

# INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL FOR LEGAL RESEARCH AND ANALYSIS



Open Access, Refereed Journal Multi-Disciplinary  
Peer Reviewed

[www.ijlra.com](http://www.ijlra.com)

## DISCLAIMER

No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored, transmitted, or distributed in any form or by any means, whether electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording, or otherwise, without prior written permission of the Managing Editor of the *International Journal for Legal Research & Analysis (IJLRA)*.

The views, opinions, interpretations, and conclusions expressed in the articles published in this journal are solely those of the respective authors. They do not necessarily reflect the views of the Editorial Board, Editors, Reviewers, Advisors, or the Publisher of IJLRA.

Although every reasonable effort has been made to ensure the accuracy, authenticity, and proper citation of the content published in this journal, neither the Editorial Board nor IJLRA shall be held liable or responsible, in any manner whatsoever, for any loss, damage, or consequence arising from the use, reliance upon, or interpretation of the information contained in this publication.

The content published herein is intended solely for academic and informational purposes and shall not be construed as legal advice or professional opinion.

**Copyright © International Journal for Legal Research & Analysis.  
All rights reserved.**

## ABOUT US

The *International Journal for Legal Research & Analysis (IJLRA)* (ISSN: 2582-6433) is a peer-reviewed, academic, online journal published on a monthly basis. The journal aims to provide a comprehensive and interactive platform for the publication of original and high-quality legal research.

IJLRA publishes Short Articles, Long Articles, Research Papers, Case Comments, Book Reviews, Essays, and interdisciplinary studies in the field of law and allied disciplines. The journal seeks to promote critical analysis and informed discourse on contemporary legal, social, and policy issues.

The primary objective of IJLRA is to enhance academic engagement and scholarly dialogue among law students, researchers, academicians, legal professionals, and members of the Bar and Bench. The journal endeavours to establish itself as a credible and widely cited academic publication through the publication of original, well-researched, and analytically sound contributions.

IJLRA welcomes submissions from all branches of law, provided the work is original, unpublished, and submitted in accordance with the prescribed submission guidelines. All manuscripts are subject to a rigorous peer-review process to ensure academic quality, originality, and relevance.

Through its publications, the *International Journal for Legal Research & Analysis* aspires to contribute meaningfully to legal scholarship and the development of law as an instrument of justice and social progress.

## ***PUBLICATION ETHICS, COPYRIGHT & AUTHOR RESPONSIBILITY STATEMENT***

The *International Journal for Legal Research and Analysis (IJLRA)* is committed to upholding the highest standards of publication ethics and academic integrity. All manuscripts submitted to the journal must be original, unpublished, and free from plagiarism, data fabrication, falsification, or any form of unethical research or publication practice. Authors are solely responsible for the accuracy, originality, legality, and ethical compliance of their work and must ensure that all sources are properly cited and that necessary permissions for any third-party copyrighted material have been duly obtained prior to submission. Copyright in all published articles vests with IJLRA, unless otherwise expressly stated, and authors grant the journal the irrevocable right to publish, reproduce, distribute, and archive their work in print and electronic formats. The views and opinions expressed in the articles are those of the authors alone and do not reflect the views of the Editors, Editorial Board, Reviewers, or Publisher. IJLRA shall not be liable for any loss, damage, claim, or legal consequence arising from the use, reliance upon, or interpretation of the content published. By submitting a manuscript, the author(s) agree to fully indemnify and hold harmless the journal, its Editor-in-Chief, Editors, Editorial Board, Reviewers, Advisors, Publisher, and Management against any claims, liabilities, or legal proceedings arising out of plagiarism, copyright infringement, defamation, breach of confidentiality, or violation of third-party rights. The journal reserves the absolute right to reject, withdraw, retract, or remove any manuscript or published article in case of ethical or legal violations, without incurring any liability.

# **MARRIAGE AND DIVORCE LAWS IN INDIA: CONTEMPORARY LEGAL CHALLENGES, JUDICIAL TRENDS, AND PRACTICAL INSIGHTS”**

AUTHORED BY - MAYANK SHARMA

Programme: LL.B. (SOL 2025)

Institution: IILM UNIVERSITY GN

CO - AUTHOR: DR. BHAVANA DHOUDIYAL

## **ABSTRACT**

This paper is a critical overview of the parliamentary framework of the law on marriage and divorce with emphasis on weak points of the law and new challenges that arise in the Indian context. It evaluates the co-existence of multiplicity of personal law systems along with the statutory ones with references to how this disjointed solution influences uniformity, efficiency of the procedure, and just access to the justice.

The paper measures the already established causes of divorce among them being those based on fault, the mutual consent, and determining how these causes are put into practices in courts. Special consideration is given to such systemic problems as failure to prompt adjudication, the excessive volume of evidence, and constraints of family courts institutions. The modern issues, such as gender inequality in matrimonial court proceedings, strategic abuse of protective measures, problems with the legal ambiguity of non-traditional relationships are also discussed in the article.

Based on an extensive overview of the scholarly literature and the interpretation of judicial cases, the paper finds loopholes in the law mayors and results of the law. It also analyzes reform-oriented discussions such as harmonization of individual laws, as well as modernization of the process and procedure.

The paper believes that there is need to recalibrate the current matrimonial regulations to make them consistent, fair, and flexible. It ends with specific suggestions intended to enhance the

clarity of the law, minimize inefficiencies in the procedures, and increase the access to the justice in matrimonial disputes.

*Keywords - Marriage Law; Divorce Law; Family Law; Personal Law Systems; Matrimonial Disputes; Gender Justice; Judicial Delay; Legal Reform; Family Courts; India.*

## I. INTRODUCTION

As the legal entity, marriage has traditionally taken a central role in the process of the regulation of the social order, the relationships within the family, and personal rights.<sup>1</sup> The Indian system of marriage and divorce law is very special as it is a pluralistic system that exists in conjunction with both the personal and the secular statutory laws.<sup>2</sup> This system is a manifestation of cultural diversity, but, on the other, it creates inconsistencies in the legal norms, the procedure, and safeguarding the rights of individuals.

In most communities, traditionally, marriage was a permanent and sacred union, which had few possibilities of dissolution.<sup>3</sup> But with the changing constitutional principles like equality, dignity and individual liberty, there has been a gradual change in the legal attitude towards marriage to a more rights-based and people-oriented approach.<sup>4</sup> Divorce which was initially considered as an exception is now officially accepted as a legal option whereby marriage relationships no longer stand. Although this change has taken place, the process of change of traditional norms to modern legal principles is not proportional in various legal regimes.

The existence of various laws created by different people (Hindus, Muslims, Christians and others) and also the civil law has led to the adoption of a broken law system.<sup>5</sup> This disorientation usually creates divergences in causes to divorce, right to maintenance, and protection of procedural rights. These differences pose important concerns over uniformity, fairness and accessibility to justice especially where interfaith marriages are concerned and also in cases of cross-jurisdiction conflicts.

There are also issues of structural anomalies besides the practicality of marriage and divorce laws which present a lot of challenges. Judicial non responsiveness, the lengthiness of the process and the continued overloading of family courts often compromise the efficacy of legal solutions.<sup>6</sup> Moreover, the modern range of concerns including claims of abuse of matrimonial rights, gender disparities and the newly emerging awareness of the non-conventional

relationships such as cohabiting ones has revealed the sufficiency of the current legal system.

The judicial system has been instrumental in solving some of these problems because they have been able to interpret matrimonial laws through the principles of the constitution.<sup>7</sup> Courts have tried to tread a fine line between the rights of individuals and social interests, as well as increase the amount of legal protection in the case of matrimonial disputes through numerous rulings.

Nevertheless, the matter of judicial intervention seems not to be sufficient to solve more systemic problems based on the legislative gaps and the disjointed legal regimes.

It is against this backdrop that there is a need to do a thorough and critical analysis of marriage and divorce laws. This paper will attempt to evaluate the current legal framework, assess its performance in tackling the modern challenges, and establish the loopholes between legal guidelines and their application in practice. The research attempts to incorporate both the doctrinal critique and the judicial evolution and socio-legal perceptions; therefore, this study will bring its contribution to the current debate regarding the reform, uniformity, and modernization of the matrimonial law in India.

## II. LITERATURE REVIEW

The current research into matrimonies and divorces in India includes both doctrinal perspectives and socio-legal critique as well as policy focused debates. Although the establishment of the traditional texts is oriented to the framework of personal laws, the consideration of the problems of the questions of gender justice, reforming of legislation and judicial interpretation is progressively under analysis. The table below presents a systematic review of major literature with the contributions of each piece of literature as well as their limitations.

SOURCE	AUTHOR	TITLE	KEY FINDINGS	LIMITATIONS
Book	Paras Diwan	Modern Hindu Law	Explains codified Hindu marriage and divorce laws, emphasizing evolution from sacramental to legal framework.	Focus limited to Hindu law; lacks comparative perspective.
Book	Tahir	Muslim Law in	Highlights contractual	Does not fully address

	Mahmood	India	nature of Muslim marriage and unilateral divorce mechanisms like talaq.	recent reforms and judicial interventions.
<b>Book</b>	Flavia Agnes	Family Law and Constitutional Claims	Argues that personal laws often conflict with gender justice and constitutional equality.	Primarily advocacy-oriented; limited doctrinal neutrality.
<b>Book</b>	Mulla	Principles of Mohammedan Law	Provides foundational understanding of Muslim matrimonial jurisprudence.	Traditional interpretation; limited engagement with modern reforms.
<b>Book</b>	Kusum	Family Law Lectures	Discusses interrelation between personal laws and statutory frameworks like Special Marriage law.	Lacks empirical case-based analysis.
<b>Book</b>	Lotika Sarkar	Law and Gender Inequality	Examines systemic gender discrimination in family law.	Focused on feminist perspective; may not cover all legal angles.
<b>Book</b>	Avtar Singh	Introduction to Family Law	Provides doctrinal overview of Indian matrimonial laws.	Lacks critical analysis of contemporary issues.
<b>Book</b>	R.K. Bangia	Family Law	Simplified explanation of marriage and divorce laws across religions.	Descriptive; lacks depth for research-level work.
<b>Journal Article</b>	Flavia Agnes	“Marriage, Divorce and Gender Justice”	Critically examines gender bias in matrimonial laws and highlights misuse debates.	Focuses more on gender issues; less on procedural inefficiencies.
<b>Journal Article</b>	Archana Parashar	“Women and Family Law Reform”	Emphasizes need for uniformity and constitutional alignment of family laws.	Limited discussion on judicial delays and litigation realities.
<b>Journal Article</b>	Law	Reports on	Recommends reforms	Recommendations not

	Commission of India	Family Law Reform	including irretrievable breakdown as a ground for divorce.	fully implemented; lacks impact assessment.
<b>Journal Article</b>	Indira Jaising	“Uniform Civil Code Debate”	Discusses tension between secularism and legal pluralism.	Normative argument; limited empirical backing.
<b>Journal Article</b>	Werner Menski	“Comparative Family Law”	Provides comparative insights between Indian and Western legal systems.	Broad analysis; lacks detailed Indian case study focus.
<b>Journal Article</b>	Supreme Court Observer	Analysis of matrimonial judgments	Highlights judicial trends in interpreting divorce and maintenance laws.	Case-specific; lacks theoretical framework.
<b>Journal Article</b>	Madhavi Sunder	“Pluralism vs Uniformity”	Examines conflict between religious autonomy and constitutional rights.	Theoretical; limited Indian case application.
<b>Journal Article</b>	Economic & Political Weekly	Articles on divorce trends	Discuss rising divorce rates and socio-economic factors.	Not strictly legal analysis.
<b>Government Report</b>	Ministry of Law & Justice	Family Court Reports	Identifies backlog and delay in matrimonial disputes.	Mostly statistical; lacks qualitative insights.
<b>Report</b>	National Crime Records Bureau	Crime in India Reports	Provides data on domestic violence and matrimonial disputes.	Does not deeply analyze causes or legal outcomes.
<b>Report</b>	Law Commission (71st & 217th Reports)	Divorce Law Reform	Advocates irretrievable breakdown doctrine.	Still partially unimplemented in India.
<b>International Source</b>	United Nations	CEDAW Convention	Establishes global standards for gender equality in marriage and divorce.	Not directly enforceable in domestic courts.

Critical examination of the literature presented above shows that though much has been done concerning the doctrinal side of laws regarding marriage and divorce, there has been lack of assimilation between the law theory and its practice. The modern literature of on the issue pays more attention to the constitutional values and gender justice but tends to be not empirically supported, whereas older sources concentrate on the specifics of statutory provisions and do not take their practical viability into account. Moreover, little focus has been put on the judicial trends, procedural inefficiency, and the new pattern of relationship. This disproportion reminds us of the necessity to conduct a more detailed and practices-based study of matrimonial law.

### III. RESEARCH GAP

Despite a lot of literature on marriage and divorce laws in India, there are still critical gaps that are experienced. The majority of the available literature is devoted to the discussion of legal provisions and development of the personal laws and gives a little critical examination of carrying out of such laws in real practice especially in the context of procedural delays and inefficiencies of family courts.<sup>8</sup>

Also much of the literature focuses on individual law regimes without sufficient attention to the conflicts that they pose when they interact. This disjointed fashion cannot embrace the practice issues in matters dealing with interfaith marriages, opposing legal provisions and inconsistency.<sup>9</sup>

Also, when speaking about such topics as gender justice and the misuse of the matrimonial laws, the discussion is usually one-sided and is not characterized by a balanced and evidence-based appraisal.<sup>10</sup> The judicial discretion in the development of matrimonial results also has not been studied sufficiently particularly in relation to inconsistencies within cases.

Additionally, the recently arisen cases like live in relationships, cross borders matrimonial cases and changing social state of affairs have not been sufficiently covered in the conventional legal academia.<sup>11</sup>

The proposed study will fill these gaps by providing a more comprehensive analysis that links the legal doctrine with its practical application and also considering the modern issues and directions of judges with matrimonial law.

#### **IV. RESEARCH OBJECTIVES**

The purpose of the current research is to critically analyze the legal framework of the marriage and divorce in India with references to the effectiveness and current controversies. This research has specific objectives, which are the following:

1. To evaluate the current law system of marriage and divorce according to the diverse laws and statutes of personal laws in India.
2. To scrutinize the causes and the process of divorce, such as fault-based divorce as well as mutual consent divorce.
3. To detect contradictions and discrepancies that occur as a result of the presence of many systems of personal laws.
4. To assess the impact of the judiciary in the interpretation and formulations of the matrimonial laws using some of the notable judicial rulings.
5. To determine the feasibility of the difficulties in applying matrimonial laws, especially matters to do with the delay in the judicial system and complication in the procedure.
6. To review the current problems of gender justice, the abuse of legal documents, the legalization of the non-traditional relations.
7. To propose changes and suggestions of policy in enhancing fairness, efficiency and uniformity in marriage and divorce statutes.

#### **V. RESEARCH METHODOLOGY**

The current paper will utilize a doctrinal research approach, which concerns examining and interpreting of legal doctrines, statutes, court rulings, and academic articles regarding marriage and divorce legislation in India. This would be the right method because the study seeks to critically review the legal framework and its working implications instead of conducting an empirical study in the field.

The research is mainly based on the secondary sources of data, that is, authoritative textbooks, peer-reviewed journal articles, law commission reports, governmental publications, and online legal databases. The main legislations that regulate matrimonial relations and main judicial statement have been reviewed to comprehend the maturation of the law and its present state.

Moreover, the study uses the case law analysis in the process of assessing the contribution of the judiciary in the interpretation and adoption of matrimonial laws. Samples of decisions have been examined with an aim of determining the patterns, discrepancies, and how the law has

been applied in matrimonial disputes.

Reports and statistical data provided by institutional sources also play an important role in the study as they allowed comprehending more global tendencies and issues in the work of family courts and matrimonial litigation.

Through the analysis of the doctrine and practical lessons, the study aims to evaluate the success of the laws and determine the discrepancies between the law and its application.

## **VI. CONCEPTUAL AND LEGAL FRAMEWORK**

### **A. Concept and Nature of Marriage**

Marriage as a concept of law is an officially established relationship which establishes legally binding rights and responsibilities between two people.<sup>12</sup> Its conceptual basis in India is different in the systems of personal laws. Hindu law sees marriage as a sacrament which is spiritual and eternal unlike Muslim law, which sees marriage as a civil contract and based on the mutual consent and legal requirements.<sup>13</sup>

The concept of marriage as a social or religious institution has changed over the years with the emergence of constitutional jurisprudence, in terms of a legal association comprising of rights, obligations, and personal agency.<sup>14</sup> Such a change indicates the growing sensitivity to individual freedom, respect, and equality in marriage.

Nonetheless, with this development the legal status and treatment of marriage is still disjointed as there are still various personal laws and statutory provisions that exist side by side. This causes varying validity standards, consent standards, age standards, and condition standards of marriage, which brings about the issue of uniformity and equal protection under the law.

### **B. Legal Concept of Divorce**

Divorce is the legal ending of an existing marriage by a valid authority which leads to the end of the marital rights and duties.<sup>15</sup> Traditionally, many personal law systems including traditional Hindu law did not allow or imposed strong restrictions on divorce. Statutory reforms over time brought order to divorce grounds, which changed the social attitudes and the necessity to cope with such a breakdown of marriage.<sup>16</sup>

India has a legal system of divorce that accommodates the fault based grounds, which are, cruelty, adultery, desertion, etc, and the no-fault procedures, especially mutual consent divorce. These are meant to balance the recognition of the individual rights to get out of the irreparable

relationships and the continuation of marriage as a social institution.

Regardless of this, disparities are still rife in various aspects of personal laws regarding grounds, procedures as well as the reliefs grantable, thus creating an unequal legal situation.

### **C. Evolution of Marriage and Divorce Laws in India**

India laws on marriage and divorce have undergone numerous changes in terms of both legislative and judicial interpretations.<sup>17</sup> The initial legal systems were mostly dominated by the religious teachings, and few provisions on divorce of marriage existed.

After gaining independence, number of codified laws were enacted to control matrimonial relations such as reforming Hindu law and introducing provisions of civil marriages.<sup>18</sup> These reforms were an indication of a change to a more organized and rights based legal framework. Over the past few decades, the judiciary has been instrumental in bringing more changes to matrimonial law with an interpretation of the statutory provisions related to the constitutional provisions of equality, dignity, and the right to personal liberty.<sup>19</sup> This has seen progressive developments in various areas like maintenance rights, acknowledgement of individual autonomy and the widening of grounds of divorce.

Nevertheless, the evolution is not complete, and inconsistency, fair, as well as accessibility, remain the issues of coexistence of various legal systems.

### **D. Marriage: Social Institution vs Legal Institution**

Marriage is a social, cultural, and legal institution, thus providing a conflict between regulating it. It has a social side, which entails customs, traditions as well as the moral expectations, and a legal side, which is subject to enforceable rights and obligations.

This bi-polar character is normally the source of conflicts, especially where customary norms come against those of the constitution.<sup>20</sup> An example is that tradition-based practices might not be necessarily consistent with the concepts of gender equality or freedom of individuals.

The law system, then, has been confronted with the process of responding to the interests of cultural diversity against the fundamental rights that should be respected. Such tension is the main focus of most modern discussions, such as those pertaining to the reform of laws of personal doctrines and the need of increased homogenisation of legislation of matrimony.

### **E. Rights and Obligations Arising from Marriage**

Marriage sets up various legal rights and responsibilities including the cohabitation, maintenance, inheritance and illegitimate child coherence.<sup>21</sup> The application of these rights is

carried out via the legislation and the court systems.

But these rights depend on the degree and type of various personal laws in personal law and this make a gap in the protection by law and especially on the issues of maintenance, property rights and the provisions of divorce.

These rights are also enforced through the complications of the procedures, societal pressures, and ignorance of the law, which tend to restrict the access to the justice system by the affected persons.

## VII. GROUNDS AND PROCEDURES FOR DIVORCE

### A. Fault-Based Grounds for Divorce

The ancient system of divorce in India is deeply rooted in the idea of fault that the either of the spouses has to prove that the other is not acting correctly in some way. The most prevalent reasons are cruelty, adultery, desertion, conversion and mental disorder.<sup>22</sup> These grounds are considered in a different range of personal laws, but there can be a variation in interpretation. The judicial interpretation has been very useful in broadening the context of these grounds. In *V. Bhagat v. D. Bhagat*, the Supreme Court brought an expansion in notion of mental cruelty and it is important to mention that it should be evaluated based on the circumstance and harm to the aggrieved party.<sup>23</sup> Similarly, in *Naveen Kohli v. Neelu Kohli*, the Court identified the constraint of the fault-based divorce by Neelu Kohli who declared that irretrievable breakdown was a ground that required introduction.<sup>24</sup>

Nevertheless, the separation based on infidelity is actually prone to lengthy court proceedings, since the parties must demonstrate the claims, which makes the process more emotionally and financially stressful.

### B. Mutual Consent Divorce

Mutual consent divorce is also a move towards less adversarial stance, a distance where the parties mutually dissolve the marriage. Such a mechanism has been identified based on legal provisions like the Hindu marriage act and the Special marriage structure.<sup>25</sup>

In *Sureshta Devi v. Om Prakash*, the Court did not believe that the consent would be oral. This was because, according to the Court, consent should be continued till a final decree was issued in order to make the decision voluntary.<sup>26</sup> Later, in *Amardeep Singh v. Harveen Kaur*, the Supreme Court permitted waiver of the required wait period in the proper cases, thus curbing the delays of the process, as waivers of the wait period are permissible (*Harveen Kaur*).<sup>27</sup>

Although it is associated with many benefits, mutual consent divorce is not always practical

when the idea of power imbalance or pressure is mentioned.

### **C. Irretrievable Breakdown of Marriage**

Irretrievable breakdown is a concept which is used when the relationship between the spouses is an utter failure and it cannot be brought back. Even though it was not written down as a general ground within Indian laws it has been appreciated by the courts in a number of cases.<sup>28</sup> In *Shilpa Sailesh v. Varun Sreenivasan*, the Supreme Court used its constitutional authorities to award divorce on grounds of irretrievable breakdown, to stress that there should be no continuance of failed marriages.<sup>29</sup>

The lack of statutory recognition is, however, subjected to inconsistency because relief in many cases is based on judicial discretion and not any standardized legal rules.

### **D. Judicial Separation vs Divorce**

Judicial separation acts as a middle ground remedy, which enables couples to separate without divorcing the married union. It gives a chance to reconcile without the need to live up to some marital obligations.<sup>30</sup>

In reality, however, judicial separation in most cases happens as an initial move on a road to divorce, as opposed to a real effort to mend. The courts have accepted long separation as evidence of marital breakdown indirectly alluding to divorce claims.

### **E. Procedural Aspects and Role of Family Courts**

The divorce process entails submission of petitions in the family courts and then the processes of evidence, mediation and final hearing. The inception of family courts was meant to offer a more conceding and accessible platform in which family feuds could be solved.<sup>31</sup>

Nevertheless, the fact is that the delays, a number of pending cases, and bureaucracy remain the obstacles to the efficient solution. In *Salem Advocate Bar Association v. Union of India*, the Court emphasized the role of ADR mechanisms.<sup>32</sup>

In spite of these, a system is often not able to harmonize efficiency and fairness and this leads to a long argument which derails the aim of the timely justice.

## **VIII. CONTEMPORARY LEGAL CHALLENGES**

### **A. Gender Inequality and Bias in Matrimonial Laws**

Nevertheless, gender imbalance affects matrimonial litigation, even with progressive changes. Even though some of the laws are also geared towards defending the rights of women besides

the maintenance and domestic violence issues, some have raised concerns over the unequal application of the same.<sup>33</sup>

In *Rajesh Sharma v. State of Uttar Pradesh*, the Supreme Court recognised the possibility of anti-cruelty provisions being abused and so determined that the trade off between protection and protection against abuse was to be debated.<sup>34</sup> Nevertheless, narrowing the problem to reduce it to misuse alone overlooks the structural inequalities and disparities, which continue to play against women in spheres such as the financial dependencies and legal provisions.

The difficulty here is to guarantee substantive equality of the matrimonial law, but not formal equality.

### **B. Judicial Delay and Procedural Inefficiency**

Delay in adjudication is also one of the most perennial challenges in the issue of marital disputes. When family courts are supposed to offer swift decision making processes, the courts are mostly overwhelmed with cases and formalities.<sup>35</sup>

This not only makes litigation financially draining, but also causes more suffering in the emotions of its parties concerned. The period of litigation in most instances, in itself, becomes injustice especially when it comes to maintenance or child custody.

This brings out a structural failure in which the law solutions are present in theory but ineffective in practice.

### **C. Misuse vs Protection Debate**

Another theme that has remained controversial in matrimonial legislation is the alleged abuse of protective provisions such as cruelty, dowry harassment among others. The story is more sensational and cannot be established on empirical grounds although the courts have known cases of abuse.<sup>36</sup>

It is not the abuse itself, but rather the lack of a fair law system that guarantees protection of the real victims and protects against forum shopping. By overemphasizing misuse, it may lead to the undermining of the necessary protection, as total lack of attention to the problem will compromise the legitimacy of the law framework.

### **D. Uniform Civil Code and Legal Pluralism**

The stress of the co-existence of several personal laws still produces discussiveness as to whether there needs to be the Uniform Civil Code (UCC). The supporters believe that having a similar structure would foster equality and uniformity and those opposed argue that this will

deny cultural and religious diversity.<sup>37</sup>

What is occurring in this debate is a deeper opposition between constitutional equalities and legal pluralism. The lack of uniformity has a negative impact on the equal rights enjoyed by societies especially in matters of divorce and maintenance.

Nevertheless, the attempt to introduce uniformity without considering the socio-cultural perceived sensitivities can create more tensions, as opposed to the attempt to solve the prevalent ones.

### **E. Recognition of Non-Traditional Relationships**

Social realities, such as cohabitations and regressing family make-up have not been fed into the legal community in a very responsive way. Since there is no explicit statutory framework, there is vagueness, even though such relationships have progressively been put into light in the courts.<sup>38</sup>

In the case of Indra Sarma v. V.K.V. Sarma, it is seen that though the Court did admit conforming to provide protection of law to women in live in functioning, it equally mentioned that there were no legal definitions which were accurate.<sup>39</sup>

Little has been done in this field and there are huge gaps in rights to maintenance, to inheritance, to legal recognition.

### **F. Cross-Border Marriages and Jurisdictional Issues**

Cross-border marriage has since become more popular with the rising level of globalization and has resulted in intricate legal issues pertaining to jurisdiction, recognition and enforcement of foreign judicial rulings as well as conflict of laws.<sup>40</sup>

The conflicts that arise due to such marriages have a tendency of touching on several legal regimes which brings with it confusion and take time to be resolved. There are also no consistent and transparent legal procedures that may help to enforce the law, which presents another issue with custody and maintenance cases.

This also brings into the focus of more stringent legal harmonisation and acute statutory guidelines to tackle transnational matrimonial conflicts.

## **IX. CRITICAL ANALYSIS OF THE EXISTING LEGAL FRAMEWORK**

### **A. Strengths of the Current Legal Framework**

The marriage and divorce laws in the Indian legal system illustrate a number of strengths

especially in the form of gradual transformation towards becoming rights based. Finally, legislative changes and judicial interpretation have increased the range of individual autonomy and the parties have the possibility to demand marriage dissolution based on articulated legal reasons.<sup>41</sup>

Mutual consent divorce and judicial acceptance of ideas like a state of mental cruelty are results of changing conceptualizations of this matrimonial relationship. The judges in the case of V. Bhagat v. D. Bhagat made the interpretation of idol DNA much wider to reach psychological harm which made the law to be more responsible.<sup>42</sup>

Also, the agency was committed to establishing court branches especially the family courts; in order to offer techno-structured available dispute resolution with anything short of adversarial content as an indicator of institutional direction to humanize the matrimonial litigation procedure.<sup>43</sup>

### **B. Structural Loopholes and Inconsistencies**

These strengths notwithstanding, the legal system has immense structural non-merits. There are various personal laws, and this causes inconsistent standards in terms of marriage, divorce as well as maintenance. Such fragmentation disadvantages citizens since it produces disparity in legal functions of different people, according to their religious affiliation.<sup>44</sup>

In addition, the lack of a codified ground like the irreversible disintegration of marriage leads one to discretionary judgment by the court. As seen in Shilpa Sailesh v. Varun Sreenivasan, Courts have given relief in this kind of situation to Varun Sreenivasan, yet, without legislative support, results are difficult to tell.<sup>45</sup>

Such inconsistency of law diminishes legal certainty, reduces the outlook of matrimonial law in general.

### **C. Judicial Activism and Its Limitations**

The courts have been active in filling legal loopholes in the matrimonial law, where the reform legislation has been lagging. The presence of legal provisions has been interpreted by the courts in respect of the provisions in the constitution thus leading to the protections and changes in the legal learning which have enhanced the comprehension of the legal provisions.<sup>46</sup>

But too strong dependence on judicial intervention has its own issues. Cases The law Judicial decisions are supposed to be case-oriented and can cause application non-consistency without codification by a legislature.

In Naveen Kohli v. Neelu Kohli, the Court had strongly suggested that irretrievable breakdown

is a statutorily available ground to be included in the Court, but no action against this by the legislation has taken place.<sup>47</sup> This is an evidence of the disregard to judicial suggestions and the policy action.

#### **D. Implementation Challenges and Institutional Weaknesses**

One of the biggest weakness lacking in the current framework is implementation. Despite the conceptual advancement, family courts in a Christian context cannot give timely justice because of case backlog, procedural delays and absence of specialized infrastructural support.<sup>48</sup> There is a wide gap between principles and the laws and their application. Litigants should not be kept on long delays and procedural road blocks because even the best intention laws will gain no effect. It is especially acute in the situation concerning maintenance and child custody in which not only are the basic rights and welfare of the citizen directly affected by the delayed justice, but what is more, the situation concerning the domestic issues in all cases.

#### **E. Lack of Adaptation to Contemporary Realities**

The present legal system is not completely adjusted to the evolving social embrace. Such questions, as live-ins relationships, on line evidence in marital litigation, trans-national marriages, are worded scantily by the statutory law.<sup>49</sup>

Although, the courts have tried to plug these loopholes by interpreting the law, the lack of clear legislative statements brings about discrepancy and inconsistency. This is indicative of a wider issue of the development of the law adversely as opposed to proactively.

#### **F. Need for Structural Reform**

As it is observed, the legal framework is good in concept, but multifaceted, riddled with procedural inefficiencies and inhomogeneity. The system tries to combine tradition and modernity but it fails to accomplish cohering too often.

To do this better would involve:

- Additional government transparency.
- Lessening of procedural delays.
- Coherency of the individual laws.
- Improved institutional strength.<sup>50</sup>

In the absence of these reforms the issue of a discrepancy between the legal purpose and actual reality will only exist in tomorrow.

## **X. PRACTICAL INSIGHTS AND CASE-BASED ANALYSIS**

### **A. Judicial Trends in Matrimonial Disputes**

A review of judicial rulings demonstrates a shift that over time towards the use of rigid statutory interpretation to the more practical and justice based on.<sup>51</sup> In recent years the courts have paid much more attention to the facts of matrimonial breakdown rather than the technicalities of the procedures.

An example of such case is Naveen Kohli v. Neelu Kohli, the Supreme Court admitted that there was no use in carrying on a dead marriage hence supporting the importance of irretrievable breakdown recognition.<sup>52</sup> And in the same case, Shilpa Sailesh v. Varun Sreenivasan, the Court through its constitutional powers used the provisions under the statutes, which was found to be insufficient to grant divorce.<sup>53</sup>

This signifies an inclination to expand judicial acceptability to fill any gaps in legislation by interpretation.

### **B. Expansion of Grounds through Judicial Interpretation**

Courts have been instrumental in creating newer reasons of divorce especially cruelty. The case of V. Bhagat v. D. Bhagat determined mental cruelty to be interpreted expansively, and emotional and psychological damage could also be taken into account.<sup>54</sup>

Further, in Samar Ghosh v. Jaya Ghosh, the Court established more specific rules of establishing mental cruelty, which brought more lucidity and grounds of regularity.<sup>55</sup>

Such changes evidence that judicial interpretation has assisted a great deal in the development of matrimonial law other than that which is written in statutes.

### **C. Procedural Flexibility and Judicial Innovation**

The procedural stiffness has also been dealt with to provide quick justice by the courts. In Amardeep Singh v. Harveen Kaur, the Supreme Court, in mutual consent divorce, waived the statutory waiting period with the provision that delays can lead to prolongation of unnecessary marriage misery, which serve no purpose.<sup>56</sup>

This is indicative of a greater process by which the court are trying to streamline the constraints of the process and render access to the process of solving legal issues.

### **D. Misuse of Legal Provisions: Judicial Response**

The court has expressed issues over misapplications of matrimonial provisions as it tries to have a balance in check. In Rajesh Sharma v. State of Uttar Pradesh, the Court issued

protections to curb the arbitrary arrests in matrimonial wrangles to State of Uttar Pradesh.<sup>57</sup>

The issue that still needs to be addressed systemically though is that such interventions also bring out the delicate line between safeguarding the real victims and using the law to abuse it.

### **E. Recognition of Non-Traditional Relationships**

Certain social relationships have also gained recognition through judgments of the law. In the case of *Indra Sarma v. V. K.V. Sarma*, the Court recognised live-in relationships and also granted some protection by extending this protection to some of the status and yet the Court pointed to the lack of statutory control of the matter.<sup>58</sup>

This shows that courts tend to be progressive with the social change more than the legislation.

### **F. Key Practical Challenges Identified from Case Analysis**

An overview of case laws suggests that there are a number of recurrent problems:

- Wasting time in a simple trial.
- Disadvantages inconsistent approaches of the court because of non uniform standards.
- This demonstrates excessive use of discretion by the courts where there are no explicit matters in the statutory law.
- The litigants have emotional and financial pressure caused by lengthy litigation processes.

Such tendencies are related to the fact that, even though the judiciary tries to fill the gaps, it appears that there are still systematic inefficiencies that influence the results.

## **XI. EMERGING TRENDS AND FUTURE DIRECTIONS**

### **A. Digitalization of Matrimonial Proceedings**

The growth in the fusion of technology in the legal field is changing the way matrimonial disputes are resolved. There has been some better accessibility and lesser delays in procedure due to virtual hearings, e-filing systems and digital documentation.<sup>59</sup>

Digitalization, however, also comes about with new problems, like data privacy issues, discrepancies in access to technology, and the fact that litigants must be digitally literate. Although technology needs to be embraced, there should be mechanisms to guarantee equality and inclusiveness.

## **B. Evolving Nature of Marriage and Relationships**

Marriage is also changing drastically, as there is increased awareness of individualism and the social norms are changing with time. Relationships as live-in arrangements are slowly getting judicial recognition moving away with strict formations grounded on traditional structures.<sup>60</sup> Simultaneously, the discussions around the law-legal status of same-sex marriages and non-traditional families reveal that the system of laws under use remains at the stage of evolution. Lack of definite statutory clauses in these area poses confusion and unequal judicial decisions.

## **C. Increasing Role of Constitutional Principles**

The principles of equality, dignity, and personal liberty among the constitutional values are getting more significant in development of matrimonial law.<sup>61</sup> Personal law is getting subjected to court interpretations that are based upon these principles which have resulted to progressive advancements in the spheres of divorce, maintenance, and individual rights.

It is shown that this tendency implies the slow shift to the rights-focused judicial system, though the conflicts with religious and cultural standards still remain.

## **D. Movement Towards Legal Uniformity**

The Uniform Civil Code debate is still used to discuss the issue of matrimonial law reform. Although full uniformity is still heavily debated politically and socially, an increasing number of scholars understand that it is necessary to minimize inconsistencies in the laws of a person.<sup>62</sup> The future reforms can deal with alignment, as opposed to absolute standardization, in order to assure the minimum of the basic requirements of the law and in order to take into consideration the cultural variety.

## **E. Strengthening Alternative Dispute Resolution Mechanisms**

Increasingly, emphasis on mediation and other types of alternative dispute resolution (ADR), as used in the matrimonial disputes, is gaining momentum. Such processes are less confrontational and provide a more effective way of conflict resolution especially in situations where it is feasible to reconcile or come to an amicable solution.<sup>63</sup>

Making ADR systems more resilient will greatly decrease the load on the court system and offer a deeper solution to litigants.

## **F. Need for Institutional and Policy Reforms**

Matrimonial law should focus on organizational areas to ensure that delays in the courts,

deficiency in infrastructures and poor implementation strategies are corrected in the future.<sup>64</sup>

Reforms should focus on:

- Improving efficiency on family courts.
- Normalizing inter-jurisdictional procedures.
- Raising legal assistance.
- The incorporation of gender-sensitive and balanced instruments of the law.

In the absence of such reforms, the development of the law will be purely ideological and will not have a significant impact.

## XII. CONCLUSION

The legal system of marriage and divorce in India is characterized by interaction of complexity between the tradition, legal pluralism and the changing traditions and constitutional values of the people. While considerable progress has been made in making the matrimonial law more rights oriented, the coexistence of multiple personal laws still creates inconsistencies and unequal legal results.

The analysis shows that despite varied structured mechanisms for the dissolution of marriage under the law, the implementation of the law is marred by procedural delays, institutional inefficiency, and over-reliance on judicial discretion. Judicial interventions have contributed significantly to fill the gaps in the legislation especially in extending the ambit of divorce and also in accordance with the changing social environment. But this has been accompanied by a lack of the similar types of legislative reforms leading to the lack of understanding and inconsistency in law implementation.

Current issues like gender disparity, abuse controversy, marriage between non-conformist customary stable, and global dispute crises have also unveiled the imperfection of the existing framework. These issues suggest that the legal system is finding it difficult to keep up with the socially changing dynamics.

An important evaluation seems to be that the existing framework, despite being progressive in principle, is incoherent and inflexible in practice. There is a dire need for reforms to ensure greater uniformity, procedural efficiency and clarity in the area of matrimonial laws. Reform of this nature should find a compromise between constitutional equality and justice and matters

of cultural diversity.

Ultimately, the effectiveness of the laws on marriage and divorce depends not just on the way the laws have been formulated but actually on how they are implemented. A system that cannot provide justice on time and equitably runs the risk of undermining itself. There is, therefore, a need to change this trend to a more predictable, convenient, and receptive legal system to meet with the exchange of modern matrimonial conflicts.

## REFERENCES

### A. BOOKS

1. Paras Diwan, *Modern Hindu Law* (21st edn, Allahabad Law Agency 2022).
2. Tahir Mahmood, *Muslim Law in India and Abroad* (Universal Law Publishing 2012).
3. Flavia Agnes, *Family Law and Constitutional Claims* (Oxford University Press 2011).
4. Mulla, *Principles of Mohammedan Law* (LexisNexis 2017).
5. Kusum, *Family Law Lectures: Family Law I* (LexisNexis 2019).
6. Avtar Singh, *Introduction to Family Law* (Eastern Book Company 2018).
7. Lotika Sarkar, *Law and Gender Inequality* (Oxford University Press 2016).

### B. JOURNAL ARTICLES

8. Flavia Agnes, "Marriage, Divorce and Gender Justice" (2010) *Economic & Political Weekly*.
9. Archana Parashar, "Women and Family Law Reform in India" (1992) *Sage Journals*.
10. Indira Jaising, "Uniform Civil Code: A Critical Perspective" (2015) *Indian Journal of Constitutional Law*.
11. Werner Menski, "Comparative Family Law: Indian and Western Perspectives" (2003) *Journal of Comparative Law*.
12. Madhavi Sunder, "Pluralism and Equality in Family Law" (2001) *Yale Law Journal*.
13. Samar Ghosh Analysis, *Supreme Court Observer* (Case Commentary).
14. Articles on Divorce Trends, *Economic & Political Weekly* (various issues).

### C. LEGISLATIONS

15. Hindu Marriage Act, 1955.
16. Special Marriage Act, 1954.
17. Muslim Personal Law (Shariat) Application Act, 1937.

18. Indian Divorce Act, 1869.

19. Family Courts Act, 1984.

#### **D. CASE LAWS**

20. V. Bhagat v. D. Bhagat

21. Naveen Kohli v. Neelu Kohli

22. Samar Ghosh v. Jaya Ghosh

23. Sureshta Devi v. Om Prakash

24. Amardeep Singh v. Harveen Kaur

25. Shilpa Sailesh v. Varun Sreenivasan

26. Rajesh Sharma v. State of Uttar Pradesh

27. Indra Sarma v. V.K.V. Sarma

28. Danial Latifi v. Union of India

29. Shah Bano Begum v. Mohd. Ahmed Khan

30. Joseph Shine v. Union of India

31. Sarla Mudgal v. Union of India

32. Lily Thomas v. Union of India

33. K. Srinivas Rao v. D.A. Deepa

34. Seema v. Ashwani Kumar

#### **E. REPORTS AND OTHER SOURCES**

35. Law Commission of India, 71st Report on the Hindu Marriage Act.

36. Law Commission of India, 217th Report on Irretrievable Breakdown of Marriage.

37. National Crime Records Bureau, Crime in India Report (latest edition).

38. Ministry of Law and Justice, Government of India Reports on Family Courts.

39. United Nations, Convention on the Elimination of All Forms of Discrimination Against Women (CEDAW).

<sup>1</sup> Paras Diwan, *Modern Hindu Law* (21st edn, Allahabad Law Agency 2022).

<sup>2</sup> Tahir Mahmood, *Muslim Law in India and Abroad* (Universal Law Publishing 2012).

<sup>3</sup> Mulla, *Principles of Mohammedan Law* (LexisNexis 2017).

<sup>4</sup> Flavia Agnes, *Family Law and Constitutional Claims* (Oxford University Press 2011).

<sup>5</sup> Kusum, *Family Law Lectures: Family Law I* (LexisNexis 2019).

<sup>6</sup> Ministry of Law and Justice, Government of India, *Family Court Reports*.

<sup>7</sup> V. Bhagat v. D. Bhagat.

- <sup>8</sup> Ministry of Law and Justice, Government of India, Family Court Reports.
- <sup>9</sup> Werner Menski, "Comparative Family Law: Indian and Western Perspectives" (2003) Journal of Comparative Law.
- <sup>10</sup> Flavia Agnes, "Marriage, Divorce and Gender Justice" (2010) Economic & Political Weekly.
- <sup>11</sup> Indra Sarma v. V.K.V. Sarma.
- <sup>12</sup> Avtar Singh, Introduction to Family Law (Eastern Book Company 2018).
- <sup>13</sup> Paras Diwan, Modern Hindu Law (21st edn, Allahabad Law Agency 2022); Mulla, Principles of Mohammedan Law (LexisNexis 2017).
- <sup>14</sup> Flavia Agnes, Family Law and Constitutional Claims (Oxford University Press 2011).
- <sup>15</sup> Kusum, Family Law Lectures: Family Law I (LexisNexis 2019).
- <sup>16</sup> Hindu Marriage Act, 1955.
- <sup>17</sup> Tahir Mahmood, Muslim Law in India and Abroad (Universal Law Publishing 2012).
- <sup>18</sup> Special Marriage Act, 1954.
- <sup>19</sup> Joseph Shine v. Union of India.
- <sup>20</sup> Madhavi Sunder, "Pluralism and Equality in Family Law" (2001) Yale Law Journal.
- <sup>21</sup> Shah Bano Begum v. Mohd. Ahmed Khan
- <sup>22</sup> Hindu Marriage Act, 1955.
- <sup>23</sup> V. Bhagat v. D. Bhagat.
- <sup>24</sup> Naveen Kohli v. Neelu Kohli.
- <sup>25</sup> Special Marriage Act, 1954.
- <sup>26</sup> Sureshta Devi v. Om Prakash.
- <sup>27</sup> Amardeep Singh v. Harveen Kaur.
- <sup>28</sup> Law Commission of India, 217th Report on Irretrievable Breakdown of Marriage.
- <sup>29</sup> Shilpa Sailesh v. Varun Sreenivasan.
- <sup>30</sup> Hindu Marriage Act, 1955 (Judicial Separation provisions).
- <sup>31</sup> Family Courts Act, 1984.
- <sup>32</sup> Salem Advocate Bar Association v. Union of India.
- <sup>33</sup> Flavia Agnes, Family Law and Constitutional Claims (Oxford University Press 2011).
- <sup>34</sup> Rajesh Sharma v. State of Uttar Pradesh.
- <sup>35</sup> Ministry of Law and Justice, Government of India, Family Court Reports.
- <sup>36</sup> Economic & Political Weekly, Articles on Divorce Trends (various issues).
- <sup>37</sup> Indira Jaising, "Uniform Civil Code: A Critical Perspective" (2015) Indian Journal of Constitutional Law.
- <sup>38</sup> Madhavi Sunder, "Pluralism and Equality in Family Law" (2001) Yale Law Journal.
- <sup>39</sup> Indra Sarma v. V.K.V. Sarma.
- <sup>40</sup> Werner Menski, "Comparative Family Law: Indian and Western Perspectives" (2003) Journal of Comparative Law.
- <sup>41</sup> Hindu Marriage Act, 1955.
- <sup>42</sup> V. Bhagat v. D. Bhagat.
- <sup>43</sup> Family Courts Act, 1984.
- <sup>44</sup> Tahir Mahmood, Muslim Law in India and Abroad (Universal Law Publishing 2012).
- <sup>45</sup> Shilpa Sailesh v. Varun Sreenivasan.
- <sup>46</sup> Flavia Agnes, Family Law and Constitutional Claims (Oxford University Press 2011).
- <sup>47</sup> Naveen Kohli v. Neelu Kohli.
- <sup>48</sup> Ministry of Law and Justice, Government of India, Family Court Reports.
- <sup>49</sup> Indra Sarma v. V.K.V. Sarma.
- <sup>50</sup> Law Commission of India, 217th Report on Irretrievable Breakdown of Marriage.
- <sup>51</sup> Matrimonial Judgments.
- <sup>52</sup> Naveen Kohli v. Neelu Kohli.
- <sup>53</sup> Shilpa Sailesh v. Varun Sreenivasan.
- <sup>54</sup> V. Bhagat v. D. Bhagat.
- <sup>55</sup> Samar Ghosh v. Jaya Ghosh.
- <sup>56</sup> Amardeep Singh v. Harveen Kaur.
- <sup>57</sup> Rajesh Sharma v. State of Uttar Pradesh.
- <sup>58</sup> Indra Sarma v. V.K.V. Sarma.
- <sup>59</sup> Ministry of Law and Justice, Government of India, Reports on Digitalization of Courts.
- <sup>60</sup> Indra Sarma v. V.K.V. Sarma.
- <sup>61</sup> Joseph Shine v. Union of India.
- <sup>62</sup> Indira Jaising, "Uniform Civil Code: A Critical Perspective" (2015) Indian Journal of Constitutional Law.
- <sup>63</sup> Salem Advocate Bar Association v. Union of India.
- <sup>64</sup> Law Commission of India, 71st Report on the Hindu Marriage Act.