INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL FOR LEGAL RESEARCH AND ANALYSIS

Open Access, Refereed Journal Multi Disciplinary Peer Reviewed

www.ijlra.com

ISSN: 2582-6433

DISCLAIMER

ABOUT US

The *International Journal for Legal Research & Analysis (IJLRA)* (ISSN: 2582-6433) is a peer-reviewed, academic, online journal published on a monthly basis. The journal aims to provide a comprehensive and interactive platform for the publication of original and high-quality legal research.

IJLRA publishes Short Articles, Long Articles, Research Papers, Case Comments, Book Reviews, Essays, and interdisciplinary studies in the field of law and allied disciplines. The journal seeks to promote critical analysis and informed discourse on contemporary legal, social, and policy issues.

The primary objective of IJLRA is to enhance academic engagement and scholarly dialogue among law students, researchers, academicians, legal professionals, and members of the Bar and Bench. The journal endeavours to establish itself as a credible and widely cited academic publication through the publication of original, well-researched, and analytically sound contributions.

IJLRA welcomes submissions from all branches of law, provided the work is original, unpublished, and submitted in accordance with the prescribed submission guidelines. All manuscripts are subject to a rigorous peer-review process to ensure academic quality, originality, and relevance.

Through its publications, the *International Journal for Legal Research & Analysis* aspires to contribute meaningfully to legal scholarship and the development of law as an instrument of justice and social progress.

ISSN: 2582-6433

PUBLICATION ETHICS, COPYRIGHT & AUTHOR RESPONSIBILITY STATEMENT

ISSN: 2582-6433

A COMPREHENSIVE REVIEW OF PATENTING LAW AND PRACTICE IN INDIA IN RELATION TO THE INTERNATIONAL REGIMEN

AUTHORED BY - KADIYALA VENKATA SAHITYA
PhD Scholar, KLEF College of Law,
Koneru Lakshmaiah Education Foundation
KL (Deemed-to-be) University, Vaddeswaram, Guntur, Andhra Pradesh, India

CO-AUTHOR - DR. MEGHA OJHA
Associate Professor of Law, KLEF College of Law,
Koneru Lakshmaiah Education Foundation
KL (Deemed-to-be) University, Vaddeswaram, Guntur, Andhra Pradesh, India

Abstract:

This research delves into the intricate landscape of patenting law and practice in India, examining its alignment with the international regime governing intellectual property. As the global economy becomes increasingly interconnected, understanding the compatibility and challenges between national patenting systems and international standards is imperative. This review aims to provide a thorough analysis of India's patenting framework, exploring its legal foundations, procedural aspects, and key case studies, while also evaluating its harmonization with international agreements and treaties. By scrutinizing the nuances of India's patent system in this global context, this research seeks to contribute valuable insights to scholars, policymakers, and practitioners navigating the complex intersection of domestic and international patenting laws.

Introduction

In an era characterized by unprecedented global innovation and economic interdependence, the harmonization of national patent laws with international standards emerges as a pivotal aspect of fostering a conducive environment for technological progress and cross-border collaboration. As nations strive to optimize their contributions to the global knowledge economy, understanding the intricacies of patenting law and its alignment with international norms becomes paramount. This research embarks on a journey to explore the nuances of India's patenting law and practice within the broader context of the international regime. The significance of aligning national patent laws with international standards lies at the intersection of innovation, commerce, and legal frameworks. As technological advancements transcend geographical boundaries, a cohesive and standardized approach to patent protection becomes essential. Harmonization facilitates the seamless exchange of ideas, encourages cross-border investments, and promotes fair competition on the global stage. Moreover, it ensures that inventors and businesses can navigate patent systems with clarity and predictability, fostering an environment conducive to sustained innovation.

The historical evolution of India's patent system is a fascinating journey that spans several centuries, reflecting the nation's changing socio-political landscape. The roots of India's patenting regime can be traced back to the British colonial era when the first formal patent law was introduced in 1856. The objective of this legislation was to encourage innovation and economic development within the colonial territories. However, this initial patent law was met with resistance as it faced criticism for favoring foreign inventors and impeding local innovation. The discontent eventually led to the repeal of the 1856 Act, and it was replaced by the Indian Patents and Designs Act of 1911, which sought to address some of the concerns raised by the Indian intellectual community.

The post-independence period witnessed further developments in India's patent landscape. In 1970, the country implemented significant changes with the Patents Act, aiming to foster indigenous innovation and address concerns related to the exploitation of foreign patents. One of the pivotal features introduced was the provision for process patents, allowing Indian inventors to patent methods of production rather than just the end products. This shift marked a departure from the product-centric approach prevalent in many Western patent systems.

Additionally, India's stance on pharmaceutical patents underwent a notable transformation in the 1970s, reflecting a commitment to public health by allowing the production of generic versions of essential medicines, even those under patent protection. These historical milestones lay the foundation for understanding the context in which India's modern patent system operates, influencing its current legal framework and policy considerations.

The legal foundations further extend to the establishment and functioning of the intellectual property office in India, which administers and oversees patent applications. The Office of the Controller General of Patents, Designs & Trade Marks, under the Ministry of Commerce and Industry, plays a pivotal role in examining and granting patents, ensuring compliance with legal requirements. The procedural aspects of patent examination, oppositions, and appeals are delineated within the legal framework, establishing a transparent and accountable system for patent applicants and third parties.

Significantly, judicial decisions rendered by Indian courts contribute to the shaping of the legal foundations of India's patent system. Landmark judgments interpreting patent law principles, resolving disputes, and setting precedent for future cases play a crucial role in refining the legal landscape. The judiciary's role in providing clarity on patentability criteria, addressing challenges in enforcement, and interpreting the scope of patent rights ensures that the legal foundations remain dynamic and responsive to the evolving needs of innovation and industry. Through this multifaceted legal framework, India seeks to strike a balance between incentivizing innovation, protecting the rights of inventors, and fostering a conducive environment for technological advancement.

Importance of the study

The study on "Patenting Law and Practice in India in Relation to the International Regimen" holds paramount importance in the contemporary global landscape, where innovation and intellectual property rights play a pivotal role in economic development and competitiveness. As India continues to emerge as a hub for technological advancements and creative endeavors, understanding the intricacies of its patenting system in relation to international standards becomes crucial. This research serves as a compass for policymakers, legal practitioners, and innovators by shedding light on the compatibility and disparities between India's patent laws and the international regime. By delving into the historical evolution, legal foundations, procedural intricacies, and international engagements, the study aims to provide a comprehensive understanding of the challenges and opportunities within India's patenting framework. In doing so, it not only contributes to academic scholarship but also offers actionable insights for refining policies that govern intellectual property, fostering a conducive environment for innovation, and facilitating seamless integration into the global knowledge economy.

Objectives of this study

- To assess the alignment of India's patenting laws and practices with international standards and agreements.
- To critically analyze and assess the alignment of India's patenting law with international standards, identifying areas of harmonization and potential conflicts.
- to highlight the challenges and opportunities inherent in the current patenting framework, providing a basis for informed policy recommendations.

Methodology

The methodology employed for this study is exclusively grounded in secondary data, specifically derived from an in-depth examination of 11 research papers sourced from both national and international journals. These papers, selected for their relevance to the subject of Patenting Law and Practice in India in relation to the International Regimen, serve as the primary data reservoirs for our investigation. The approach involves an extensive review and synthesis of the content within these scholarly works, utilizing a narrative technique to comprehensively discuss and analyze each review. This narrative technique allows for a nuanced exploration of the intricacies surrounding patent laws and practices in India, facilitating a qualitative understanding of the subject matter through the lens of existing research.

Review of Literature

Raza, Ali & Janiad, Sara. (2023)[1] studied on "Patenting Microorganisms: A Comparative Study Of India And Pakistan". This comprehensive comparative study meticulously analyzes the practices of patenting microorganisms in Pakistan and India, offering valuable insights derived from scientific research. The study provides a comprehensive understanding of the microbiological regulations and the standards for patent inspection by assessing the legal frameworks, encompassing patent laws, regulations, and guidelines, that govern the patenting of microorganisms in both countries. The review does an extensive comparative study, tracking variations in the quantity and types of patents granted, identifying influential actors driving innovation, and assessing the consequences of international agreements. The review highlights the measures taken to promote growth and enhance intellectual property systems in order to tackle the opportunities and challenges associated with patenting microorganisms, including ethical concerns, public health considerations, and access to genetic resources. This extensive analysis provides decision-makers, researchers, and other stakeholders with a scientific perspective on the patenting of microorganisms, enhancing their understanding of the complex network of intellectual property rights and innovation in the biotechnology industry in Pakistan and India. This review significantly enhances the existing body of knowledge through its comprehensive examination, promoting informed decision-making and pushing forward the subject of microbe patenting.

Lowenfeld, Andreas. (2023)[2] studied on International Economic Law. This fully revised and extended second edition provides a thorough examination of the legal principles that underpin the international economy, as the interplay between states increasingly revolves around economic matters. This text not only evaluates the present condition of the law, but also investigates the origins, political conflicts, and evolution of outcomes that are frequently challenging to understand. Lowenfeld analyzes the key components of economic law on a global scale, such as the World Trade Organization and its predecessors, practices like dumping and subsidies that manipulate the market, the International Monetary System with a focus on the breakdown of the Bretton Woods system, the debt issues faced by developing nations, the legal aspects of foreign direct investment which involve evolving perspectives on the rights of host countries and multinational corporations, and the implementation of economic sanctions. The book includes chapters on competition law, environmental law, as well as new chapters on intellectual property and different types of arbitration. These chapters illustrate how these issues are integrated within the framework of international economic law. Professor Lowenfeld's extensive practical and instructional expertise enables him to create a book that will benefit both international lawyers and individuals without specialized knowledge.

Gachayev, Ramil. (2023)[3] studied on "Expropriation in International Investment Law: A Focus on Intellectual Property Rights". "This article examines the notion of expropriation in the context of international investment law, specifically examining the decisions made by investment tribunals on intellectual property rights. The paper offers an overview of the significance of this matter and its pertinence to the wider domain of international investment law. The matter of expropriation is a highly debated topic in the field of international investment law due to the challenge of differentiating between legitimate regulation and expropriation. This study analyzes the criteria employed by investment tribunals to differentiate between expropriation and lawful regulation. This encompasses a comprehensive examination of the concept of 'police powers', the 'sole effects' test, and the 'proportionality' theory, and their application in pertinent cases. The paper offers an in-depth examination of the matter of expropriation of intellectual property rights, encompassing a thorough discussion of prominent instances such as A11Y Ltd v Czech Republic and Philip Morris v Uruguay. The study examines the logical thinking and final judgments of the tribunals, as well as the consequences of their rulings for safeguarding intellectual property rights within the framework of international investment law. Furthermore, the study explores the correlation between international intellectual property law and international investment law, and their interplay in the context of expropriation claims. This comprises an in-depth analysis of the stipulations outlined in the TRIPS Agreement and bilateral investment treaties (BITs), and their respective approaches to the matter of expropriation and compensation. In addition, the article analyzes the concept of indirect expropriation, which pertains to circumstances where a state's actions, although not necessarily requiring the outright confiscation of an investment, lead to a substantial loss of value or utility of that property. This study examines the legal decisions made by investment tribunals on indirect expropriation and its impact on the safeguarding of intellectual property rights. The study also examines the potential consequences of the extension of Investor-State Dispute Settlement (ISDS) in investment agreements for safeguarding intellectual property rights, as well as the potential obstacles it presents to state sovereignty. The article contends that the proliferation of ISDS could result in an increased likelihood of expropriation claims, and emphasizes the need to maintain a delicate equilibrium between safeguarding investors' rights and preserving the state's regulatory authority. The paper contends that the legal decisions made by investment tribunals regarding intellectual property rights underscore the necessity for a more exact delineation of expropriation in international investment law. This is crucial in order to maintain a fair equilibrium between safeguarding the rights of investors and upholding the regulatory authority of the state. The statement implies that the proportionality concept, as formulated by certain tribunals, can serve as an effective means of attaining this equilibrium, while its implementation may differ depending on the specific circumstances of each individual case. The study enhances the existing discussion on the idea of expropriation in international investment law and its impact on the safeguarding of intellectual property rights by offering a thorough examination of investment tribunal jurisprudence. This aspect is of great significance to scholars and practitioners who have an interest in the convergence of international investment law and intellectual property law.

Goldstein, Paul. (2023)[4] studied on “International Copyright: Principles, Law, and Practice”.

This book provides a comprehensive examination and evaluation of the main legal principles that impact copyright practice globally, encompassing both transactional and litigation contexts. “It offers a systematic approach for guiding clients engaged in the utilization of artistic works in or from other nations. This volume, authored by a renowned copyright scholar with expertise in both the United States and international contexts, offers a distinctive integration of copyright law and its application. It comprehensively considers the Berne Convention, the TRIPs Agreement, and the emergence of the Internet. Detailed analysis and comparison of national copyright regulations pertaining to protectible subject matter, ownership, term, rights, moral rights, and neighboring rights are provided. The text is divided into distinct sections that address significant subjects such territoriality, national treatment, choice of law, and the treaty and commercial arrangements that form the basis of substantive copyright rules. International Copyright is an essential resource for professionals engaged in international intellectual property transactions or litigation. This book is indispensable for scholars and intellectual property professionals around the world, while also being exceptionally approachable for American readers.

Rahman, Faizanur. (2022)[5] studied on “Patenting Rights on Sport Moves in India”. A patent is a government-granted exclusive right given to an inventor, providing them with the sole authority to utilize or commercialize their creation for a specific duration. “Patents provide motivation for investing in the creation and commercialization of products. Intellectual property rights holders possess a distinct edge in the realm of sports, similar to their advantage in other sectors. Sports business owners can establish a connection with their supporters by leveraging the intangible assets associated with Intellectual Property Rights (IPR) in sports. One prominent strategy for achieving success in the sports business is to file patents for sports technology that enhances revenue targets for shareholders. Sport-related innovations possess the capacity to modify the dynamics of the ecosystem. Various sporting innovations have proven to be a driving force behind the creation of intangible assets, which can be safeguarded by intellectual property rights such as patents, trademarks, copyrights, and designs. Patents serve as a means of safeguarding innovative and revolutionary sports technologies within the framework of intellectual property. Sports technologists have utilized their creativity and expertise to develop superior, more secure, and more efficient sports gear, along with technologies for precise performance assessment and methods to enable the experience of sporting events universally and at any given moment. The issue of patent law in sports has been a subject of dispute for an extended period. Prior to discussing the advantages and disadvantages, it is essential to grasp the basic principles of patent law. Patent laws have evolved to encompass domains that were previously considered ineligible. Today, the scope of sports has broadened to include sports movements, team and individual sports programs, and sport skills. This transformation has turned what used to be a recreational activity, general fitness, and physical capabilities testing into a commercial business for individuals. It is worth noting that while numerous patents for sporting maneuvers have been submitted in the United States of America, no patents have been filed in the United Kingdom or India. This article provides a concise review of patent law, with a specific focus on the patenting of sports equipment and procedures.

Rawat, Balwant. (2009)[6] studied on "Patenting Landscape in India 2009". Patenting activity serves as a significant metric for assessing a nation's level of innovation, research, and technical advancement. India has experienced significant changes in its patenting landscape due to its expanding economy and improvements in patent laws. This study examines the patenting situation in India, specifically looking at patent applications produced between 2005 and 2008. Based on a recent study conducted by Evalueserve from 2005 to 2008, Qualcomm is the leading entity in terms of filing patents with the Indian Patent Office. Following closely behind is India's Council of Scientific and Industrial Research (CSIR). During the period from 2005 to 2008, Qualcomm published a total of 2068 patents, demonstrating its strong commitment to safeguarding its innovations in India, which is currently the global leader in mobile communication industry growth. This study also observed that the global economic slowdown has negatively impacted the previously observed strong growth in patent filings. In the fiscal year 2008–09, there was a 5% growth in patent filings, which is lower than the 20% increase observed in each of the previous four years. Another tendency that has been seen is the increasing discrepancy between domestic and foreign candidates. Patent filings have risen in general, but Indian companies have not been able to match the progress of overseas companies. In the fiscal year 2007–08, the Intellectual Property Office (IPO) received a total of 6,296 applications from domestic applicants, accounting for approximately 18% of the overall number of applications. This figure was much lower compared to their proportion in 2006–07 (19%), 2005–06 (20%), and 2004–05 (23%). Undoubtedly, there has been a gradual decrease in the proportion of domestic applications in recent years. The top ten entities that filed the most applications in 2008, based on the count of published applications, are Qualcomm, CSIR, Samsung, Philips Electronics, Thomson Licensing, General Electric, Ericsson, Nokia, Bayer, and General Motors. The Pharmaceutical sector continued to outperform other sectors among domestic candidates. Notably, the domestic vehicle industry saw remarkable expansion, may be attributed to the recent patent litigation between TVS Motors and Bajaj Auto, as well as the recent advancement of the Nano car by Tata Motors. Nevertheless, the Indian IT and Telecom sector is in a state of underperformance.

Zhao, Xiaoyang & Tan, Justin & Zhong, Shuxin. (2022)[7] studied on "An institutional view on the leverage of external patent law expertise and patenting performance: Insights from China". This study investigates how enterprises in China utilize legal resources within the institutional framework to enhance their patenting performance in a weak and transitional intellectual property regime. We demonstrate that the inadequate safeguarding of intellectual property rights in China leads companies to depend on outside legal assistance. This reliance on external legal resources is found to have a positive correlation with patenting performance in terms of the expertise in external patent law. However, it is negatively correlated with knowledge diversity. The impact of the level of external patent law experience on patenting performance is favorable when research and development (R&D) investment is low and negative when it is large, demonstrating the adverse relationship between R&D investment and the level of external patent law competence. In addition, the impact of the level of external patent legal competence on patenting performance is influenced by institutional pressure and support. This study enhances comprehension of the influence of patent institutions on patent strategy in transitioning economies and offers innovative implications for policy and patent administration.

Gurgula, Olga. (2020)[8] conducted a study on "Strategic Patenting by Pharmaceutical Companies – Should Competition Law Intervene?". The global impact of the COVID-19 epidemic has exacerbated the issue of timely and inexpensive access to medicines for thousands of individuals. Considering previous encounters with obtaining medications for life-threatening illnesses, there is a valid concern that patents may impede the accessibility of any future vaccines and therapies, resulting in exorbitant costs. Strategic patenting implemented by pharmaceutical firms is a common factor that often results in elevated prices. Although currently deemed legal, this paper contends that strategic patenting necessitates an overdue intervention by competition authorities and seeks to draw their attention to its detrimental consequences. Strategic patenting not only leads to high medicine prices but also hampers innovation for both originators and generic companies, thereby impeding dynamic competition. The essay delineates the existing methodology for strategic patenting and presents

 ISSN: 2582-6433

justifications for the involvement of competition law. Consequently, this will enable competition authorities to scrutinize this practice and mitigate its detrimental impact on medicine pricing and advancements in the pharmaceutical industry, ultimately benefiting consumer well-being.

Mittal, Rekha, and Singh, Gian (2005) [9], conducted a comprehensive study on patenting activities in the agriculture sector in India, aiming to analyze patterns and trends within the WTO era from January 1, 1995, to December 31, 2004. The research scrutinized 415 patents exclusively linked to agriculture by utilizing data from prominent databases such as USPTO, EPO, PCT, and Gazette of India Part III Section 2. By employing advanced search methods, the study elucidated the research priorities of various organizations and industries in specific agricultural domains. It identified key technological directions, emphasizing emerging fields like biotechnology, vaccines, and diagnostics, particularly focusing on improving farming practices in arid and non-irrigated regions. The study emphasized the need for diversification in agriculture, addressing shortfalls in the production of legumes and oilseeds, and aligning with the goals of the National Common Minimum Programme (NCMP) of the United Progressive Alliance (UPA) 1. The research underscored the significance of safeguarding intellectual property generated in research institutions and industries, highlighting the crucial role of patenting not only for financial benefits but also to foster future agricultural research and development in the changing landscape of intellectual property rights.

Fan, Di & Zhao, Long. (2022)[10] studied on “The role of business locations in international patenting”. Although worldwide patenting plays a crucial role in comprehending the spread of technology between countries, the impact of company locations on enterprises' international patenting behavior remains uncertain. This study examines the influence of business locations on worldwide patenting by analyzing data from the Management, Organization and Innovation survey 2009. The survey includes a representative sample of 1508 enterprises from twelve different countries. Our analysis yield multiple findings. Initially, companies that delegate tasks to foreign entities have a tendency to file patents in foreign countries rather than in their native country. Furthermore, companies are inclined to seek domestic patents when confronted with overseas rivals. Furthermore, firms are less inclined to seek patents when they are competing with other companies within their own country. These data suggest that companies utilize patents as a means of competing with international rivals.

Moussa, Bachar & Varsakelis, Nikos. (2022)[11] studied on "Causality Between International Trade and International Patenting: A Combination of Network Analysis and Granger Causality". This study use network analysis and Granger causality tests to investigate the potential causal connection between a nation's position in the global trade and worldwide patenting networks. This combination has not been previously investigated. The initial phase employs social network analysis on the international patenting and international trade networks, utilizing data from 82 nations spanning the years 1995 to 2018. The analysis of the international patenting network and international commerce network reveals that the frequency of patenting abroad and involvement in trade has increased over the observed period. Panel data Granger causality tests were conducted on the calculated metrics of the two networks in the second step. The findings from the Granger causality tests indicate a mutual causal relationship between a country's ranking as a recipient of foreign patents and its imports. This implies the presence of an endogeneity or feedback relationship between the influx of foreign patents and imports. Granger causality indicates that there is a causal relationship between the country's status as a sender of patents abroad to its exports, but not the other way around. The recent discovery suggests that patent holders, upon identifying potential economic prospects in a specific country, opt to first register their innovative ideas in that foreign country before engaging in the process of exporting.

Discussion

The collection of diverse research studies presented in this compilation provides a multifaceted exploration of intellectual property and related legal domains. Raza, Ali & Janiad's comparative study on patenting microorganisms in India and Pakistan not only sheds light on the legal frameworks but also addresses ethical concerns, offering a holistic view of the challenges and opportunities in the biotechnology sector. The international economic law examination by Andreas Lowenfeld navigates the complexities of the global economic system, evaluating key components and evolving perspectives. Gachayev's exploration of expropriation in international investment law, particularly focusing on intellectual property rights, delves into the delicate balance required to protect both investors and state sovereignty. Together, these studies provide a comprehensive understanding of the intricacies in patenting, economic law, and international investment, laying the groundwork for informed decision-making and further research.

The studies by Paul Goldstein on international copyright and Rekha Mittal and Gian Singh on patenting in the agriculture sector underscore the significance of intellectual property across diverse domains. Goldstein's work, which delves into the principles, law, and practice of international copyright, addresses the complexities of protecting artistic works globally. Meanwhile, Mittal and Singh's research in the agriculture sector highlights the role of patents in fostering innovation and improving farming practices. These studies collectively emphasize the need for a nuanced understanding of intellectual property rights and their application across various sectors, acknowledging the dynamic nature of these legal frameworks in a rapidly evolving global landscape.

Gurgula's examination of strategic patenting by pharmaceutical companies calls for intervention by competition authorities to ensure affordable access to medicines, especially in the context of global health crises like the COVID-19 pandemic. This study stands as a crucial call to action, urging policymakers and legal experts to address the balance between patent protection and public health. Additionally, the research on the role of business locations in international patenting by Fan and Zhao uncovers strategic considerations of enterprises, shedding light on the interconnectedness of business decisions and intellectual property strategies. As the world becomes increasingly interconnected, understanding these dynamics becomes essential for policymakers, legal practitioners, and businesses alike.

Conclusion

In conclusion, the compilation of research studies offers a rich tapestry of insights into various facets of intellectual property law, international economic law, and the dynamics of patenting across different sectors. From the nuanced examination of microbiological patenting in India and Pakistan to the comprehensive overview of international economic law, each study contributes to the broader understanding of legal frameworks that govern innovation, commerce, and global interactions. The studies collectively underscore the importance of maintaining a delicate balance between protecting intellectual property rights, fostering innovation, and addressing ethical considerations and public interests. The discussions on strategic patenting in the pharmaceutical sector, the impact of business locations on international patenting, and the complexities of expropriation in international investment law add depth to the discourse on intellectual property. These discussions prompt further reflection on the role of competition law, the need for regulatory interventions, and the delicate equilibrium required to navigate the complexities of global innovation and commerce. As the legal landscape evolves, these studies serve as valuable resources for policymakers, legal practitioners, scholars, and businesses navigating the intricate terrain of intellectual property. The insights provided by these studies contribute not only to academic scholarship but also inform practical decision-making, policy formulation, and the ongoing dialogue surrounding the intersection of law, innovation, and global economic dynamics.

---

1 Raza, Ali & Janiad, Sara. (2023). PATENTING MICROORGANISMS: A COMPARATIVE STUDY OF INDIA AND PAKISTAN. https://www.researchgate.net/publication/375913833

2 Lowenfeld, Andreas. (2023). International Economic Law, 10.1093/oso/9780199226931.001.0001.

3 Gachayev, Ramil. (2023). Expropriation in International Investment Law: A Focus on Intellectual Property Rights. https://www.researchgate.net/publication/374926283_

4 Goldstein, Paul. (2023). International Copyright: Principles, Law, and Practice. 10.1093/oso/9780195128857.001.0001., https://www.researchgate.net/publication/375155992

5 Rahman, Faizanur. (2022). Patenting Rights on Sport Moves in India, https://www.researchgate.net/publication/362541712

6 Rawat, Balwant. (2009). Patenting Landscape in India 2009. SSRN Electronic Journal. 10.2139/ssrn.1502421. https://www.researchgate.net/publication/228186174

7 Zhao, Xiaoyang & Tan, Justin & Zhong, Shuxin. (2022). An institutional view on the leverage of external patent law expertise and patenting performance: Insights from China. Journal of Innovation & Knowledge. 7. 100263. 10.1016/j.jik.2022.100263. https://www.researchgate.net/publication/363349096

8 Gurgula, Olga. (2020). Strategic Patenting by Pharmaceutical Companies – Should Competition Law Intervene?. IIC - International Review of Intellectual Property and Competition Law. 51. 1-24. 10.1007/s40319-020-00985-0.

9 Mittal, Rekha & Singh, Gian. (2005). Patenting activities in agriculture from India. Journal of Intellectual Property Rights. 10. 315-320.

10 Fan, Di & Zhao, Long. (2022). The role of business locations in international patenting. Journal of Economics. 139. 10.1007/s00712-022-00811-w. https://www.researchgate.net/publication/361084854

11 Moussa, Bachar & Varsakelis, Nikos. (2022). Causality Between International Trade and International Patenting: A Combination of Network Analysis and Granger Causality. Atlantic Economic Journal. 10.1007/s11293-022-09743-8.