INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL FOR LEGAL RESEARCH AND ANALYSIS

Open Access, Refereed Journal Multi Disciplinary
Peer Reviewed

www.ijlra.com

 ISSN: 2582-6433

DISCLAIMER

 ISSN: 2582-6433

EDITORIALTEAM

EDITORS

Dr. Samrat Datta

Dr. Samrat Datta Seedling School of Law and Governance, Jaipur National University, Jaipur.Dr. Samrat Datta is currently associated with Seedling School of Law and Governance, Jaipur National University, Jaipur. Dr. Datta has completed his graduation i.e., B.A.LL.B. from Law College Dehradun, Hemvati Nandan Bahuguna Garhwal University, Srinagar, Uttarakhand. He is an alumnus of KIIT University, Bhubaneswar where he pursued his post-graduation (LL.M.) in Criminal Law and subsequently completed his Ph.D. in Police Law and Information Technology from the Pacific Academy of Higher Education and Research University, Udaipur in 2020. His area of interest and research is Criminal and Police Law. Dr. Datta has a teaching experience of 7 years in various law schools across North India and has held administrative positions like Academic Coordinator, Centre Superintendent for Examinations, Deputy Controller of Examinations, Member of the Proctorial Board

Dr. Namita Jain

Head & Associate Professor

School of Law, JECRC University, Jaipur Ph.D. (Commercial Law) LL.M., UGC -NET Post Graduation Diploma in Taxation law and Practice, Bachelor of Commerce.

Teaching Experience: 12 years, AWARDS AND RECOGNITION of Dr. Namita Jain are - ICF Global Excellence Award 2020 in the category of educationalist by I Can Foundation, India.India Women Empowerment Award in the category of "Emerging Excellence in Academics by Prime Time &Utkrisht Bharat Foundation, New Delhi.(2020). Conferred in FL Book of Top 21 Record Holders in the category of education by Fashion Lifestyle Magazine, New Delhi. (2020).Certificate of Appreciation for organizing and managing the Professional Development Training Program on IPR in Collaboration with Trade Innovations Services, Jaipur on March 14th, 2019

 ISSN: 2582-6433

Mrs.S.Kalpana

Assistant professor of Law

Mrs.S.Kalpana, presently Assistant professor of Law, VelTech Rangarajan Dr.Sagunthala R & D Institute of Science and Technology, Avadi.Formerly Assistant professor of Law,Vels University in the year 2019 to 2020, Worked as Guest Faculty, Chennai Dr.Ambedkar Law College, Pudupakkam. Published one book. Published 8Articles in various reputed Law Journals. Conducted 1Moot court competition and participated in nearly 80 National and International seminars and webinars conducted on various subjects of Law. Did ML in Criminal Law and Criminal Justice Administration.10 paper presentations in various National and International seminars. Attended more than 10 FDP programs. Ph.D. in Law pursuing.

Avinash Kumar

Avinash Kumar has completed his Ph.D. in International Investment Law from the Dept. of Law & Governance, Central University of South Bihar. His research work is on "International Investment Agreement and State's right to regulate Foreign Investment." He qualified UGC-NET and has been selected for the prestigious ICSSR Doctoral Fellowship.He is an alumnus of the Faculty of Law, University of Delhi. Formerly he has been elected as Students Union President of Law Centre-1, University of Delhi.Moreover, he completed his LL.M. from the University of Delhi (2014-16), dissertation on "Cross-border Merger & Acquisition"; LL.B. from the University of Delhi (2011-14), and B.A. (Hons.) from Maharaja Agrasen College, University of Delhi. He has also obtained P.G. Diploma in IPR from the Indian Society of International Law, New Delhi.He has qualified UGC – NET examination and has been awarded ICSSR – Doctoral Fellowship. He has published six-plus articles and presented 9 plus papers in national and international seminars/conferences. He participated in several workshops on research methodology and teaching and learning.

 ISSN: 2582-6433

ABOUT US

INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL FOR LEGAL RESEARCH & ANLAYSIS ISSN

2582-6433 is an Online Journal is Monthly, Peer Review, Academic Journal, Published online, that seeks to provide an interactive platform for the publication of Short Articles, Long Articles, Book Review, Case Comments, Research Papers, Essay in the field of Law & Multidisciplinary issue. Our aim is to upgrade the level of interaction and discourse about contemporary issues of law. We are eager to become a highly cited academic publication, through quality contributions from students, academics, professionals from the industry, the bar and the bench. INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL FOR LEGAL RESEARCH & ANALYSIS ISSN 2582-6433 welcomes contributions from all legal branches, as long as the work is original, unpublished and is in consonance with the submission guidelines.

 ISSN: 2582-6433

INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY RIGHTS AND FARMER'S RIGHT IN INDIA: A CRITICAL ANALYSIS

AUTHORED BY - SOURABH BATAR[1] & PROF. (DR.) HARBANSH DIXIT[2]

Abstract:

This research paper critically analyzes the intersection of Farmer's Rights and Intellectual Property Rights (IPRs) in India. It delves into the historical background of agricultural practices in India, the emergence of IPRs in the agricultural sector, and the legal frameworks governing Farmer's Rights and IPRs. Through a comprehensive review of existing literature and legal provisions, this paper examines the challenges faced by farmers in safeguarding their rights amidst the expansion of intellectual property regimes. It also explores the implications of international agreements and domestic policies on farmer's autonomy, seed sovereignty, and agricultural biodiversity. Furthermore, the paper discusses potential strategies and policy recommendations to ensure equitable access to genetic resources, fair compensation for farmers, and the protection of traditional knowledge in India's agricultural landscape.

Keywords: - India, Intellectual Property, Farmer's rights, Plant varieties, Breeders.

Introduction:

The economic, social, and political foundation of society in countries that are developing is heavily influenced by farmers.[3] Agriculture contributes to India's way of life and as a source of employment. People who are dependent on agriculture for their daily sustenance live in India's rural areas. In India, agricultural activity is primarily practiced in rural areas where small-scale farmers influence food production by employing conventional farming methods.

Before the TRIPS Agreement, India did not offer any kind of intellectual property protection for plants. The Indian Patent Act prohibits the patenting of plants, animals, seeds, varieties, and, basically, all biological processes other than microorganisms. This provision conforms to

[1] Research Scholar, Teerthanker Mahaveer College of Law and Legal Studies, Teerthanker Mahaveer University.
[2] Professor & Dean, Teerthanker Mahaveer College of Law and Legal Studies, Teerthanker Mahaveer University.
[3] Anshu Pratap Singh and Padmavati Machikanti, "Sui-Generis IPR Laws Vis-À-Vis Farmers' Rights in Some Asian Countries: Implications under the WTO" 16 *Journal of Intellectual Property Rights* 107-116 (2011).

 ISSN: 2582-6433

the TRIPS requirement set forth in Article 27.3(b). India made an effort to harmonize the TRIPS and CBD rules while protecting plant varieties. India passed the Protection of Plant Varieties and Farmers' Rights Act in 2001 as a result, of adopting a sue generis system.

The term Sui generis is a Latin phrase that means "of its own kind or genus" and thus "unique in its characteristics." It exists in opposition to any rule or system that is universalized or globalized, or, to put it another way, anything that infringes on or causes a threat to supersede its own particularity. In the conceptualization of farmer's rights in general and in the Indian Protection of Plant Variety and Farmer's Rights (PPV&FR), 2001 legislation in particular, the heading refers to the subversion of this particularity.

Within a specific global law framework, farmer's rights discussions first emerged in the middle of the 1980s, ensuring that numerous sui generis histories underwent a discursive delimitation at the time. It is extremely important to place farmer's rights within the broader framework of political shifts that occurred in the late 1980s and early 1990s. Plant breeding in India was primarily the responsibility of the public sector until the late 1980s.[4] However, in the late 1980s, there was an evident change in perspective brought on by policy changes that led to the privatization of resources and the liberalization of the economy. In accordance with these larger trends, the notion that plant breeder's rights and the privatization of the seed industry would make it easier for new breeding technology to enter the market quickly spread throughout various policy circles and started to influence institutional thinking and design. The commodification of seeds over time and the privatization of plant genetic resources were two additional worldwide trends that correlated with these local ones, showing a relationship between capital accumulation and the protection of plant varieties.[5]

Historical Context:

The historical context of agriculture in India reflects a rich tapestry of communal farming practices deeply rooted in indigenous wisdom and sustainability. Traditional farming communities across India embraced a collective ethos that emphasized sharing seeds, knowledge, and resources among farmers. This collaborative approach fostered not only

[4] Sachin Chaturvedi, "Agricultural Biotechnology and New Trends in IPR Regime: Challenges Before Developing Countries" 37 *Economic and Political Weekly* 1212-1222 (2002).
[5] David Goodman and Michael Redclif, "Refashioning Nature: Food, Ecology and Culture" 37 *New York: Routledge* 27-38 (1991).

 ISSN: 2582-6433

sustenance but also a culture of innovation and resilience. Through generations, these communities meticulously preserved and refined indigenous knowledge systems, which formed the bedrock of agricultural practices, biodiversity conservation, and cultural heritage. The arrival of Intellectual Property Rights marked a significant shift in the agricultural landscape of India. With the introduction of patents, plant variety protection, and the incorporation of trade-related aspects of intellectual property rights (TRIPS), there emerged a transformation in the perception and treatment of agricultural resources.[6] The paradigm shift triggered by the IPR regimes raised fundamental questions about the commodification and privatization of resources that were traditionally considered common heritage or communal property.

The implementation of patents and plant variety protection systems altered the dynamics of seed ownership and control. Seeds, once freely exchanged and shared among farming communities, became subject to legal ownership, often held by corporations or entities that developed or modified them.[7] This transformation challenged the inherent ethos of communal farming practices, posing threats to farmer's autonomy, seed sovereignty, and the diversity of crop varieties cultivated across the nation.[8]Additionally, the incorporation of TRIPS into the global intellectual property framework compelled changes in domestic laws to comply with international standards. While these standards aimed to harmonize intellectual property protection globally, they raised concerns about the impact on farmer's rights, particularly regarding access to seeds, genetic resources, and traditional knowledge. The clash between traditional communal practices and the evolving IPR systems led to a contentious debate over the implications of privatization, commercialization, and exclusive rights in the agricultural domain.

The shift towards commodification of agricultural resources under Intellectual Property Rights also gave rise to issues of biopiracy, where corporations or entities sought patents or ownership claims over traditional knowledge or genetic resources held by indigenous communities without providing fair compensation or recognition. This phenomenon further underscored the

[6] Sunita K Sreedharan, "Agricultural Research Vis-À-Vis the Cresting IPR Wave in the 21st Century" 16 *Journal of Intellectual Property Rights* 124-129 (2011).
[7] Sanjit Kumar Chakraborty, "Contestation Over the Ownership, Use and Control of Plant Genetic Resources" 60 *Journal of the Indian Law Institute* 369-388 (2018).
[8] Mami Nagashima, Yoshiaki Nishikawa, *et.al.*, "Seed System Dynamics and Crop Diversity of Chinbaung in Myanmar", in Kazuo Watanabe (ed.), *Seeds for Diversity and Inclusion* 91-105 (Springer Nature, 3rd edn., 2015).

 ISSN: 2582-6433

pressing need to strike a balance between incentivizing innovation and safeguarding the collective heritage and rights of farming communities. In essence, the advent of Intellectual Property Rights in India's agricultural sphere ushered in a new era, challenging age-old communal practices and raising pertinent concerns about the equitable distribution of benefits, protection of traditional knowledge, and the preservation of agricultural diversity. Navigating this complex terrain requires a nuanced approach that reconciles the imperatives of intellectual property protection with the imperative to uphold farmer's rights, preserve indigenous knowledge, and ensure sustainable agricultural practices for future generations.

Legislative Framework:

India has taken significant strides in formulating legislative frameworks to address the complex interplay between farmer's rights and intellectual property rights, and the preservation of traditional knowledge associated with biodiversity. One of the key legislations in this domain is the Protection of Plant Varieties and Farmer's Rights Act (PPV&FR Act). Enacted in 2001, this Act aims to protect the rights of both plant breeders and farmers. It recognizes and safeguards the rights of farmers in traditional seeds and promotes the development of new plant varieties by breeders. However, despite its intentions, challenges persist in its effective implementation.

Enforcement remains a considerable hurdle in the effective application of these laws. The lack of robust enforcement mechanisms often leads to violations of plant breeders' rights and undermines the protection afforded to farmers' rights.[9]Additionally, inadequate awareness among farmers and other stakeholders about their rights under these laws poses a significant challenge. Many farmers, especially in remote or rural areas, might not have sufficient knowledge or resources to assert their rights or navigate the legal processes provided by these Acts.[10]Another critical challenge arises from the interface between traditional agricultural practices and modern intellectual property systems. Balancing the need to protect traditional knowledge while simultaneously integrating it into the formal intellectual property framework is a complex task. The Biological Diversity Act and the Traditional Knowledge Digital Library have been established to protect traditional knowledge associated with biodiversity. However,

[9] Sumit Chakravarty, "Farmers Rights in Conserving Plant Biotechnology with Special Reference to North East India" 13 Journal of Intellectual Property Rights 225-233 (2008).
[10] Jackson John H (ed.), The Jurisprudence of the GATT and the WTO, Insights of Treaty Law and Economic Relations 335 (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 4th edn., 2007).

 ISSN: 2582-6433

the harmonization of traditional practices with modern intellectual property concepts often encounters friction due to differing perspectives, valuation methods, and criteria for recognition and protection.

Furthermore, the evolving nature of technology and the globalization of markets pose dynamic challenges to these laws. The rapid advancements in biotechnology and genetic engineering raise new questions about the scope and applicability of existing legislation, necessitating continuous updates and adaptations to ensure relevance and effectiveness.

Challenges and Controversies:

The coexistence of Farmer's Rights and Intellectual Property Rights in India is riddled with multifaceted challenges and contentious issues that significantly impact farmer's autonomy, seed sovereignty, and traditional knowledge systems. One of the primary concerns is the widespread proliferation of genetically modified organisms (GMOs), which have raised debates regarding their impact on the environment, human health, and farmers' rights. The introduction of GMOs often comes with potential risks, including genetic contamination of traditional crops and dependency on specific seeds, limiting farmer's choices and autonomy in selecting seeds best suited for their local environments.[11]

Biopiracy is a pressing issue that requires international attention and robust regulatory frameworks to address the unauthorized use or exploitation of biological resources and traditional knowledge. The impact of such actions extends beyond mere infringement, as it fundamentally undermines the rights of indigenous communities and farmers who have played a crucial role in conserving and developing these resources over generations. The lack of fair and equitable benefit-sharing arrangements exacerbates the injustice, as external entities profit from commercial gains without providing adequate compensation to the custodians of traditional knowledge.

Furthermore, the problem is compounded by the phenomenon of seed monopolization, driven by the imposition of strict intellectual property regimes. Multinational corporations and seed companies wield considerable influence by holding patents or rights over specific seed varieties. This monopoly severely restricts farmers' autonomy to save, exchange, or sell seeds,

[11] Neil Wilkof and Shamnad Basheer (eds.), *Overlapping IP Rights* 99 (Oxford University Press, New Delhi, 1st edn, 2013).

 ISSN: 2582-6433

posing a direct threat to seed sovereignty and agricultural diversity. Traditional practices of seed saving and sharing, which have been integral to farming communities for centuries, are undermined as a result.

To address these challenges effectively, it is crucial to establish international agreements that prioritize fair benefit-sharing mechanisms, ensuring that the communities or individuals holding traditional knowledge receive just compensation for the use of biological resources. Simultaneously, efforts should be made to reassess and reform intellectual property regimes related to seeds, promoting a more balanced approach that safeguards farmers' rights to save and exchange seeds while also encouraging innovation and sustainable agricultural practices.[12] This holistic approach is essential for fostering a more equitable and sustainable relationship between external entities, indigenous communities, and farmers, ultimately contributing to the conservation of biodiversity and the preservation of traditional farming practices.

The prevailing unequal power dynamics among multinational corporations, seed companies, and small-scale farmers exacerbate the difficulties faced by the latter group. Small-scale farmers, often constrained by limited resources and bargaining power, find themselves in a precarious position when negotiating terms related to access to seeds, technology, and markets. This inherent power asymmetry gives rise to conflicts over the ownership and control of genetic resources, leaving farmers vulnerable to the potential loss of their rights and increasing dependence on external sources for seeds and agricultural inputs.

The consequences of these challenges extend beyond the immediate concerns of individual farmers. The sustainability of agriculture is jeopardized, as the dominance of external entities threatens the diversity of crops and traditional farming practices. Additionally, the preservation of invaluable traditional knowledge systems is at risk, as small-scale farmers may be compelled to abandon time-tested practices in the face of external pressures.[13]

To address these pressing issues, it is imperative to rectify the imbalances in power dynamics. This involves implementing measures that ensure fair and equitable benefit-sharing between all stakeholders, with a particular emphasis on safeguarding the rights and autonomy of small-

[12] Neil Wilkof and Shamnad Basheer (eds.), *Overlapping IP Rights* **93** (Oxford University Press, New Delhi, 1st edn. 2013).
[13] Suman Sahai, Plant Variety Protection and Farmers' Rights Law, *Economic and Political Weekly* 3338-3342 (2001).

 ISSN: 2582-6433

scale farmers. Strengthening regulatory frameworks to prevent biopiracy is crucial in creating an environment that discourages unauthorized use or exploitation of biological resources and traditional knowledge. Moreover, promoting agricultural practices that prioritize farmers' autonomy and sovereignty over their seeds and resources is essential for fostering a sustainable and equitable agricultural system.

Ultimately, achieving a delicate balance between protecting intellectual property rights and safeguarding farmers' rights and traditional knowledge requires a comprehensive approach. This approach should not only address the immediate challenges but also strive to reshape the broader agricultural landscape by promoting fairness, inclusivity, and sustainability in the relationships between multinational corporations, seed companies, and small-scale farmers. Only through such concerted efforts can we hope to create a more resilient and just agricultural system that benefits all stakeholders involved.

The Way Forward:

Addressing the intricate dynamics between farmers' rights and intellectual property rights (IPRs) demands a comprehensive and balanced approach that appreciates the importance of incentivizing innovation while safeguarding the fundamental interests of farmers. Achieving this delicate equilibrium requires the adoption of several key measures and strategies.

First and foremost, a crucial aspect involves the reinforcement of community-based rights. Empowering local communities and indigenous groups necessitates the acknowledgment and protection of their collective rights over traditional knowledge and genetic resources. Recognizing the historical contributions of these communities to agricultural practices and biodiversity conservation is paramount. Providing legal recognition and control over their resources not only validates their role as custodians but also ensures that they are active participants in decision-making processes related to the use and commercialization of these resources. Fostering collaborative research and development models is essential for promoting a more inclusive and mutually beneficial approach. Encouraging partnerships between farmers, scientists, and industry stakeholders can lead to the co-creation of innovations that address specific local needs and challenges.[14] This collaborative approach ensures that farmers' practical knowledge is integrated into the development of new technologies and that the

[14] Saksham Chaturvedi and Chanchal Agrawal, Analysis of Farmers Rights: In light of Protection of Plant Varieties and Farmers Rights Act of India, European Intellectual Property Review 708-714(2011).

 ISSN: 2582-6433

benefits derived from these innovations are shared equitably.

Additionally, there is a need to reevaluate and reform existing intellectual property regimes, especially in the realm of seed patents. Implementing mechanisms that prevent the monopolization of seeds by large corporations and seed companies is crucial for preserving agricultural diversity and ensuring farmers' access to a variety of seeds. This may involve exploring alternative models such as open-source licensing or community-based seed banks that prioritize the collective interests of farmers over corporate profits.

Secondly, promoting participatory approaches in decision-making processes is essential. Including farmers and relevant stakeholders in policy formulation and implementation fosters inclusivity and ensures that diverse perspectives and needs are considered. This approach can help in crafting more contextually relevant and effective strategies that resonate with the realities and aspirations of farming communities.

Thirdly, enhancing access to appropriate and sustainable agricultural technology is imperative. Providing farmers, especially smallholders and marginalized communities, with access to modern, affordable, and environmentally friendly technologies can significantly enhance productivity, resilience, and sustainability in agriculture. Access to such technologies should be equitable and inclusive, catering to the diverse needs of various farming practices and regions.

Moreover, establishing fair benefit-sharing mechanisms is crucial. When utilizing traditional knowledge or genetic resources for commercial or research purposes, equitable benefit-sharing arrangements must be implemented. This ensures that the communities or individuals holding this knowledge receive just compensation and recognition for their contributions.

Integrating traditional knowledge into the intellectual property framework represents another critical step. Balancing the formal intellectual property system with mechanisms that respect and protect traditional knowledge requires innovative approaches that acknowledge the unique nature of traditional knowledge, its communal ownership, and its dynamic evolution over time. This integration can involve creating sui generis systems or complementary measures that safeguard traditional knowledge without impeding innovation.

 ISSN: 2582-6433

Finally, fostering collaborations and partnerships among diverse stakeholders is paramount. Encouraging dialogue, cooperation, and synergies between governments, research institutions, private entities, civil society organizations, and farming communities can lead to innovative solutions and shared responsibilities in ensuring the coexistence of farmers' rights and IPRs.

By embracing these multifaceted strategies, a harmonious synergy between farmers' rights and intellectual property rights can be achieved, fostering an environment that promotes agricultural innovation while safeguarding the interests and contributions of farmers and traditional knowledge holders.

Conclusion:

The intersection of farmer's rights and Intellectual Property Rights in India embodies a complex landscape with intertwined challenges and promising prospects for harmonization. Recognizing the intrinsic connections among traditional farming methods, the preservation of biodiversity, and the realm of intellectual property can pave the way for a trajectory that honors farmer's rights while nurturing innovation and sustainable progress in agriculture. At the core of this convergence lies the acknowledgment of the historical relationship between traditional farming practices and the conservation of biodiversity. Traditional knowledge systems passed down through generations have contributed significantly to the preservation of diverse crop varieties, indigenous seeds, and sustainable agricultural techniques. These practices are not only invaluable cultural assets but also reservoirs of wisdom for resilient and environmentally sound agricultural methods.

India's journey towards balancing these aspects involves leveraging this wealth of traditional knowledge within the framework of intellectual property. By recognizing the unique nature of traditional knowledge—its communal ownership, dynamic evolution, and connection to biodiversity—the country can create pathways that safeguard farmer's rights while promoting innovation. This involves devising mechanisms that respect traditional knowledge without stifling the incentives for research, development, and technological advancements.

Furthermore, this convergence offers an opportunity to bridge the gap between formal intellectual property systems and grassroots-level agricultural practices. Integrating traditional knowledge into the intellectual property regime through sui generis systems or specialized protections acknowledges the distinctiveness of this knowledge and its essential role in

 ISSN: 2582-6433

sustainable agriculture. Such integration can foster an environment that values and protects the contributions of farmers and indigenous communities while encouraging responsible innovation.

However, navigating this landscape is not without challenges. Balancing the interests of various stakeholders, addressing issues of biopiracy, ensuring equitable benefit-sharing, and harmonizing differing perspectives on ownership and control of genetic resources require nuanced approaches and robust regulatory frameworks.

In conclusion, India stands at a critical juncture where aligning farmer's rights with intellectual property rights present both challenges and opportunities. Embracing this convergence entails recognizing the intricate interplay between traditional farming, biodiversity conservation, and intellectual property and devising strategies that honor the invaluable contributions of farmers while fostering innovation and sustainable agricultural development. This journey involves striking a delicate balance that respects the wisdom of traditional practices while harnessing the potential for technological advancement and progress in agriculture.