



INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL FOR LEGAL RESEARCH AND ANALYSIS

Open Access, Refereed Journal Multi Disciplinary
Peer Reviewed Edition :

www.ijlra.com

DISCLAIMER

No part of this publication may be reproduced or copied in any form by any means without prior written permission of Managing Editor of IJLRA. The views expressed in this publication are purely personal opinions of the authors and do not reflect the views of the Editorial Team of IJLRA.

Though every effort has been made to ensure that the information in Volume 2 Issue 7 is accurate and appropriately cited/referenced, neither the Editorial Board nor IJLRA shall be held liable or responsible in any manner whatsoever for any consequences for any action taken by anyone on the basis of information in the Journal.

Copyright © International Journal for Legal Research & Analysis

IJLRA

EDITORIAL TEAM

EDITORS



Megha Middha

Megha Middha, Assistant Professor of Law in Mody University of Science and Technology, Lakshmangarh, Sikar

Megha Middha, is working as an Assistant Professor of Law in Mody University of Science and Technology, Lakshmangarh, Sikar (Rajasthan). She has an experience in the teaching of almost 3 years. She has completed her graduation in BBA LL.B (H) from Amity University, Rajasthan (Gold Medalist) and did her post-graduation (LL.M in Business Laws) from NLSIU, Bengaluru. Currently, she is enrolled in a Ph.D. course in the Department of Law at Mohanlal Sukhadia University, Udaipur (Rajasthan). She wishes to excel in academics and research and contribute as much as she can to society. Through her interactions with the students, she tries to inculcate a sense of deep thinking power in her students and enlighten and guide them to the fact how they can bring a change to the society

Dr. Samrat Datta

Dr. Samrat Datta Seedling School of Law and Governance, Jaipur National University, Jaipur. Dr. Samrat Datta is currently associated with Seedling School of Law and Governance, Jaipur National University, Jaipur. Dr. Datta has completed his graduation i.e., B.A.LL.B. from Law College Dehradun, Hemvati Nandan Bahuguna Garhwal University, Srinagar, Uttarakhand. He is an alumnus of KIIT University, Bhubaneswar where he pursued his post-graduation (LL.M.) in Criminal Law and subsequently completed his Ph.D. in Police Law and Information Technology from the Pacific Academy of Higher Education and Research University, Udaipur in 2020. His area of interest and research is Criminal and Police Law. Dr. Datta has a teaching experience of 7 years in various law schools across North India and has held administrative positions like Academic Coordinator, Centre Superintendent for Examinations, Deputy Controller of Examinations, Member of the Proctorial Board



Dr. Namita Jain



Head & Associate Professor

School of Law, JECRC University, Jaipur Ph.D. (Commercial Law) LL.M., UGC -NET Post Graduation Diploma in Taxation law and Practice, Bachelor of Commerce.

Teaching Experience: 12 years, AWARDS AND RECOGNITION of Dr. Namita Jain are - ICF Global Excellence Award 2020 in the category of educationalist by I Can Foundation, India. India Women Empowerment Award in the category of "Emerging Excellence in Academics by Prime Time & Utkrisht Bharat Foundation, New Delhi.(2020). Conferred in FL Book of Top 21 Record Holders in the category of education by Fashion Lifestyle Magazine, New Delhi. (2020). Certificate of Appreciation for organizing and managing the Professional Development Training Program on IPR in Collaboration with Trade Innovations Services, Jaipur on March 14th, 2019

Mrs.S.Kalpana

Assistant professor of Law

Mrs.S.Kalpana, presently Assistant professor of Law, VelTech Rangarajan Dr. Sagunthala R & D Institute of Science and Technology, Avadi. Formerly Assistant professor of Law, Vels University in the year 2019 to 2020, Worked as Guest Faculty, Chennai Dr.Ambedkar Law College, Pudupakkam. Published one book. Published 8Articles in various reputed Law Journals. Conducted 1Moot court competition and participated in nearly 80 National and International seminars and webinars conducted on various subjects of Law. Did ML in Criminal Law and Criminal Justice Administration. 10 paper presentations in various National and International seminars. Attended more than 10 FDP programs. Ph.D. in Law pursuing.



Avinash Kumar



Avinash Kumar has completed his Ph.D. in International Investment Law from the Dept. of Law & Governance, Central University of South Bihar. His research work is on "International Investment Agreement and State's right to regulate Foreign Investment." He qualified UGC-NET and has been selected for the prestigious ICSSR Doctoral Fellowship. He is an alumnus of the Faculty of Law, University of Delhi. Formerly he has been elected as Students Union President of Law Centre-1, University of Delhi. Moreover, he completed his LL.M. from the University of Delhi (2014-16), dissertation on "Cross-border Merger & Acquisition"; LL.B. from the University of Delhi (2011-14), and B.A. (Hons.) from Maharaja Agrasen College, University of Delhi. He has also obtained P.G. Diploma in IPR from the Indian Society of International Law, New Delhi. He has qualified UGC - NET examination and has been awarded ICSSR - Doctoral Fellowship. He has published six-plus articles and presented 9 plus papers in national and international seminars/conferences. He participated in several workshops on research methodology and teaching and learning.

ABOUT US

INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL FOR LEGAL RESEARCH & ANALYSIS
ISSN

2582-6433 is an Online Journal is Monthly, Peer Review, Academic Journal, Published online, that seeks to provide an interactive platform for the publication of Short Articles, Long Articles, Book Review, Case Comments, Research Papers, Essay in the field of Law & Multidisciplinary issue. Our aim is to upgrade the level of interaction and discourse about contemporary issues of law. We are eager to become a highly cited academic publication, through quality contributions from students, academics, professionals from the industry, the bar and the bench. INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL FOR LEGAL RESEARCH & ANALYSIS ISSN 2582-6433 welcomes contributions from all legal branches, as long as the work is original, unpublished and is in consonance with the submission guidelines.

BETWEEN TRADITION AND LAW: UPHOLDING THE RIGHT TO MARITAL CHOICE IN INDIAN JURISPRUDENCE

AUTHORED BY: NIKHIL

Abstract

This research paper delves into the critical intersection of constitutional law and personal autonomy within the Indian legal framework, especially concerning the fundamental right to choose a life partner. This choice, while ostensibly protected under the Constitution, frequently encounters opposition from entrenched societal norms and various forms of legal challenges. These conflicts arise particularly in contexts involving false promises of marriage and honor-based violence—both starkly contrasting with modern constitutional mandates.

The issue of false promises of marriage is widespread and often involves a deceitful assurance of marriage to obtain consent for sexual relations, subsequently leading to legal disputes when the promise is unfulfilled. Such situations are judicially recognized as not only a breach of trust but also a serious form of sexual coercion. The paper scrutinizes how the Indian legal system addresses these false promises within the ambit of rape under the Indian Penal Code (IPC), discussing the complex dynamics between consent and deception.

the paper addresses the severe implications of honor-based violence, which starkly violates the constitutional rights to life and personal liberty. Despite legal protections, such traditional practices continue to exert a strong influence, often with fatal consequences for those who defy normative expectations about marriage and relationships. This form of violence is not only a social malaise but a direct challenge to the rule of law, often escaping proper legal sanctions due to the complicity of local communities and sometimes, law enforcement.

Through this analysis, the paper aims to highlight the critical role of judiciary and law enforcement in protecting individual rights against societal pressures, analyzing landmark judgments and legal provisions that reinforce personal autonomy. It advocates for a stronger legal framework that can more effectively deter such violations and protect the fundamental rights of individuals, thereby aligning traditional societal practices with constitutional guarantees. This exploration is crucial for understanding the broader implications of personal autonomy in a socio-legal context, emphasizing the need for a vigilant and progressive legal system in safeguarding

fundamental human rights in India.

Introduction

The right to choose a life partner is not only a private and personal decision but also a fundamental aspect of exercising personal liberty and autonomy. This right, enshrined within the broader liberties guaranteed by the Indian Constitution, represents a critical element of individual identity and freedom. However, despite its recognition as a fundamental right, it is frequently challenged and encumbered by deep-seated societal and familial pressures. These pressures manifest in various severe forms, including the perpetration of honor killings and the deception involved in false promises of marriage, both of which starkly contravene the principles of personal choice and freedom.

Indian jurisprudence, recognizing the complex dynamics at play between individual rights and social customs, has progressively acknowledged and addressed these challenges. Over the years, the Supreme Court of India has played a pivotal role in reinforcing and expanding the constitutional safeguards that protect the right to choose one's life partner. This has been evident in several landmark judgments, which have not only addressed the legal dimensions of these issues but have also ventured into the sociocultural landscapes within which these rights are contested.

The challenge of navigating the right to marital choice involves confronting not just legal questions but also deeply ingrained social norms and values that often view marriage not as an individual decision but as a familial or community affair. In many parts of India, marriages are still arranged based on considerations of caste, religion, and family reputation, with little regard for the individual's consent or preference. Such arrangements can lead to situations where coercion replaces consent, and individuals are compelled to enter into marriages against their will under the threat of violence or social ostracism.

Moreover, the issue of false promises of marriage often arises in interpersonal relationships, where one party may deceitfully promise marriage to obtain consent for sexual relations, with no actual intention of fulfilling this promise. The legal system categorizes such actions under fraud and treats the consent obtained through deceit regarding the intent of marriage as invalid. This not only constitutes a breach of trust but also a serious violation of personal rights, with significant psychological and social repercussions for the victim.

Honor killings represent another grim challenge, where individuals, particularly women, are harmed or even murdered for making marital or relationship choices that are deemed unacceptable by their families or communities. These acts are often justified under the guise of

preserving family honor or adhering to traditional norms. The Indian legal system, supported by various Supreme Court rulings, has been increasingly stringent in dealing with such crimes, emphasizing that no cultural or familial rationale can justify the curtailment of fundamental human rights.

This introduction sets the stage for a detailed exploration of the legal frameworks and judicial responses aimed at protecting the right to choose a life partner in India. It highlights the ongoing battle between upholding constitutional rights and contending with societal norms that challenge these rights. The subsequent sections will delve deeper into the legal precedents, the role of the judiciary, and the necessary legal reforms to ensure that personal choice in matters of marriage is respected and protected across all strata of Indian society.

Legal Framework and Constitutional Guarantees

Article 21 and the Right to Personal Liberty

Article 21 of the Indian Constitution is one of the cornerstone provisions guaranteeing the protection of life and personal liberty. This broad phrasing has been judicially interpreted to encompass various dimensions of personal liberty, including the right to privacy and the autonomy to make intimate personal choices, such as choosing a life partner. This interpretation has been critical in expanding the scope of Article 21 to include the right to marry a person of one's choice, regardless of caste, creed, religion, or gender.

The landmark case of **Shakti Vahini v. Union of India**¹ is a pivotal example where the Supreme Court intervened to protect individuals' rights against cultural and familial opposition. In this judgment, the Court categorically stated that the interference by third parties in the personal decisions of two consenting adults is unconstitutional, thereby reinforcing the right to marital privacy and choice as a part of the fundamental right to life and personal liberty. The Court's decisions in these matters have often been aimed at curtailing the regressive customs and norms that violate constitutional rights, emphasizing that personal choices should not be encumbered by societal or familial pressures.

Article 19 and Freedom of Expression

Article 19 of the Indian Constitution guarantees the freedom of speech and expression, a fundamental right that serves as a bedrock for other expressions of freedom. Judicial interpretation has extended the scope of Article 19 to include the right to express one's choices,

¹ (2018) 7 SCC 192.

including the fundamentally personal choice of selecting a life partner. This extension is significant because it empowers individuals, especially those from marginalized or conservative backgrounds, to assert their personal choices against societal norms.

The role of societal structures such as Khap Panchayats has been critically analyzed under the ambit of Article 19. Historically, these local governing bodies have often imposed their orthodox views on the choices of individuals, particularly influencing or coercing decisions regarding marriage to uphold what they perceive as social and cultural norms. The judiciary has repeatedly found such impositions to be a violation of Article 19, as these actions stifle individual expression and impede personal autonomy.

For instance, in instances where Khap Panchayats or similar traditional bodies have sought to annul or interfere with marriages that cross caste or religious boundaries, the courts have stepped in to protect the individuals' rights under Article 19. The courts have emphasized that freedom of expression includes the liberty to choose and articulate one's life choices, which are intrinsic to an individual's dignity and autonomy.

the judicial discourse around Article 19 has often highlighted the conflict between community standards and individual rights. The courts have consistently held that while community sentiments may hold a place in the social fabric of the country, they cannot override constitutional guarantees. The Supreme Court, through various judgments, has made it clear that the right to choose a life partner is a manifestation of the larger principle of freedom of expression, which cannot be curtailed by extrajudicial entities or informal governance systems operating under the guise of tradition and culture.

Through these constitutional provisions, the legal framework in India robustly supports the autonomy of the individual against undue societal influence. These constitutional guarantees are not just theoretical but have been actively enforced by the judiciary to ensure that personal liberties are not sacrificed at the altar of societal or community norms. This section underlines the proactive role played by the Indian judiciary in fostering a legal environment where individual freedoms are upheld and protected, demonstrating the dynamic nature of constitutional law in responding to changing social realities.

Unlawful Coercion through False Promises of Marriage

Judicial Interpretations

The Indian judiciary has consistently addressed the complexities surrounding consent in the context of relationships predicated on false promises of marriage. Notably, the Supreme Court in

cases like **Uday v. State of Karnataka**² and **Deepak Gulati vs. State of Haryana**³ has set significant precedents in differentiating between consensual relationships and those founded on deceitful promises that lead to sexual exploitation. In these judgments, the Court held that consent obtained under a false promise of marriage does not constitute true consent under the law and thus, such cases are treated as rape under Section 375 of the Indian Penal Code (IPC).

These landmark decisions underscore the judiciary's recognition of the need to protect individuals, predominantly women, from being exploited under the guise of marriage promises. The court has elucidated that if the accused has no real intention of marrying and the promise of marriage is merely a ruse to elicit consent to sexual relations, it is deemed fraudulent. Consequently, sexual activity based on such consent is classified legally as rape, given that the consent was obtained through misrepresentation and manipulation.

Legal Remedies and Enforcement

Victims of deceit under false promises of marriage have several legal recourses. Under criminal law, as noted, these instances can be prosecuted under the IPC as rape. The rationale is that the consent was vitiated by the deceit regarding a fundamental aspect of the relationship—its intended permanence in the form of marriage. However, criminal law remedies, while punitive, do not always provide complete solace to the victims, as the prosecution of rape cases in India is complex and fraught with societal stigmas and procedural challenges.

Civil law offers another avenue through claims for breach of promise, although this traditional remedy has become less effective and less common over time. Historically, breach of promise to marry was a significant legal recourse, but modern legal systems, including India's, have moved away from compensating breaches of marriage promises, viewing such engagements as personal contracts not warranting specific enforcement or punitive damages. This shift reflects a broader recognition of changing societal norms regarding personal relationships and autonomy.

Potential Reforms and Legislation

Given the inadequacies of current legal frameworks in addressing the full scope of damages incurred by victims of false promises, there is a clear need for specific legislation that better addresses these issues. Such reforms could include:

² (2003) 4 SCC 46.

³ (2013) 7 SCC 675.

1. **Enhanced Protections under Civil Law:** Introduce clearer civil remedies for breach of promise that go beyond mere compensation. These could include restitutionary measures that aim to restore the victim to the position they were in before the deceit.
2. **Statutory Changes in Criminal Law:** Amend the IPC to specifically address and penalize the act of engaging in sexual relations under false pretenses of future marital commitment, thus providing a more direct and robust mechanism to deter such deceptive practices.
3. **Educational Campaigns and Legal Literacy:** Government and non-governmental organizations should collaborate to increase awareness about these issues. Educating the populace on the legal implications of false marriage promises and the rights of individuals in such situations can empower potential victims to seek help proactively.
4. **Victim Support Services:** Enhance victim support services to provide not only legal assistance but also psychological counseling to help victims cope with the trauma of deceit.

By addressing the grey area between consensual and non-consensual relationships with clearer laws and better support systems, the legal system can offer more effective protection and deterrence against the misuse of marriage promises. This would represent a significant step forward in aligning India's legal framework with its constitutional mandates of personal liberty and equality.

Honor-Based Violence and Constitutional Violations

Case Studies and Legal Responses

Honor-based violence, including honor killings, represents a severe infringement on the constitutional rights of individuals, often justified by perpetrators as a means to uphold familial and community honor. Such acts are an affront to personal liberty, freedom of expression, and the right to life. The Indian judiciary has been pivotal in addressing these egregious violations through various landmark judgments.

One of the seminal cases in this context is **Lata Singh vs. State of U.P. (2006)**⁴, where the Supreme Court of India strongly condemned the practice of honor killings and emphasized the need for punitive action against those engaging in such acts. The Court asserted that adults are free to marry persons of their choice and that the caste system cannot dictate marital decisions.

⁴ (2006) 5 SCC 475.

The judgment underlined the fundamental rights under Articles 19 and 21 of the Constitution, highlighting that no individual should be harassed or victimized because they married outside their caste or religion.

Another significant case is **Aruna Chadha vs. State of Haryana**⁵, where the Supreme Court reiterated that honor killings fall within the ambit of the rarest of rare cases, deserving capital punishment. The Court emphasized that such killings stem not from honor but from a feudal mindset and that they should be stamped out rigorously.

Policy Recommendations

Given the persistence of honor-based violence despite existing legal provisions, there is a clear need for comprehensive legal reforms and policy initiatives. These reforms should not only strengthen the laws against honor crimes but also enhance the implementation of these laws to ensure better protection for individuals threatened by such violence.

1. **Strengthening Legal Frameworks:** Amend the Indian Penal Code to include specific provisions for honor killings, categorizing them under heinous crimes with stringent punishments. This amendment would serve as a strong deterrent against the perpetration of honor-based violence.
2. **Protective Measures and Police Reform:** Establish fast-track courts to expediently handle cases of honor killings and related forms of violence. Additionally, train police forces to deal sensitively and effectively with honor crime victims. Special units could be set up in police departments to handle cases involving threats to personal liberty due to familial or communal pressures.
3. **Awareness Campaigns:** Launch comprehensive awareness campaigns to educate the public about the legal repercussions of participating in or endorsing honor-based violence. These campaigns should also aim to foster a cultural shift towards respecting individual autonomy in marital and relationship matters.
4. **Support Systems for Victims:** Develop robust support systems for victims of honor-based violence, including shelters and legal aid services that can assist victims in navigating the legal system and rebuilding their lives.
5. **Community Engagement Programs:** Work with community leaders to change pervasive attitudes and norms that condone honor-based violence. These programs should aim to

⁵ AIR 2014 SC 1598.

transform the underlying cultural attitudes that legitimize violence as a response to personal relationship choices.

By addressing both the legal and cultural dimensions of honor-based violence, these recommendations aim to foster an environment where constitutional rights are not just theoretical entitlements but practical realities that protect all citizens against violence and coercion in personal relationship matters. The goal is to ensure that the right to choose a life partner is upheld as a fundamental and non-negotiable aspect of individual liberty and human dignity.

Conclusion

The foundational promise of the Indian Constitution is to ensure that every citizen enjoys personal liberty and autonomy. However, the realization of these constitutional guarantees is continually tested by deep-rooted societal norms and familial pressures that often manifest in the form of coercive practices such as honor-based violence and deceptive marital promises. To truly uphold these constitutional promises, it is essential that individuals are free from such coercions, allowing them to make personal decisions, including those concerning marriage, without fear of violence or deception.

The state and judiciary play pivotal roles in safeguarding these rights. The judiciary, through its progressive interpretations and landmark judgments, has reaffirmed and reinforced the importance of personal autonomy and freedom in choosing one's life partner. These judicial decisions not only provide a legal framework for protecting individuals against undue coercion but also serve as a moral guidepost for society, emphasizing the supremacy of individual rights over archaic communal traditions.

However, judicial interventions alone are not sufficient. The state must ensure the effective implementation of laws designed to protect these freedoms. This involves not only enacting robust laws against practices like honor killings and deceptive marital promises but also ensuring that these laws are enforced with diligence and sensitivity. Law enforcement agencies must be equipped and willing to protect individuals who seek to exercise their rights, and the legal system must provide swift and sure justice to deter potential violators.

Moreover, beyond the legal framework, there is a profound need for societal change. Education and awareness campaigns are critical in shifting public perceptions and norms that underlie coercive practices. Such campaigns should aim to educate people about the legal rights and protections available, thereby empowering individuals to stand up against coercion and seek legal recourse without stigma or fear.

Furthermore, community engagement initiatives that involve dialogue with community leaders and influencers can help bridge the gap between constitutional rights and cultural practices. By involving communities in discussions about the importance of personal autonomy and the harmful impacts of practices like honor killings and marital deception, we can foster a more inclusive understanding of individual rights.

the protection of the freedom to choose one's life partner, as enshrined in the Constitution, requires a concerted effort that combines robust legal frameworks, effective law enforcement, judicial vigilance, and societal change. Only through these combined efforts can we ensure that the constitutional guarantees of personal liberty and autonomy are not just aspirational but a tangible reality for all citizens, free from the shadows of coercion and deception.

