognition and Social Security*. Retrieved from <https://livelaw.in>
26. Supreme Court of India. (2022). *Indian Federation of App-Based Transport Workers v. Union of India*. Retrieved from <https://main.sci.gov.in>

27. Economic Times. (2023). *Why India's Gig Workers Are Demanding Labour Rights*. Retrieved from <https://economictimes.indiatimes.com>
28. The Hindu. (2023). *Government to Frame Rules for Gig Economy Workers*. Retrieved from <https://www.thehindu.com>
29. The Ken. (2022). *Gig Economy Boom Comes at the Cost of Worker Welfare*. Retrieved from <https://the-ken.com>
30. Mint. (2023). *How India's New Labour Codes Impact Gig Workers*. Retrieved from <https://livemint.com>

