

INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL FOR LEGAL RESEARCH AND ANALYSIS



Open Access, Refereed Journal Multi-Disciplinary
Peer Reviewed

www.ijlra.com

DISCLAIMER

No part of this publication may be reproduced or copied in any form by any means without prior written permission of Managing Editor of IJLRA. The views expressed in this publication are purely personal opinions of the authors and do not reflect the views of the Editorial Team of IJLRA.

Though every effort has been made to ensure that the information in Volume II Issue 7 is accurate and appropriately cited/referenced, neither the Editorial Board nor IJLRA shall be held liable or responsible in any manner what sever for any consequences for any action taken by anyone on the basis of information in the Journal.

Copyright © International Journal for Legal Research & Analysis

EDITORIALTEAM

EDITORS

Dr. Samrat Datta

Dr. Samrat Datta Seedling School of Law and Governance, Jaipur National University, Jaipur. Dr. Samrat Datta is currently associated with Seedling School of Law and Governance, Jaipur National University, Jaipur. Dr. Datta has completed his graduation i.e., B.A.LL.B. from Law College Dehradun, Hemvati Nandan Bahuguna Garhwal University, Srinagar, Uttarakhand. He is an alumnus of KIIT University, Bhubaneswar where he pursued his post-graduation (LL.M.) in Criminal Law and subsequently completed his Ph.D. in Police Law and Information Technology from the Pacific Academy of Higher Education and Research University, Udaipur in 2020. His area of interest and research is Criminal and Police Law. Dr. Datta has a teaching experience of 7 years in various law schools across North India and has held administrative positions like Academic Coordinator, Centre Superintendent for Examinations, Deputy Controller of Examinations, Member of the Proctorial Board



Dr. Namita Jain



Head & Associate Professor

School of Law, JECRC University, Jaipur Ph.D. (Commercial Law) LL.M., UGC-NET Post Graduation Diploma in Taxation law and Practice, Bachelor of Commerce.

Teaching Experience: 12 years, AWARDS AND RECOGNITION of Dr. Namita Jain are - ICF Global Excellence Award 2020 in the category of educationalist by I Can Foundation, India. India Women Empowerment Award in the category of "Emerging Excellence in Academics by Prime Time & Utkrish Bharat Foundation, New Delhi. (2020). Conferred in FL Book of Top 21 Record Holders in the category of education by Fashion Lifestyle Magazine, New Delhi. (2020). Certificate of Appreciation for organizing and managing the Professional Development Training Program on IPR in Collaboration with Trade Innovations Services, Jaipur on March 14th, 2019

Mrs.S.Kalpana

Assistant professor of Law

Mrs.S.Kalpana, presently Assistant professor of Law, VelTech Rangarajan Dr.Sagunthala R & D Institute of Science and Technology, Avadi. Formerly Assistant professor of Law,Vels University in the year 2019 to 2020, Worked as Guest Faculty, Chennai Dr.Ambedkar Law College, Pudupakkam. Published one book. Published 8Articles in various reputed Law Journals. Conducted 1Moot court competition and participated in nearly 80 National and International seminars and webinars conducted on various subjects of Law. Did ML in Criminal Law and Criminal Justice Administration.10 paper presentations in various National and International seminars. Attended more than 10 FDP programs. Ph.D. in Law pursuing.



Avinash Kumar



Avinash Kumar has completed his Ph.D. in International Investment Law from the Dept. of Law & Governance, Central University of South Bihar. His research work is on "International Investment Agreement and State's right to regulate Foreign Investment." He qualified UGC-NET and has been selected for the prestigious ICSSR Doctoral Fellowship. He is an alumnus of the Faculty of Law, University of Delhi. Formerly he has been elected as Students Union President of Law Centre-1, University of Delhi. Moreover, he completed his LL.M. from the University of Delhi (2014-16), dissertation on "Cross-border Merger & Acquisition"; LL.B. from the University of Delhi (2011-14), and B.A. (Hons.) from Maharaja Agrasen College, University of Delhi. He has also obtained P.G. Diploma in IPR from the Indian Society of International Law, New Delhi. He has qualified UGC – NET examination and has been awarded ICSSR – Doctoral Fellowship. He has published six-plus articles and presented 9 plus papers in national and international seminars/conferences. He participated in several workshops on research methodology and teaching and learning.

ABOUT US

INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL FOR LEGAL RESEARCH & ANALYSIS ISSN- 2582-6433 is an Online Journal is Monthly, Peer Review, Academic Journal, Published online, that seeks to provide an interactive platform for the publication of Short Articles, Long Articles, Book Review, Case Comments, Research Papers, Essay in the field of Law & Multidisciplinary issue. Our aim is to upgrade the level of interaction and discourse about contemporary issues of law. We are eager to become a highly cited academic publication, through quality contributions from students, academics, professionals from the industry, the bar and the bench. INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL FOR LEGAL RESEARCH & ANALYSIS ISSN 2582-6433 welcomes contributions from all legal branches, as long as the work is original, unpublished and is in consonance with the submission guidelines.

THE INTERSECTION OF TRADEMARKS AND ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE: CHALLENGES IN AUTOMATED BRANDING AND CONTENT CREATION

AUTHORED BY - CHIRAG V & ANKITHA H P¹

ABSTRACT:

In today's rapidly evolving technological landscape, artificial intelligence (AI) systems are becoming increasingly powerful and poised to generate extraordinary discoveries of their own. This poses a significant challenge to the conventional understanding of intellectual property rights (IPR), including patents and trademarks, as well as questions about the regulation of these creations. AI not only provides unique opportunities but also creates challenges for trademark and brand owners. AI can help brand owners through the process of generating unique logos, brand names, slogans, and other elements to enhance the brand's identity and company value. However, with benefits come the risks of trademark infringement, online brand abuse, and product counterfeiting. It is aimed to provide information on the expansion of IPR law in the context of AI, explore a global perspective on the issue, and address emerging issues such as criminal liability for AI-generated content. It critically examines the impact of AI growth on trademarks, explores historical developments, and discusses ethical implications and possible legal reforms. Through this comprehensive analysis, the paper seeks to gain a new understanding of the complex relationship between AI and trademarks rights, anticipate future legal trends, and suggest recommendations for policymakers.

KEYWORDS:

Artificial Intelligence (AI); Intellectual Property Rights (IPR); Trademark Law; Brand Protection; Infringement Risks; AI-Generated Content; Legal Reforms; Ethical Implications; Global Perspective; Criminal Liability.

HYPOTHESIS:

1. The proliferation of AI-generated content could dilute the distinctiveness of established trademarks.

¹ Student of St. Joseph's college of law, Bangalore

2. Legal standards for trademarks will need to adapt in response to the unique challenges posed by AI-generated content.

RESEARCH QUESTION:

1. How does artificial intelligence influence and shape modern trademark law?
2. what are the legal implications and considerations of using AI in trademark?
3. Can AI-Driven Keyword Advertising Lead To Trademark Infringement?

SCOPE OF RESEARCH:

In this rapidly changing world of Intellectual Property law, artificial intelligence is transforming trademark law by challenging long-held beliefs. The intersection between trademark law and artificial intelligence providing excess to opportunities and challenges, making a deep understanding of both present developments and the potential long-term effects. Artificial Intelligence has transformed trademark management processes by offering exceptional levels of efficiency and accuracy in work such as trademark monitoring, enforcement and research. These technologies carry on to proceed at a never-before-seen pace. Trademark law experts can efficiently see conflicts and infringement by the use of artificial intelligence. AI inspired solutions that facilitate accurate browsing of long databases. However passionate digital platform observation given by AI powered real time brands monitoring solutions enables trademark owners to safeguard their companies in a progressively connected world However, these types of evolutions also raise challenging legal issues, such as how to resolve legal ambivalence, who is liable for trademark infringement when AI creates the brand, and larger ethical and legal repercussions. In light of this, as AI keeping up to transforming the world of trademark law. It is imperative to look at recent legislative and legal changes as well as possible future challenges and opportunities.

METHODOLOGY:

The study done for this paper is doctrinal as well as analytical in nature Doctrinal research allows for an in-depth analysis of legal texts, statutes, and precedents, providing a solid foundation for understanding the existing legal framework. Qualitative methods, on the other hand, enable the exploration of nuanced aspects such as social context, attitudes, and perceptions, enriching the research with a holistic perspective. This combined approach ensures a robust and multifaceted examination, contributing to a more nuanced and thorough research outcome.

INTRODUCTION

A 'trademark' is a mark which is capable of being represented graphically and capable of distinguishing the goods or services of one person from those of others, and may include the shape of goods or their packaging and combinations of colours.² It can be a distinctive symbol, word, phrase, design, or combination thereof that identifies and distinguishes the goods or services of one party from those of others in the marketplace.³ Essentially, it's a recognisable sign or indicator that helps consumers identify the source of a product or service and differentiate it from competitors.

The term 'trademark' can refer to both trademarks and service marks. A trademark is used for products, whereas a service mark is used for services.⁴ A widespread misconception is that holding a trademark gives you legal ownership of a specific word or phrase and allows you to prevent others from using it. However, you do not have generic rights to the word or phrase, only to how it is used in relation to your specific goods or services.⁵ For example, let's say you use a logo as a trademark for your small woodworking business to identify and distinguish your goods or services from others in the woodworking field. You can still use a similar logo for non-woodworking-related goods or services.⁶ Another widespread misperception is that using a trademark that simply defines your products or services is successful. Creative and distinctive trademarks are more successful and easier to protect.⁷

1. Role of AI in Trademark Law

As we delve deeper into the intersection of AI and trademark law, we find that AI's capabilities are being harnessed in several key areas, including trademark search and registration automation, infringement detection, and brand protection.⁸

Automation in Trademark Law

One of the most significant applications of AI in trademark law is in the automation of

² The Trade Marks Act, 1999, § 2(zb) (India)

³ World Intellectual Property Organization (WIPO), *What is a Trademark?*, available at <https://www.wipo.int/trademarks/en/> (last accessed Oct. 2025).

⁴ Indian Trade Marks Act, 1999 — distinguishing "trademark" and "service mark" under § 2(1)(zb) and § 2(1)(z).

⁵ U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, *Protecting Your Trademark: Enhancing Your Rights Through Federal Registration* (USPTO, 2019).

⁶ Ibid

⁷ WIPO, *Distinctive Signs and Marks: Understanding Creativity in Trademarks*, WIPO Magazine, Issue 3 (2022).

⁸ T. Sengupta, *Artificial Intelligence in Intellectual Property Law: The Next Frontier*, (2023) 12 *Indian J. of Intellectual Property Rights* 45

trademark search and registration.⁹ Traditionally, searching for existing trademarks and registering a new one has been time-consuming and complex, requiring the manual review of vast databases. AI is revolutionizing this process with its ability to process and analyze large volumes of data quickly and accurately.¹⁰ AI-powered search tools can scan through millions of trademark records in a fraction of the time it would take a human, identifying potential conflicts and providing a comprehensive report on the availability of a proposed trademark.¹¹ Moreover, AI can assist in the registration process, helping to classify goods and services correctly, a crucial step in trademark registration.¹² By automating these processes, AI saves time and resources and reduces the risk of errors, making the trademark search and registration process more efficient and reliable.

AI in Trademark Infringement Detection

Another critical area where AI is making a significant impact is in detecting trademark infringements.¹³ Trademark infringement occurs when a party uses a mark that is identical or confusingly similar to a registered trademark, leading to consumer confusion.

AI algorithms can be trained to monitor the internet, including e-commerce sites, social media platforms, and other digital spaces, for potential infringements. They can identify counterfeit products, unauthorized use of logos, or misuse of brand names, alerting companies to possible violations in real-time.¹⁴

Several case studies highlight the effectiveness of AI in infringement detection. For instance, Red Points, a brand protection company, uses AI to detect and report online counterfeits, achieving a high success rate in protecting their clients' brands.¹⁵

AI in Brand Protection

Beyond detection, AI also plays a crucial role in proactive brand protection. AI's predictive

⁹ S. Menon, *Automation and IP: AI Applications in Trademark Search and Registration*, (2021) 4 *Journal of Law, Technology and Policy* 233.

¹⁰ M. David, "AI-Powered Trademark Search Tools: Enhancing Efficiency and Accuracy," *World Trademark Review* (2022)

¹¹ European Union Intellectual Property Office (EUIPO), *AI in Intellectual Property: Trends and Applications*, Technical Report (2021).

¹² WIPO, *AI and IP Policy Report*, WIPO Conversation on Intellectual Property and Artificial Intelligence, 2020

¹³ Red Points, *Brand Intelligence Report: The Role of AI in Brand Protection*, (2022).

¹⁴ *Ibid.*

¹⁵ C. Miller, *Proactive Brand Protection through Predictive Analytics*, (2021) 15 *Journal of Brand Management* 117

capabilities can be harnessed to anticipate potential threats to a brand.¹⁶ For example, AI can analyze patterns in data to predict where infringements are likely to occur, allowing companies to take preventive measures.

Furthermore, AI can assist in monitoring and enforcing trademark rights. AI-powered tools can continuously scan the digital landscape for potential infringements, ensuring swift action can be taken to protect a company's brand.¹⁷ This proactive approach to brand protection can save companies significant time and resources while safeguarding their reputation and customer trust.¹⁸

2. Legal Implications and Considerations: The integration of artificial intelligence (AI) in trademark creation, enforcement, and infringement detection introduces several legal implications and considerations that both brand owners and legal practitioners need to address.¹⁹

A. Data Privacy and Security

Data privacy and security are critical concerns in the digital age, encompassing the protection of personal information and the prevention of unauthorised access, use, or disclosure of sensitive data.²⁰ Organisations and individuals must implement robust measures to safeguard data privacy and security, ensuring compliance with laws and regulations while maintaining trust with stakeholders.²¹

Data privacy focuses on individuals' rights to control their personal information, including collecting only necessary data, obtaining consent for its use, and ensuring transparency about how data is handled. For example, the General Data Protection Regulation (GDPR) in the European Union requires organisations to obtain explicit consent before processing individuals' personal data.²² Data security, on the other hand, involves protecting data from unauthorised access, alteration, or destruction, encompassing encryption, access controls, and

¹⁶ A. Bose, *The Legal Implications of AI in Intellectual Property Law*, (2023) 9 *NALSAR TechLaw Review* 56

¹⁷ Regulation (EU) 2016/679, General Data Protection Regulation (GDPR), art. 6(1).

¹⁸ *Ibid.* art. 32

¹⁹ D. Banerjee, *Cybersecurity and Data Privacy: Legal Perspectives*, (2022) 5 *Indian J. of Law & Tech* 89.

²⁰ R. Jain, "Ensuring Data Security in IP Management Systems," *Indian Journal of Cyber Law* (2021).

²¹ OECD, *AI Principles: Transparency and Accountability in Automated Systems*, (2021).

²² M. Raghavan, "Algorithmic Fairness and Reliability in Legal Decision-Making," *Harvard Journal of Law & Technology* Vol. 34 (2021).

cybersecurity measures.²³

Effective data privacy and security practices require a combination of technical, organisational, and legal measures.²⁴ Organisations must establish comprehensive data protection policies, provide training to employees, and regularly update security measures to adapt to evolving threats.²⁵

(i) Accuracy and Reliability

AI algorithms used for trademark-related tasks, such as trademark searches and infringement detection, must demonstrate a high level of accuracy and reliability to support legal decision-making.²⁶ Assessing the transparency, accountability, and fairness of AI systems is essential to ensure their outputs align with legal standards and requirements.²⁷

Intellectual Property Ownership

Clarifying the ownership of intellectual property rights in AI-generated trademarks or trademark-related data is critical, particularly in cases where AI algorithms contribute to the creation or modification of trademarks.²⁸ Establishing clear contractual agreements between AI developers, users, and brand owners can help address issues related to ownership, licensing, and use rights.²⁹

Ownership of intellectual property confers several benefits—it enables individuals and companies to monetize their IP through licensing, sales, or commercialization, driving revenue and funding further innovation.³⁰ However, IP ownership also comes with responsibilities, including active enforcement and management to prevent infringement and piracy.³¹

²³ R. Bently & B. Sherman, *Intellectual Property Law* (5th ed., Oxford University Press, 2022), p. 130–135.

²⁴ S. Ramachandran, *AI-Generated Works and IP Ownership*, (2023) 10 *Indian Journal of Intellectual Property Law* 87.

²⁵ WIPO, *Ownership and Licensing of AI-Generated Intellectual Property*, WIPO Discussion Paper, 2022.

²⁶ M. Blakeney, *Intellectual Property Enforcement: A Commentary*, (Edward Elgar, 2021).

²⁷ C. Seville, “Digital Innovation and IP Ownership: Challenges in the AI Age,” *Journal of World Intellectual Property*, Vol. 24 (2021).

²⁸ *Louis Vuitton Malletier v. Google France SARL*, Joined Cases C-236/08 to C-238/08, [2010] E.C.R. I-02417 (CJEU).

²⁹ *Cosmetic Warriors Ltd. & Lush Ltd. v. Amazon.co.uk Ltd. & Amazon EU Sarl* [2014] EWHC 181 (Ch).

³⁰ J. Hughes, “AI and Trademark Liability in Keyword Advertising,” *European Intellectual Property Review* (2022).

³¹ S. Mehta, “Algorithmic Branding and the Transformation of Trademark Law,” (2023) *Journal of Intellectual Property and Innovation* 45.

Furthermore, the digital age poses new challenges for IP ownership, including the difficulty of protecting digital inventions and trademarks online.³² Balancing IP protection with the free flow of information for the advancement of knowledge and innovation is a complex issue that continues to evolve.³³

In conclusion, intellectual property ownership remains a cornerstone of the modern economy, incentivizing creativity and innovation while requiring vigilant management in a global and digital landscape.³⁴

3. Can AI-Driven Keyword Advertising Lead to Trademark Infringement?

While the issue of AI and trademark liability has not yet stepped into the spotlight of legal courts, prior rulings by the Court of Justice of the European Union (CJEU) provide insight.³⁵ The central issue in *Louis Vuitton v. Google France* concerned keyword advertising and the automated selection of terms within Google's AdWords system. The court determined that Google may be held liable for trademark infringement if it actively participated in the keyword advertising system.³⁶

Similarly, the UK High Court in *Cosmetic Warriors Ltd. and Lush Ltd. v. Amazon.co.uk Ltd.* held Amazon liable for violating Lush's trademarks when users searching for "LUSH" were redirected to Amazon listings for non-Lush products.

The rise of AI is undeniably affecting trademark law and other IP regulations. It's plausible that fundamental principles of trademark law may undergo transformation in AI-driven markets, requiring reinterpretation of concepts such as "likelihood of confusion" and the "average consumer."

In *Cadila Healthcare Ltd. v. Cadila Pharmaceuticals Ltd.*, the Supreme Court of India defined the "average consumer" as a person of average intelligence with imperfect recollection. However, AI systems lack "imperfect recollection" or "average intelligence," suggesting that

³² *Cadila Health Care Ltd. v. Cadila Pharmaceuticals Ltd.*, (2001) 5 SCC 73.

³³ *Ibid.*, ¶ 33–36

³⁴ S. Bhattacharya, *Evolving Standards of Consumer Perception in Trademark Law*, (2024) 8 *NLIU Law Review* 67.

³⁵ OECD AI Policy Observatory, *AI and Legal Accountability: Emerging Trends* (2024).

³⁶ WIPO, *Artificial Intelligence and IP Policy: Emerging Concepts of the Artificial Consumer*, (2023).

courts may one day assess trademark confusion from the perspective of an “artificial consumer.”

As technology advances, judicial interpretation of trademark law will evolve accordingly, possibly recognizing algorithmic influence on consumer perception and trademark use.

SUGGESTIONS:

- We strongly believe that the challenges of AI with the intersection of Trademarks can be Improved by the following:
- To Adopt AI tools Responsibly
- Prioritise Data Privacy Compliance
- To maintain Human Oversight in Legal Decisions
- To understand the Limits of AI- Generated Trademarks
- To stay updated on Evolving Legal Standards
- Users must evaluate AI systems for Bias, Transparency, and Accuracy.

CONCLUSION

The intricate landscape of trademarks combined with the swiftly advancing realm of artificial intelligence (AI) creates a blend of both opportunities and challenges. This intersection leads to a perplexing scenario where the increasing capabilities of AI meet traditional trademark concepts. At its core, this union brings both hurdles and prospects. The challenges are evident: the vague notion of distinctiveness in trademarks generated by AI, the complicated task of determining liability in cases of infringement by autonomous systems, and the unsettling risk of trademark dilution due to AI-produced content. These issues introduce a level of uncertainty that calls for thoughtful analysis and innovative solutions.

In conclusion, considering the development of AI, the face of IP laws, including trademark laws, will change rapidly. Therefore, it is certain that as AI programs continue to advance, their influence on IP laws—including trademark law—will only increase. Currently, predicting the exact solutions to these emerging issues remains challenging. Hence, it would not be prudent to compel AI to conform to the existing trademark or intellectual property laws. Rather, the focus should be on gaining a detailed comprehension that trademark laws require interpretation and might even require amendments to handle new elements introduced by AI, particularly in

trademark disputes. Furthermore, it is particularly important, because these technological advancements must not become obstacles in reducing monopolies and promoting fair competition and the assurance of quality products for consumers. The primary purpose of trademark law should be to serve as a facilitative tool for these objectives rather than an obstacle.

BIBLIOGRAPHY:

1. Gribincea A. Intellectual Property Rights to an Artificial Intelligence Product, 2020, 27(4). Doi:10.37635/JNALSU.27(4).2020.231-241.
2. Sully SE. JSTOR: An IP Practitioner's Perspective. 1997. doi:10.1045/JANUARY97-SULLY
3. WIPO: Artificial Intelligence and Intellectual Property [Internet]. Available from: https://www.wipo.int/about-IP/en/frontier_technologies/ai_and_ip.html.
4. KS Legal & Associates: IPR and Artificial Intelligence [Internet]. Available from: <https://ksandk.com/ipr/ipr-And-artificial-intelligence/>.
5. USPTO Report on AI and IP [Internet]. Available from: <https://www.uspto.gov/about-us/news-updates/uspto-releases-report-artificial-intelligence-and-intellectual-property>.

IJLRA