

INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL FOR LEGAL RESEARCH AND ANALYSIS



Open Access, Refereed Journal Multi-Disciplinary
Peer Reviewed

www.ijlra.com

DISCLAIMER

No part of this publication may be reproduced or copied in any form by any means without prior written permission of Managing Editor of IJLRA. The views expressed in this publication are purely personal opinions of the authors and do not reflect the views of the Editorial Team of IJLRA.

Though every effort has been made to ensure that the information in Volume II Issue 7 is accurate and appropriately cited/referenced, neither the Editorial Board nor IJLRA shall be held liable or responsible in any manner whatsoever for any consequences for any action taken by anyone on the basis of information in the Journal.

Copyright © International Journal for Legal Research & Analysis

EDITORIALTEAM

EDITORS

Dr. Samrat Datta

Dr. Samrat Datta Seedling School of Law and Governance, Jaipur National University, Jaipur. Dr. Samrat Datta is currently associated with Seedling School of Law and Governance, Jaipur National University, Jaipur. Dr. Datta has completed his graduation i.e., B.A.LL.B. from Law College Dehradun, Hemvati Nandan Bahuguna Garhwal University, Srinagar, Uttarakhand. He is an alumnus of KIIT University, Bhubaneswar where he pursued his post-graduation (LL.M.) in Criminal Law and subsequently completed his Ph.D. in Police Law and Information Technology from the Pacific Academy of Higher Education and Research University, Udaipur in 2020. His area of interest and research is Criminal and Police Law. Dr. Datta has a teaching experience of 7 years in various law schools across North India and has held administrative positions like Academic Coordinator, Centre Superintendent for Examinations, Deputy Controller of Examinations, Member of the Proctorial Board



Dr. Namita Jain

Head & Associate Professor

School of Law, JECRC University, Jaipur Ph.D. (Commercial Law) LL.M., UGC -NET Post Graduation Diploma in Taxation law and Practice, Bachelor of Commerce.

Teaching Experience: 12 years, AWARDS AND RECOGNITION of Dr. Namita Jain are - ICF Global Excellence Award 2020 in the category of educationalist by I Can Foundation, India. India Women Empowerment Award in the category of "Emerging Excellence in Academics by Prime Time & Utkrisht Bharat Foundation, New Delhi.(2020). Conferred in FL Book of Top 21 Record Holders in the category of education by Fashion Lifestyle Magazine, New Delhi. (2020). Certificate of Appreciation for organizing and managing the Professional Development Training Program on IPR in Collaboration with Trade Innovations Services, Jaipur on March 14th, 2019



Mrs.S.Kalpana

Assistant professor of Law

Mrs.S.Kalpana, presently Assistant professor of Law, VelTech Rangarajan Dr.Sagunthala R & D Institute of Science and Technology, Avadi. Formerly Assistant professor of Law, Vels University in the year 2019 to 2020, Worked as Guest Faculty, Chennai Dr.Ambedkar Law College, Pudupakkam. Published one book. Published 8 Articles in various reputed Law Journals. Conducted 1 Moot court competition and participated in nearly 80 National and International seminars and webinars conducted on various subjects of Law. Did ML in Criminal Law and Criminal Justice Administration. 10 paper presentations in various National and International seminars. Attended more than 10 FDP programs. Ph.D. in Law pursuing.



Avinash Kumar



Avinash Kumar has completed his Ph.D. in International Investment Law from the Dept. of Law & Governance, Central University of South Bihar. His research work is on "International Investment Agreement and State's right to regulate Foreign Investment." He qualified UGC-NET and has been selected for the prestigious ICSSR Doctoral Fellowship. He is an alumnus of the Faculty of Law, University of Delhi. Formerly he has been elected as Students Union President of Law Centre-1, University of Delhi. Moreover, he completed his LL.M. from the University of Delhi (2014-16), dissertation on "Cross-border Merger & Acquisition"; LL.B. from the University of Delhi (2011-14), and B.A. (Hons.) from Maharaja Agrasen College, University of Delhi. He has also obtained P.G. Diploma in IPR from the Indian Society of International Law, New Delhi. He has qualified UGC – NET examination and has been awarded ICSSR – Doctoral Fellowship. He has published six-plus articles and presented 9 plus papers in national and international seminars/conferences. He participated in several workshops on research methodology and teaching and learning.

ABOUT US

INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL FOR LEGAL RESEARCH & ANALYSIS
ISSN

2582-6433 is an Online Journal is Monthly, Peer Review, Academic Journal, Published online, that seeks to provide an interactive platform for the publication of Short Articles, Long Articles, Book Review, Case Comments, Research Papers, Essay in the field of Law & Multidisciplinary issue. Our aim is to upgrade the level of interaction and discourse about contemporary issues of law. We are eager to become a highly cited academic publication, through quality contributions from students, academics, professionals from the industry, the bar and the bench. INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL FOR LEGAL RESEARCH & ANALYSIS ISSN 2582-6433 welcomes contributions from all legal branches, as long as the work is original, unpublished and is in consonance with the submission guidelines.

LEGAL FRAMEWORK OF THE MENTAL HEALTHCARE ACT, 2017

AUTHORED BY - DEEPENDRA YADAV

Research Scholar, Raffles University (Neemrana)

CO-AUTHOR - DR. NAMRATA YADAV

Assistant Professor of Law, Raffles University (Neemrana)

Abstract

The Mental Healthcare Act, 2017 (MHCA) marked a paradigm shift in mental health legislation in India, moving from a custodial approach to one that recognizes the rights of persons with mental illness (PMIs). This paper provides an in-depth examination of the MHCA, analyzing its legal underpinnings, key provisions, rights-based approach, compliance with international obligations, and implementation challenges. The paper also compares the MHCA, 2017 with its predecessor, the Mental Health Act, 1987, and evaluates its alignment with the United Nations Convention on the Rights of Persons with Disabilities (UNCRPD).

Keywords: Mental Healthcare Act, 2017; Mental Health Law; UNCRPD; Rights of Persons with Mental Illness; Legal Framework; India.

Introduction

Mental health law in India has undergone a significant transformation with the enactment of the Mental Healthcare Act, 2017. Replacing the archaic Mental Health Act of 1987, the MHCA was enacted to ensure the protection and promotion of rights of PMIs. It places emphasis on treatment with dignity, access to mental healthcare, and decriminalization of suicide. The Act reflects India's commitment to the UNCRPD, which it ratified in 2007.

Background and Evolution

The journey of mental health legislation in India has undergone significant transformation over the years, particularly marked by a shift from a custodial framework to one that emphasizes rights-based, person-centered care. A key milestone in this evolution was the enactment of the **Mental Health Act, 1987**. This legislation primarily focused on the institutionalization of

individuals with mental illness, reflecting a custodial and protectionist approach that prioritized containment over care. The Act lacked crucial elements such as the recognition of the rights and autonomy of persons with mental illness (PMIs), mechanisms for ensuring informed consent, or provisions for accessing community-based mental health services. As such, it did not align with modern principles of mental healthcare that emphasize dignity, integration, and recovery.

A major turning point came in **2007**, when India ratified the **United Nations Convention on the Rights of Persons with Disabilities (UNCRPD)**. This international treaty called for a paradigm shift in how societies perceive and support persons with disabilities, including those with psychosocial and intellectual disabilities. The UNCRPD emphasized equality before the law, non-discrimination, autonomy, and the full realization of human rights and fundamental freedoms. India's ratification of the UNCRPD signaled a commitment to overhaul existing mental health laws and adopt a more inclusive and rights-based legal framework. This commitment laid the foundation for the drafting and eventual enactment of the **Mental Healthcare Act, 2017 (MHCA, 2017)**, which marked a progressive departure from the 1987 Act by embedding principles of autonomy, informed consent, and access to mental healthcare into law.

Growth and Development of the Mental Healthcare Act, 2017

The MHCA, 2017, represents a significant advancement over previous legislation, particularly the Mental Health Act of 1987. The earlier Act was primarily focused on the admission and detention of individuals with severe mental illness in mental hospitals, often against their will, and lacked a rights-based perspective. The MHCA, 2017, was enacted after India ratified the United Nations Convention on the Rights of Persons with Disabilities (UNCRPD), aligning domestic law with international human rights standards. The new Act emphasizes autonomy, informed consent, and community-based care, and mandates the establishment of halfway homes and sheltered accommodations for persons with mental illness. It also decriminalizes suicide attempts, recognizing the need for care and rehabilitation rather than punishment. Despite these progressive provisions, implementation challenges persist, particularly in prisons, where shortages of mental health professionals and poor infrastructure undermine the Act's objectives.

Overview of Mental Healthcare Act, 2017

The MHCA, 2017, is a comprehensive statute that regulates the rights, care, and treatment of persons with mental illness across India, including those in prisons. It defines mental health establishments, sets minimum standards for care, and outlines the admission, treatment, and discharge processes for individuals with mental illness. Key features include the right to make advance directives, the appointment of nominated representatives, and the prohibition of inhumane practices such as chaining, seclusion, and unmodified electroconvulsive therapy (ECT). The Act also prescribes duties for police and prison authorities, including the responsibility to report and protect individuals with mental illness and to facilitate their access to care. Section 103 specifically mandates mental health services for prisoners, but compliance remains inconsistent, highlighting the need for stronger enforcement and monitoring.

Salient Features of the MHCA, 2017

1. *Rights-Based Approach:* The Mental Healthcare Act, 2017 adopts a rights-based framework, recognizing and safeguarding a wide range of rights for persons with mental illness (PMIs). It affirms the right to access mental healthcare services without discrimination, ensuring availability, accessibility, and affordability of such care. It promotes the right to community living, advocating for PMIs to live with dignity and not be segregated or institutionalized unnecessarily. The Act also protects individuals from cruel, inhuman, and degrading treatment, upholding human dignity in all healthcare settings. It emphasizes the right to confidentiality, ensuring personal information is not disclosed without consent, and requires informed consent for all treatment decisions. Additionally, the Act guarantees the right to free legal aid for PMIs in need, ensuring that they can defend their rights effectively. One of the notable provisions under this rights-based approach is the right to make an Advance Directive, allowing individuals to specify their preferences for treatment in the event of future mental health crises.
2. *Advance Directives (Section 5):* Under Section 5 of the Act, individuals are empowered to make an Advance Directive, a legal document through which they can outline how they wish to be treated during a mental health crisis. This provision allows individuals to exercise autonomy over their treatment choices in advance, when they are in a sound state of mind. Alongside the directive, individuals may also appoint a Nominated

Representative (NR), who can make decisions on their behalf during periods when they are incapable of doing so.

3. *Decriminalization of Suicide (Section 115)*: In a progressive step, Section 115 of the Act decriminalizes suicide attempts. Recognizing that such acts are often the result of severe stress or mental illness, the Act presumes that individuals who attempt suicide are in need of care, not punishment. Consequently, it mandates that they be provided with treatment and rehabilitation rather than being prosecuted under Section 309 of the Indian Penal Code.
4. *Mental Health Review Boards (MHRBs)*: The Act establishes Mental Health Review Boards (MHRBs) as quasi-judicial bodies tasked with protecting the rights of PMIs. These boards are empowered to review cases of admission, treatment, and discharge, especially in situations involving involuntary admission. They serve as an oversight mechanism to ensure due process is followed and that patient rights are upheld in all mental health-related decisions.
5. *Duties of the Government*: The MHCA, 2017 places explicit responsibilities on both central and state governments. It mandates them to ensure the availability of quality mental health services across the country. This includes integrating mental healthcare into the general healthcare system, promoting awareness and mental health literacy, and taking measures to reduce stigma. Governments are also required to allocate adequate resources, train mental health professionals, and develop mental health infrastructure to fulfill these obligations.
6. *Regulation of Mental Health Establishments*: To ensure quality and accountability, the Act mandates the registration, regulation, and periodic audit of all Mental Health Establishments (MHEs). These include hospitals, clinics, rehabilitation centers, and other facilities providing mental health services. The aim is to standardize care, uphold ethical practices, and prevent abuse or neglect within such establishments.

The Rights of Persons with Mental Illness

The MHCA, 2017, enshrines a broad spectrum of rights for persons with mental illness, reflecting a shift towards dignity, equality, and non-discrimination. These include the right to

community living, protection from cruel, inhuman, or degrading treatment, and the right to equality and non-discrimination. Individuals are entitled to information about their treatment, confidentiality, access to medical records, and personal contact and communication. The Act also provides for the right to legal aid, the ability to make complaints, and the right to issue advance directives regarding future treatment preferences. These rights apply equally to prisoners, although awareness and enforcement within correctional settings remain limited, necessitating targeted interventions and sensitization of prison staff.

Role of Regulatory Authority in Ensuring Mental Health Care in Prison

Regulatory authorities play a central role in the operationalization of the MHCA, 2017. The Central and State Mental Health Authorities are tasked with setting minimum standards, registering mental health establishments, and monitoring compliance. Mental Health Review Boards (MHRBs) are established to oversee the admission and treatment of persons with mental illness, adjudicate disputes, and protect patient rights. Other mechanisms, such as the Model Prisons Act 2023 and the NALSA Scheme for Legal Services to the Mentally Ill, aim to strengthen oversight and coordination. Despite these frameworks, the lack of trained personnel, insufficient infrastructure, and poor inter-agency coordination continue to hamper effective service delivery in prisons.

Judicial Precedents and Rising Trends in the Context of Mental Health

Judicial intervention has been instrumental in advancing the rights of persons with mental illness in India, particularly within custodial settings. Landmark judgments have clarified the constitutional mandate for humane treatment and access to health care under Article 21 of the Constitution. Courts have directed state authorities to improve mental health facilities in prisons, ensure regular assessments, and provide timely treatment. Recent trends include increased use of public interest litigation to address systemic deficiencies and the recognition of mental health as integral to the right to life and dignity. However, the judiciary has also highlighted persistent gaps in implementation, urging governments to bridge the divide between legal provisions and ground realities.

The Significance of Public Interest Litigation in Relation to Mental Health

Public interest litigation (PIL) has emerged as a powerful tool for securing the rights of persons with mental illness, particularly those in prisons and other custodial institutions. PILs have

prompted judicial scrutiny of prison conditions, the adequacy of mental health services, and the treatment of vulnerable populations. Through PILs, courts have ordered improvements in infrastructure, staffing, and access to care, and have held authorities accountable for violations of statutory and constitutional rights. PILs have also facilitated greater public awareness and policy dialogue on mental health issues, driving legislative and administrative reforms. Despite these advances, sustained advocacy and vigilant monitoring are required to ensure that legal victories translate into tangible improvements for affected individuals.

Lack of Awareness of Safeguards Provided Under the Criminal Procedure Act of 1976

A significant barrier to the effective protection of the rights of persons with mental illness in prisons is the lack of awareness of legal safeguards, particularly those under the Code of Criminal Procedure (CRPC), 1973 (often mistakenly referenced as 1976). The CrPC contains provisions for the assessment, treatment, and transfer of mentally ill accused persons, as well as safeguards against wrongful detention and ill-treatment. However, prison authorities, legal professionals, and even the judiciary are often unaware or insufficiently trained in these safeguards, leading to their underutilization or neglect. This gap underscores the need for comprehensive training, dissemination of information, and integration of mental health awareness into the broader criminal justice system to ensure that legal protections are effectively realized in practice.

Conclusion and Recommendations

The Mental Healthcare Act, 2017, is a landmark step toward a humane and rights-based approach to mental health. However, its success depends on robust implementation. Key recommendations include:

- **Capacity Building:** Increase trained personnel and awareness.
- **Infrastructure Development:** Invest in community-based mental health facilities.
- **Monitoring and Evaluation:** Strengthen the functioning of CMHA, SMHAs, and MHRBs.
- **Legal Literacy Campaigns:** Educate stakeholders including law enforcement, judiciary, and health professionals.

References

- The Mental Healthcare Act, 2017. Ministry of Law and Justice, Government of India.
- UN Convention on the Rights of Persons with Disabilities, 2006.
- Duffy, R. M., & Kelly, B. D. (2017). India's Mental Healthcare Act, 2017: A Human-Rights-Oriented Approach. *BJPsych International*, 14(2), 40–43.
- National Mental Health Survey of India, 2015–16. NIMHANS, Ministry of Health and Family Welfare.
- Supreme Court of India judgments on mental health rights (e.g., *Gaurav Kumar Bansal v. Union of India*, 2021).

