

# INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL FOR LEGAL RESEARCH AND ANALYSIS



Open Access, Refereed Journal Multi Disciplinary  
Peer Reviewed

[www.ijlra.com](http://www.ijlra.com)

## DISCLAIMER

No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored, transmitted, or distributed in any form or by any means, whether electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording, or otherwise, without prior written permission of the Managing Editor of the *International Journal for Legal Research & Analysis (IJLRA)*.

The views, opinions, interpretations, and conclusions expressed in the articles published in this journal are solely those of the respective authors. They do not necessarily reflect the views of the Editorial Board, Editors, Reviewers, Advisors, or the Publisher of IJLRA.

Although every reasonable effort has been made to ensure the accuracy, authenticity, and proper citation of the content published in this journal, neither the Editorial Board nor IJLRA shall be held liable or responsible, in any manner whatsoever, for any loss, damage, or consequence arising from the use, reliance upon, or interpretation of the information contained in this publication.

The content published herein is intended solely for academic and informational purposes and shall not be construed as legal advice or professional opinion.

**Copyright © International Journal for Legal Research & Analysis.  
All rights reserved.**

## ABOUT US

The *International Journal for Legal Research & Analysis (IJLRA)* (ISSN: 2582-6433) is a peer-reviewed, academic, online journal published on a monthly basis. The journal aims to provide a comprehensive and interactive platform for the publication of original and high-quality legal research.

IJLRA publishes Short Articles, Long Articles, Research Papers, Case Comments, Book Reviews, Essays, and interdisciplinary studies in the field of law and allied disciplines. The journal seeks to promote critical analysis and informed discourse on contemporary legal, social, and policy issues.

The primary objective of IJLRA is to enhance academic engagement and scholarly dialogue among law students, researchers, academicians, legal professionals, and members of the Bar and Bench. The journal endeavours to establish itself as a credible and widely cited academic publication through the publication of original, well-researched, and analytically sound contributions.

IJLRA welcomes submissions from all branches of law, provided the work is original, unpublished, and submitted in accordance with the prescribed submission guidelines. All manuscripts are subject to a rigorous peer-review process to ensure academic quality, originality, and relevance.

Through its publications, the *International Journal for Legal Research & Analysis* aspires to contribute meaningfully to legal scholarship and the development of law as an instrument of justice and social progress.

## ***PUBLICATION ETHICS, COPYRIGHT & AUTHOR RESPONSIBILITY STATEMENT***

The *International Journal for Legal Research and Analysis (IJLRA)* is committed to upholding the highest standards of publication ethics and academic integrity. All manuscripts submitted to the journal must be original, unpublished, and free from plagiarism, data fabrication, falsification, or any form of unethical research or publication practice. Authors are solely responsible for the accuracy, originality, legality, and ethical compliance of their work and must ensure that all sources are properly cited and that necessary permissions for any third-party copyrighted material have been duly obtained prior to submission. Copyright in all published articles vests with IJLRA, unless otherwise expressly stated, and authors grant the journal the irrevocable right to publish, reproduce, distribute, and archive their work in print and electronic formats. The views and opinions expressed in the articles are those of the authors alone and do not reflect the views of the Editors, Editorial Board, Reviewers, or Publisher. IJLRA shall not be liable for any loss, damage, claim, or legal consequence arising from the use, reliance upon, or interpretation of the content published. By submitting a manuscript, the author(s) agree to fully indemnify and hold harmless the journal, its Editor-in-Chief, Editors, Editorial Board, Reviewers, Advisors, Publisher, and Management against any claims, liabilities, or legal proceedings arising out of plagiarism, copyright infringement, defamation, breach of confidentiality, or violation of third-party rights. The journal reserves the absolute right to reject, withdraw, retract, or remove any manuscript or published article in case of ethical or legal violations, without incurring any liability.

# **SOLITARY CONFINEMENT AND CRUEL, INHUMAN OR DEGRADING TREATMENT: AN INDIAN AND INTERNATIONAL PERSPECTIVE**

AUTHORED BY - SURYA S & J. ANUSREE

## **Abstract**

Solitary confinement, characterized by extreme isolation of prisoners, has increasingly been recognized as a form of cruel, inhuman or degrading treatment. This article examines the legality and implications of solitary confinement within the Indian legal framework in comparison with international human rights standards. It argues that despite constitutional protections and judicial advancements, India continues to rely on outdated legislative mechanisms that permit practices inconsistent with modern human rights norms.

## **Introduction**

The treatment of prisoners reflects the moral and constitutional values of a society. Solitary confinement, involving prolonged isolation and deprivation of meaningful human contact, has been widely criticized for its severe psychological and physical consequences. International standards define solitary confinement as confinement for 22 hours or more a day without meaningful human interaction<sup>1</sup>.

India, as a constitutional democracy and a signatory to international human rights instruments, is obligated to ensure humane conditions of detention. However, practices within Indian prisons often diverge from these standards due to structural and legislative shortcomings.

## **Concept and Effects of Solitary Confinement**

Solitary confinement includes various forms such as disciplinary segregation, administrative segregation, and protective custody. Regardless of the form, its effects remain deeply harmful. Studies indicate that isolation can lead to hallucinations, anxiety, depression, and even suicidal tendencies<sup>2</sup>.

Physical consequences include sleep disorders, weakened immunity, and cardiovascular issues. These effects undermine the rehabilitative purpose of imprisonment and instead contribute to long-term psychological damage.

## **International Legal Framework**

International law strongly condemns practices amounting to cruel, inhuman or degrading treatment. Article 5 of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights and Article 7 of the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights prohibit such treatment<sup>3</sup>.

The Nelson Mandela Rules explicitly restrict the use of solitary confinement and prohibit prolonged confinement exceeding 15 days<sup>4</sup>. The UN Special Rapporteur on Torture has also emphasized that prolonged isolation may amount to torture.

## **Indian Constitutional and Legal Framework**

The Indian Constitution guarantees the right to life and personal liberty under Article 21, which has been interpreted to include the right to live with dignity<sup>5</sup>. Judicial decisions have reinforced that prisoners retain fundamental rights.

However, the Prisons Act of 1894 still permits cellular confinement for extended periods, reflecting colonial-era penal philosophy. The Model Prison Manual, 2016 attempts reform but lacks binding authority.

## **Judicial Developments**

The Supreme Court of India has played a crucial role in protecting prisoner rights. In *Sunil Batra v Delhi Administration*, the Court held that solitary confinement without judicial sanction violates fundamental rights<sup>6</sup>.

Similarly, in *Charles Sobraj and Kishor Singh* cases, the Court emphasized humane treatment and condemned practices that degrade dignity. These decisions form the foundation of prison jurisprudence in India.

## **Comparative Analysis**

Compared to international standards, India's framework falls short. While global norms restrict solitary confinement strictly, Indian law allows extended durations without adequate safeguards.

European systems provide stronger protections, including independent review, medical monitoring, and strict time limits. The absence of such safeguards in India highlights significant gaps.

## Challenges and Institutional Gaps

Overcrowding, lack of oversight, and inadequate infrastructure contribute to the continued use of solitary confinement. Monitoring bodies like the National Human Rights Commission have limited enforcement powers.

India has also not ratified the Convention Against Torture or its Optional Protocol, which would establish preventive monitoring mechanisms.

## Conclusion and Recommendations

Solitary confinement, as practiced in India, raises serious constitutional and human rights concerns. Legislative reform is essential to align domestic law with international standards.

Key recommendations include: abolishing prolonged solitary confinement, introducing statutory safeguards, ensuring independent oversight, and improving prison conditions. A rights-based approach to prison administration is necessary to uphold human dignity.

## Footnotes

1. UN Standard Minimum Rules for the Treatment of Prisoners (Nelson Mandela Rules), Rule 44.
2. Stuart Grassian, *Psychiatric Effects of Solitary Confinement* (2006).
3. ICCPR, Article 7; UDHR, Article 5.
4. Nelson Mandela Rules, Rule 45.
5. Constitution of India, Article 21.
6. *Sunil Batra v Delhi Administration*, AIR 1978 SC 1675.