

# INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL FOR LEGAL RESEARCH AND ANALYSIS



Open Access, Refereed Journal Multi-Disciplinary  
Peer Reviewed

[www.ijlra.com](http://www.ijlra.com)

## DISCLAIMER

No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored, transmitted, or distributed in any form or by any means, whether electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording, or otherwise, without prior written permission of the Managing Editor of the *International Journal for Legal Research & Analysis (IJLRA)*.

The views, opinions, interpretations, and conclusions expressed in the articles published in this journal are solely those of the respective authors. They do not necessarily reflect the views of the Editorial Board, Editors, Reviewers, Advisors, or the Publisher of IJLRA.

Although every reasonable effort has been made to ensure the accuracy, authenticity, and proper citation of the content published in this journal, neither the Editorial Board nor IJLRA shall be held liable or responsible, in any manner whatsoever, for any loss, damage, or consequence arising from the use, reliance upon, or interpretation of the information contained in this publication.

The content published herein is intended solely for academic and informational purposes and shall not be construed as legal advice or professional opinion.

**Copyright © International Journal for Legal Research & Analysis.  
All rights reserved.**

## ABOUT US

The *International Journal for Legal Research & Analysis (IJLRA)* (ISSN: 2582-6433) is a peer-reviewed, academic, online journal published on a monthly basis. The journal aims to provide a comprehensive and interactive platform for the publication of original and high-quality legal research.

IJLRA publishes Short Articles, Long Articles, Research Papers, Case Comments, Book Reviews, Essays, and interdisciplinary studies in the field of law and allied disciplines. The journal seeks to promote critical analysis and informed discourse on contemporary legal, social, and policy issues.

The primary objective of IJLRA is to enhance academic engagement and scholarly dialogue among law students, researchers, academicians, legal professionals, and members of the Bar and Bench. The journal endeavours to establish itself as a credible and widely cited academic publication through the publication of original, well-researched, and analytically sound contributions.

IJLRA welcomes submissions from all branches of law, provided the work is original, unpublished, and submitted in accordance with the prescribed submission guidelines. All manuscripts are subject to a rigorous peer-review process to ensure academic quality, originality, and relevance.

Through its publications, the *International Journal for Legal Research & Analysis* aspires to contribute meaningfully to legal scholarship and the development of law as an instrument of justice and social progress.

## ***PUBLICATION ETHICS, COPYRIGHT & AUTHOR RESPONSIBILITY STATEMENT***

The *International Journal for Legal Research and Analysis (IJLRA)* is committed to upholding the highest standards of publication ethics and academic integrity. All manuscripts submitted to the journal must be original, unpublished, and free from plagiarism, data fabrication, falsification, or any form of unethical research or publication practice. Authors are solely responsible for the accuracy, originality, legality, and ethical compliance of their work and must ensure that all sources are properly cited and that necessary permissions for any third-party copyrighted material have been duly obtained prior to submission. Copyright in all published articles vests with IJLRA, unless otherwise expressly stated, and authors grant the journal the irrevocable right to publish, reproduce, distribute, and archive their work in print and electronic formats. The views and opinions expressed in the articles are those of the authors alone and do not reflect the views of the Editors, Editorial Board, Reviewers, or Publisher. IJLRA shall not be liable for any loss, damage, claim, or legal consequence arising from the use, reliance upon, or interpretation of the content published. By submitting a manuscript, the author(s) agree to fully indemnify and hold harmless the journal, its Editor-in-Chief, Editors, Editorial Board, Reviewers, Advisors, Publisher, and Management against any claims, liabilities, or legal proceedings arising out of plagiarism, copyright infringement, defamation, breach of confidentiality, or violation of third-party rights. The journal reserves the absolute right to reject, withdraw, retract, or remove any manuscript or published article in case of ethical or legal violations, without incurring any liability.

# **ARBITRATION IN BANKING CONTRACTS AND THEIR ENFORCEABILITY**

AUTHORED BY – NAVYA  
LLM (CB & IL) Section B  
Amity Law School

CO-AUTHOR - DR. BHAVNA BATRA  
Amity Law School

## **ABSTRACT**

Arbitration has become a widely used mechanism for resolving disputes in banking contracts, providing a faster and more confidential alternative to traditional court proceedings. Banking agreements, such as loan agreements, credit card contracts, mortgages, and guarantees, frequently contain pre-drafted arbitration clauses requiring customers to resolve disputes through arbitration. While arbitration is legally recognized under statutes like the Arbitration and Conciliation Act, 1996<sup>1</sup> in India, the enforceability of such clauses raises important questions.

This paper critically examines the enforceability of arbitration clauses in banking contracts. The study then analyses the legal requirements for a valid arbitration agreement, emphasizing the necessity of free consent, clarity of intention, and compliance with statutory provisions.<sup>2</sup>

The paper also identifies key challenges in enforcing arbitration clauses, including unequal bargaining power between banks and customers, one-sided contractual terms, lack of informed consent. These challenges directly affect whether courts uphold or strike down such clauses.

The study explores the judicial approach to arbitration clauses in banking contracts, showing how courts balance the principle of party autonomy with the need to prevent unfair or oppressive contractual terms. The paper concludes that while arbitration clauses are generally

---

<sup>1</sup> Arbitration and Conciliation Act, 1996, Act No. 26 of 1996 (India), available at: <https://indiacode.nic.in/handle/123456789/2310>

<sup>2</sup> P. Ramanatha Aiyar, Arbitration and Conciliation in India (6th ed., LexisNexis 2021).

enforceable, their validity is contingent on fairness, transparency, and adherence to legal standards, ensuring that arbitration serves as an effective yet just mechanism for dispute resolution in the banking sector.

## INTRODUCTION –

Arbitration is a method of resolving disputes outside the traditional court system, where parties agree to submit their disagreements to a private arbitrator whose decision is binding. It is increasingly used in commercial and financial transactions because it is faster, more flexible, and confidential compared to litigation in courts. In the banking sector, arbitration clauses are commonly included in standard form contracts such as loan agreements, credit card agreements, mortgages, and guarantees. These clauses require customers to resolve disputes through arbitration rather than pursuing remedies in courts. While arbitration is legally recognized under statutes such as the Arbitration and Conciliation Act, 1996<sup>3</sup> In India, questions arise regarding the enforceability of such clauses in banking contracts.

A major concern is the nature of banking contracts themselves. Most contracts are pre-drafted by banks and presented on a “take-it-or-leave-it” basis, leaving customers with little or no opportunity to negotiate terms. Arbitration clauses are often included in fine print, which customers may not fully understand. This raises issues about whether consent is truly free and whether such clauses are fair. Courts play a critical role in examining whether arbitration clauses in banking contracts meet the legal requirements for validity, including free consent, clarity of intention, and adherence to statutory provisions.

The paper examines the enforceability of arbitration clauses in banking contracts, focusing on the legal framework, key challenges such as unequal bargaining power and one-sided clauses, and the judicial approach to enforcing these provisions. The study argues that while arbitration provides an efficient mechanism for dispute resolution, enforceability depends on fairness, transparency, and compliance with the law, ensuring that customers are not subjected to oppressive or unfair terms.<sup>4</sup>

<sup>3</sup> Arbitration and Conciliation Act, 1996, Act No. 26 of 1996 (India), available at: <https://indiacode.nic.in/handle/123456789/2310>

<sup>4</sup> Legal Service India, “Arbitration Agreements: A Comprehensive Look,” available at: <https://www.legalserviceindia.com/legal/article-19674-arbitration-agreements-a-comprehensive-look.html>

## RESEARCH QUESTIONS –

1. What is Arbitration?
2. What legal requirements must be met for an arbitration clause to be enforceable?
3. How do courts determine whether an arbitration clause in a banking contract is valid and enforceable?

## MEANING OF ARBITRATION –

Arbitration is a method of resolving disputes outside the traditional court system, where parties agree to submit their disagreements to one or more neutral arbitrators. The arbitrator acts as a private judge whose decision, known as an award, is generally binding on the parties and enforceable by law. Arbitration provides an alternative to litigation, offering advantages such as speed, confidentiality, flexibility in procedure, and reduced formalities. It allows the parties to resolve disputes without the delays and complexities often associated with court proceedings.

A fundamental feature of arbitration is party autonomy, which means that the parties themselves agree to resolve their disputes through a process of their choosing. They may also participate in selecting the arbitrator or arbitrators and have some control over procedural rules.<sup>5</sup> This autonomy ensures that arbitration is a voluntary process, grounded in the mutual consent of the parties.

The Arbitration and Conciliation Act, 1996 provides the legal framework for arbitration in India. **Section 2(1)(a)** of the Act defines arbitration as:

*“Arbitration means any arbitration whether or not administered by permanent arbitral institution.”<sup>6</sup>*

Additionally, **Section 7** defines an arbitration agreement as:

*“An agreement by the parties to submit to arbitration all or certain disputes which have arisen or which may arise between them in respect of a defined legal relationship, whether contractual or not.”*

<sup>5</sup> P. Ramanatha Aiyar, Arbitration and Conciliation in India (6th ed., LexisNexis 2021).

<sup>6</sup> Arbitration and Conciliation Act, 1996, Act No. 26 of 1996 (India), § 2(1)(a), available at: <https://indiacode.nic.in/handle/123456789/2310>

This statutory framework highlights two essential elements of arbitration:

1. **Consent of the Parties:** Arbitration only occurs if parties voluntarily agree to submit their dispute to an arbitrator.
2. **Binding Nature:** The arbitrator's award is enforceable under law, giving arbitration a legally recognized authority.

Arbitration can be used for resolving disputes in a wide range of contexts, including commercial, civil, and contractual matters. It emphasizes efficiency, confidentiality, and a legally binding resolution while avoiding the formalities, procedural rigidity, and delays often associated with courts. By providing a flexible yet legally recognized mechanism, arbitration allows parties to resolve conflicts in a manner that respects their autonomy and ensures enforceability.<sup>7</sup>

## ARBITRATION IN BANKING CONTRACTS –

Arbitration in banking contracts refers to the inclusion of clauses in financial agreements that require any disputes arising between the bank and its customers to be resolved through arbitration rather than through the courts. In simple terms, it is a way for banks and customers to agree in advance that if a disagreement arises for example, over repayment, interest or fees, they will go to a neutral third party (an arbitrator) who will decide the matter, and the decision will be binding.

Banks often include these clauses in standard agreements such as loan agreements, credit card contracts, mortgage agreements, and guarantee documents. The main aim is to provide a faster, less formal, and more confidential method of resolving disputes. Arbitration is generally considered more efficient than traditional litigation, which can be time-consuming and costly.<sup>8</sup>

The features of Arbitration Clauses in Banking Contracts are as follows :

1. **Pre-drafted Standard Form:** Most banking contracts are prepared by the bank and presented to the customer on a “take-it-or-leave-it” basis. Customers usually have little or no opportunity to negotiate the terms of arbitration clauses.

<sup>7</sup> Arbitration and Conciliation Act, 1996, Act No. 26 of 1996 (India), § 7, available at: <https://indiacode.nic.in/handle/123456789/2310>

<sup>8</sup> Arbitration and Conciliation Act, 1996, Act No. 26 of 1996 (India), §§ 2(1)(a), 7, available at: <https://indiacode.nic.in/handle/123456789/2310>

2. **Scope of Disputes Covered:** These clauses typically cover disputes related to contractual obligations, such as repayment, fees, penalties, or service issues. However, disputes outside the contractual scope or involving statutory rights may not fall under arbitration.
3. **Binding Nature:** Once the customer agrees to the arbitration clause, the arbitrator's decision is legally binding. Courts generally uphold valid arbitration awards, provided the agreement meets the legal requirements for enforceability, such as free consent, clarity, and arbitrability.
4. **Efficiency and Confidentiality:** Arbitration allows disputes to be resolved more quickly and confidentially compared to court proceedings. This is particularly useful in banking, where disputes may involve sensitive financial information.

The use of arbitration in banking contracts reflects a balance between efficiency for the bank and the need for a legally recognized dispute resolution mechanism. However, enforceability can be a concern, particularly because most banking contracts are standard form agreements. Courts carefully examine such clauses to ensure that customers have genuinely consented and that the terms are not oppressive or unfair.<sup>9</sup>

### **ENFORCEABILITY OF BANKING CONTRACTS –**

Enforceability refers to the legal validity of an arbitration clause and whether it can be upheld by courts. An enforceable arbitration clause ensures that parties are bound to resolve disputes through arbitration and that the arbitrator's award is legally recognized and capable of execution. However, not all arbitration clauses are automatically enforceable. Courts carefully examine whether the agreement meets legal standards and protects the rights of both parties before upholding it.<sup>10</sup>

In India, the Arbitration and Conciliation Act, 1996<sup>11</sup> governs arbitration agreements and their enforceability. Section 7 of the Act defines an arbitration agreement as any agreement in writing by which parties agree to submit existing or future disputes to arbitration. For an

<sup>9</sup> Legal Service India, "Arbitration Agreements: A Comprehensive Look," available at: <https://www.legalserviceindia.com/legal/article-19674-arbitration-agreements-a-comprehensive-look.html>

<sup>10</sup> Das Legal, "Enforcement of Arbitration Clauses in Commercial Contracts: Legal Principles and Judicial Approach" <https://www.daslegal.co.in/enforcement-of-arbitration-clauses-in-commercial-contracts-legal-principles-and-judicial-approach/>

<sup>11</sup> Arbitration and Conciliation Act, 1996, Act No. 26 of 1996 (India), <https://indiacode.nic.in/handle/123456789/2310>.

arbitration clause to be enforceable, several conditions must be satisfied:

- 1. Written Agreement:** The arbitration clause must be in writing. This can be as part of a contract, an exchange of letters, emails, or any written communication that clearly shows the parties' intention to arbitrate. A written record prevents misunderstandings and provides proof of consent.
- 2. Free and Informed Consent:** Courts examine whether the parties agreed voluntarily. Consent must be free from coercion, undue influence, fraud, or misrepresentation. If a party is forced or misled into accepting arbitration, the clause may be set aside.
- 3. Clarity of Intention:** The agreement must clearly state that disputes will be resolved through arbitration. Ambiguous or vague clauses can lead courts to question enforceability, as the parties' intention to arbitrate must be evident.
- 4. Arbitrable Disputes:** Only disputes that are legally capable of being resolved through arbitration are covered. Certain matters, such as criminal cases, matrimonial disputes, or non-waivable statutory rights, cannot be subject to arbitration.
- 5. Legal Capacity of Parties:** Both parties must have the legal capacity to enter into a contract. Minors or parties lacking authority cannot be bound by arbitration agreements.<sup>12</sup>

Enforceable arbitration clauses provide an efficient, cost-effective, and binding method for dispute resolution. They reduce the burden on courts, save time, and maintain confidentiality. At the same time, enforceability ensures that arbitration does not become a tool of exploitation, especially in agreements where one party may have more power or influence.<sup>13</sup>

## CHALLENGES IN ENFORCING ARBITRATION CLAUSES IN BANKING CONTRACTS -

While arbitration is designed to provide an efficient and legally recognized method for dispute resolution, its application in banking contracts often faces significant challenges. One of the primary issues arises from the unequal bargaining power between banks and their customers. Banking contracts are typically standard form agreements drafted entirely by the bank, leaving the customer with little or no opportunity to negotiate the terms. Arbitration clauses are usually

<sup>12</sup> Arbitration and Conciliation Act, 1996, Act No. 26 of 1996 (India), <https://indiacode.nic.in/handle/123456789/2310>

<sup>13</sup> Das Legal, "Enforcement of Arbitration Clauses in Commercial Contracts: Legal Principles and Judicial Approach" <https://www.daslegal.co.in/enforcement-of-arbitration-clauses-in-commercial-contracts-legal-principles-and-judicial-approach/>

included on a “take-it-or-leave-it” basis, which raises concerns about whether the customer has truly given voluntary consent. Courts frequently examine this imbalance of power when determining enforceability, and if it appears that a customer has been compelled to accept the clause without a genuine choice, the clause may be deemed unfair or invalid.

Another major challenge is that arbitration clauses in banking contracts are often hidden within lengthy, complex documents filled with technical legal language. Many customers may not notice the clauses or fully understand their implications. This lack of informed consent can lead to disputes regarding whether the parties genuinely agreed to arbitration. Judicial scrutiny often focuses on whether the customer was adequately informed and whether they were aware of the rights they might be waiving by agreeing to arbitration. If the clause is unclear or obscure, courts may refuse to enforce it to protect the party from unfair disadvantage.

The terms of arbitration clauses can also be one-sided, heavily favoring the bank. For instance, some clauses allow the bank to select the arbitrator, dictate the location of arbitration, or limit the remedies available to the customer. Such one-sided terms can create an imbalance that undermines fairness, making enforcement problematic. Courts are increasingly willing to examine whether arbitration clauses impose undue hardship or restrict a party’s legal rights unfairly, and they may refuse to enforce clauses that are deemed oppressive or inequitable.<sup>14</sup>

Legal limitations and public policy considerations present additional challenges. Certain types of disputes, such as criminal matters, statutory rights that cannot be waived, or issues protected by consumer protection laws, cannot be submitted to arbitration. When arbitration clauses attempt to cover these non-arbitrable matters, enforcement becomes legally impossible. Moreover, procedural difficulties such as the accessibility of arbitration forums, complexity of arbitration rules, and costs associated with arbitration can also hinder effective enforcement, particularly for customers who may lack legal knowledge or financial resources to pursue arbitration effectively.

While arbitration offers efficiency, confidentiality, and a binding resolution mechanism, enforcing arbitration clauses in banking contracts is not always straightforward. Unequal bargaining power, hidden or complex clauses, one-sided terms, legal restrictions, and

---

<sup>14</sup> Agrud Partners, “Arbitration Agreements in India” <https://agrudpartners.com/arbitration-agreements-in-india/>.

procedural hurdles all pose significant challenges. Courts play a crucial role in ensuring that arbitration remains a fair and legitimate method of dispute resolution, balancing the need to respect party autonomy with the protection of weaker parties.<sup>15</sup> Addressing these challenges is essential to maintaining arbitration as a just and effective mechanism within the banking sector.

## **JUDICIAL APPROACH TO ARBITRATION CLAUSES IN BANKING CONTRACTS –**

The judiciary plays a crucial role in determining the enforceability of arbitration clauses in banking contracts. While arbitration is intended to provide a faster and less formal method of resolving disputes, courts are tasked with ensuring that these clauses are not used to impose unfair or oppressive conditions on parties, particularly in cases where there is a significant imbalance of power. The judicial approach balances the principle of party autonomy, which encourages parties to resolve disputes privately, with the need to protect weaker parties from exploitation.

Indian courts generally uphold arbitration clauses if they are clear, voluntarily agreed upon, and legally compliant. Courts examine whether the clause was part of a written agreement and whether the parties had the capacity and free consent to enter into it. In cases where these conditions are satisfied, courts tend to enforce arbitration clauses rigorously, emphasizing that parties who willingly agree to arbitration should be bound by it.<sup>16</sup> This approach supports efficiency, reduces the burden on courts, and upholds the binding nature of arbitration awards. However, courts do not enforce arbitration clauses blindly. They scrutinize the circumstances under which the clause was incorporated into the contract. If the clause is hidden, overly complex, or presented in a standard form contract without giving the customer a meaningful choice, courts may consider the clause unfair. Similarly, clauses that are one-sided, heavily favoring the bank, or restricting statutory rights may be struck down or partially invalidated. The judiciary often examines whether the arbitration clause respects the principles of fairness, equality, and public policy.

Judicial interpretation also considers whether the disputes covered by the arbitration clause are

---

<sup>15</sup> Legal Service India, “Arbitration Agreements: A Comprehensive Look” <https://www.legalserviceindia.com/legal/article-19674-arbitration-agreements-a-comprehensive-look.html>.

<sup>16</sup> Legal Service India, “Arbitration Agreements: A Comprehensive Look” <https://www.legalserviceindia.com/legal/article-19674-arbitration-agreements-a-comprehensive-look.html>.

legally arbitrable. Issues that fall outside the scope of arbitration, such as criminal matters or non-waivable statutory rights, will not be enforced, even if the clause appears to cover them. Courts may also intervene if the procedure for arbitration is inaccessible, impractical, or excessively burdensome for one party, ensuring that arbitration does not become a tool of disadvantage rather than a mechanism of resolution.

In practice, judicial decisions in India reflect a balanced approach. Courts encourage arbitration as a valid alternative to litigation but remain vigilant to prevent abuse. Through careful scrutiny of consent, clarity, fairness, and statutory compliance, the judiciary ensures that arbitration clauses serve their intended purpose: providing a fair, efficient, and legally recognized mechanism for resolving disputes without undermining the rights of the parties involved.<sup>17</sup>

### CONCLUSION –

Arbitration provides a legally recognized, efficient, and flexible method of resolving disputes, offering an alternative to lengthy and costly court proceedings. In banking contracts, arbitration clauses are commonly used to streamline the resolution of disagreements, ensuring confidentiality, speed, and enforceable outcomes. However, the enforceability of these clauses is not automatic. It depends on factors such as free and informed consent, clarity of terms, legal capacity of the parties, and compliance with statutory requirements.

Challenges in enforcement arise primarily from the nature of banking contracts, which are often standard form agreements favoring the bank, with customers having limited bargaining power. Hidden clauses, technical legal language, one-sided terms, and procedural or legal constraints may affect fairness. Judicial scrutiny plays a vital role in addressing these issues. Courts balance party autonomy with the protection of weaker parties, ensuring that arbitration clauses are not used to impose unfair or oppressive conditions. While arbitration is generally upheld, courts intervene when consent is not genuine, the clause is ambiguous, or enforcement would violate public policy or statutory rights.<sup>18</sup>

In essence, arbitration in banking contracts is a valuable tool for dispute resolution, but its success relies on enforceability, fairness, and oversight. When these elements are ensured,

---

<sup>17</sup> Supreme Court of India, *S.B.P. & Co. v. Patel Engineering Ltd.*, (2005) 8 SCC 618

<sup>18</sup> P. Ramanatha Aiyar, *Arbitration and Conciliation in India* (6th ed., LexisNexis 2021), 102–110

arbitration can provide an effective, legally binding, and equitable mechanism for resolving financial disputes.

## SUGGESTIONS –

To improve the fairness and effectiveness of arbitration clauses in banking contracts, the following measures can be adopted:

- 1. Clear and Transparent Drafting:** Arbitration clauses should be written in simple, understandable language and highlighted within the contract. Customers should be able to easily identify and comprehend the terms.
- 2. Ensuring Free Consent:** Banks must ensure that consent to arbitration is voluntary and informed. Clauses should not be hidden, misleading, or imposed on customers without their understanding.
- 3. Standardization of Clauses:** Regulatory authorities can issue guidelines to standardize arbitration clauses in banking contracts, ensuring fairness and reducing the likelihood of one-sided or oppressive terms.
- 4. Accessibility of Arbitration:** Procedural steps should be simplified to make arbitration more accessible. This includes reducing costs, providing neutral arbitrator selection options, and ensuring convenient arbitration venues for customers.
- 5. Customer Awareness and Education:** Banks and regulators should provide guidance materials or awareness campaigns to educate customers about arbitration clauses, their rights, and obligations under these clauses.
- 6. Protection of Consumer Rights:** Clauses should be designed to avoid infringing statutory or non-waivable rights. Ensuring that arbitration does not limit legal remedies available to customers is essential for fairness.<sup>19</sup>

## BIBLIOGRAPHY –

- Arbitration and Conciliation Act, 1996, Act No. 26 of 1996 (India), § 2(1)(a) <https://indiacode.nic.in/handle/123456789/2310>
- Arbitration and Conciliation Act, 1996, Act No. 26 of 1996 (India), § 7 <https://indiacode.nic.in/handle/123456789/2310>

---

<sup>19</sup> Legal Service India, “Arbitration Agreements: A Comprehensive Look” <https://www.legalserviceindia.com/legal/article-19674-arbitration-agreements-a-comprehensive-look.html>

- P. Ramanatha Aiyar, Arbitration and Conciliation in India (6th ed., LexisNexis 2021), 102–110
- Legal Service India, “Arbitration Agreements: A Comprehensive Look” <https://www.legalserviceindia.com/legal/article-19674-arbitration-agreements-a-comprehensive-look.html>
- Agrud Partners, “Arbitration Agreements in India” <https://agrudpartners.com/arbitration-agreements-in-india/>.
- Mondaq, “Examining the Validity of Asymmetrical Optional Arbitration Clauses” <https://www.mondaq.com/india/arbitration-dispute-resolution/1163138/examining-the-validity-of-asymmetrical-optional-arbitration-clauses>
- SCC Online Blog, “Arbitrability in Consumer Disputes – Forum Shopping.” Available at: <https://www.sconline.com/blog/post/2025/11/07/arbitrability-in-consumer-disputes-forum-shopping-unequal-bargaining-power-and-an-alternate-way-ahead/>

