

INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL FOR LEGAL RESEARCH AND ANALYSIS



Open Access, Refereed Journal Multi Disciplinary
Peer Reviewed

www.ijlra.com

DISCLAIMER

No part of this publication may be reproduced or copied in any form by any means without prior written permission of Managing Editor of IJLRA. The views expressed in this publication are purely personal opinions of the authors and do not reflect the views of the Editorial Team of IJLRA.

Though every effort has been made to ensure that the information in Volume II Issue 7 is accurate and appropriately cited/referenced, neither the Editorial Board nor IJLRA shall be held liable or responsible in any manner whatsoever for any consequences for any action taken by anyone on the basis of information in the Journal.

Copyright © International Journal for Legal Research & Analysis

EDITORIAL TEAM

EDITORS

Dr. Samrat Datta

Dr. Samrat Datta Seedling School of Law and Governance, Jaipur National University, Jaipur. Dr. Samrat Datta is currently associated with Seedling School of Law and Governance, Jaipur National University, Jaipur. Dr. Datta has completed his graduation i.e., B.A.LL.B. from Law College Dehradun, Hemvati Nandan Bahuguna Garhwal University, Srinagar, Uttarakhand. He is an alumnus of KIIT University, Bhubaneswar where he pursued his post-graduation (LL.M.) in Criminal Law and subsequently completed his Ph.D. in Police Law and Information Technology from the Pacific Academy of Higher Education and Research University, Udaipur in 2020. His area of interest and research is Criminal and Police Law. Dr. Datta has a teaching experience of 7 years in various law schools across North India and has held administrative positions like Academic Coordinator, Centre Superintendent for Examinations, Deputy Controller of Examinations, Member of the Proctorial Board



Dr. Namita Jain

Head & Associate Professor

School of Law, JECRC University, Jaipur Ph.D. (Commercial Law) LL.M., UGC -NET Post Graduation Diploma in Taxation law and Practice, Bachelor of Commerce.



Teaching Experience: 12 years, AWARDS AND RECOGNITION of Dr. Namita Jain are - ICF Global Excellence Award 2020 in the category of educationalist by I Can Foundation, India. India Women Empowerment Award in the category of "Emerging Excellence in Academics by Prime Time & Utkrisht Bharat Foundation, New Delhi. (2020). Conferred in FL Book of Top 21 Record Holders in the category of education by Fashion Lifestyle Magazine, New Delhi. (2020). Certificate of Appreciation for organizing and managing the Professional Development Training Program on IPR in Collaboration with Trade Innovations Services, Jaipur on March 14th, 2019

Mrs.S.Kalpana

Assistant professor of Law

Mrs.S.Kalpana, presently Assistant professor of Law, VelTech Rangarajan Dr.Sagunthala R & D Institute of Science and Technology, Avadi. Formerly Assistant professor of Law, Vels University in the year 2019 to 2020, Worked as Guest Faculty, Chennai Dr.Ambedkar Law College, Pudupakkam. Published one book. Published 8 Articles in various reputed Law Journals. Conducted 1 Moot court competition and participated in nearly 80 National and International seminars and webinars conducted on various subjects of Law. Did ML in Criminal Law and Criminal Justice Administration. 10 paper presentations in various National and International seminars. Attended more than 10 FDP programs. Ph.D. in Law pursuing.



Avinash Kumar



Avinash Kumar has completed his Ph.D. in International Investment Law from the Dept. of Law & Governance, Central University of South Bihar. His research work is on "International Investment Agreement and State's right to regulate Foreign Investment." He qualified UGC-NET and has been selected for the prestigious ICSSR Doctoral Fellowship. He is an alumnus of the Faculty of Law, University of Delhi. Formerly he has been elected as Students Union President of Law Centre-1, University of Delhi. Moreover, he completed his LL.M. from the University of Delhi (2014-16), dissertation on "Cross-border Merger & Acquisition"; LL.B. from the University of Delhi (2011-14), and B.A. (Hons.) from Maharaja Agrasen College, University of Delhi. He has also obtained P.G. Diploma in IPR from the Indian Society of International Law, New Delhi. He has qualified UGC – NET examination and has been awarded ICSSR – Doctoral Fellowship. He has published six-plus articles and presented 9 plus papers in national and international seminars/conferences. He participated in several workshops on research methodology and teaching and learning.

ABOUT US

INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL FOR LEGAL RESEARCH & ANALYSIS
ISSN

2582-6433 is an Online Journal is Monthly, Peer Review, Academic Journal, Published online, that seeks to provide an interactive platform for the publication of Short Articles, Long Articles, Book Review, Case Comments, Research Papers, Essay in the field of Law & Multidisciplinary issue. Our aim is to upgrade the level of interaction and discourse about contemporary issues of law. We are eager to become a highly cited academic publication, through quality contributions from students, academics, professionals from the industry, the bar and the bench. INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL FOR LEGAL RESEARCH & ANALYSIS ISSN 2582-6433 welcomes contributions from all legal branches, as long as the work is original, unpublished and is in consonance with the submission guidelines.

ARTICLE: 19 AND IT'S EXPANDING HORIZONS

AUTHORED BY - HARKARANVIR SINGH KHANGURA

CT University

ABSTRACT

This paper explores the concept of freedom of speech and expression as protected under Article 1U of the Indian Constitution, examining its foundational constitutional framework, scope, and purpose. Freedom of speech is a fundamental pillar of democracy, allowing individuals to voice opinions, debate public policies, and contribute to the nation's sociopolitical fabric. This study traces the historical background and evolution of free speech, analyzing its transformation through landmark judicial interpretations and significant cases. A comprehensive analysis of Article 1U(1)(a) is provided, detailing the breadth of rights it ensures and the limitations imposed under Article 1U(2) to safeguard national security, public order, and moral standards. The paper also investigates how digital advancements and social media platforms have influenced freedom of speech, bringing forth both opportunities for enhanced expression and challenges for regulation. A comparative analysis with global standards of free speech provides insight into India's position on freedom of expression relative to international norms. Finally, the study addresses contemporary issues and emerging challenges, such as online censorship, misinformation, and hate speech, offering a critical perspective on the future of freedom of speech in India.

INTRODUCTION TO ARTICLE 19 CONSTITUTIONAL FRAMEWORK

Article 1U of the Indian Constitution establishes fundamental rights that safeguard the freedom of expression and individual liability, which were essentially components of democratic society. Located within chapter 3 of the Constitution which delineates the fundamental rights of Indian citizens, article 1U confers and protect six vital freedoms: the freedom of speech and expression, the freedom to assemble peacefully, the freedom to form Association, the freedom to move freely throughout the India, the freedom to reside in any part of the country, and the freedom to pursue any profession or trade. These rights

are integral to a thriving democracy, empowering individuals to voice their opinions, participate in collective action, and make informed choices regarding their personal and professional endeavours. By enshrining these rights the Constitution holds the state accountable to its citizens, fostering active civic participation and multiplicity of perspective within society.

Nevertheless, these freedoms are not without limitations; article 1U(2) to 1U(G) impose reasonable restriction on each freedom to uphold public order, decency, and morality, as well as to safeguard the sovereignty and integrity of India and the right of other individuals. For the examples, the freedom of speech may be curtailed in the interest of national security, maintaining friendly relations with foreign nation, or preventing defamation. These limitations highlight the delicate balance the Constitution aims to achieve between individual freedoms and societal cohesion. The judiciary has consistently played a crucial role in interpreting and delineating these boundaries, ensuring that restrictions do not infringe upon the essence of fundamental rights while permitting the state to impose reasonable constraints when necessary.

Consequently, this constitutional framework has evolved through significant judicial rulings, fostering a dynamic and balanced approach to the protection of freedoms with the context of social responsibility.

SCOPE AND PURPOSE OF FREEDOM OF SPEECH AND EXPRESSION

The freedom of speech and expression is essential for individuals to share their thoughts, ideas, and opinions without fear. In India this right is safeguarded by article 1U(1)(a) of the Constitution, making it crucial for personal liberty and a thriving democracy. It empowers citizen to voice their concerns, hold leaders accountable, and engaging significant version about the nation's direction. This freedom ensures that the government remains transparent, accountable, and responsive to the needs of its people.

This freedom encompasses a wide range of expression methods, including spoken Word, writings, visual arts, digital platforms, and even symbolic actions like today. However, it is important to note that this freedom is not absolute.

There are reasonable limitations outlined in article 1U(2) to 1U(G) to prevent harm to others or destruction of public order. For instance, expression that could incite violence, offend others, or jeopardise National security may be restricted. These boundaries are in place to maintain safety and harmony in society.

The primary goal of this freedom is to foster an atmosphere where everyone can freely express their ideas and opinions. It allows individuals from diverse backgrounds to share their perspective, contributing to societal growth and improvement. This freedoms promote learning from various new points, advocating for rights, and challenging injustices.

HISTORICAL BACKGROUND AND EVOLUTION

The idea of freedom of speech and expression has a rich history that has shaped its role in the Indian constitution. It dates back to the ancient cultures, where open discussion and the exchange of ideas were increased. Philosophers from the vedic and Buddhist era is emphasised the importance of free expression and intellectual debate as a means to gain knowledge and uncover the truth.

Under British colonial rule, however, the freedom of speech face severe limitations. The colonial authorities impose strict regulations, such as the vernacular press act of 1878, to suppress freedom and Mainuplate public opinion. This law specifically targeted Indian language newspapers that criticised British governance, making it illegal for them to publish anything that could incite assistance. This crack down on free speech motivated many leaders in the independence movement to view free expression as vital for achieving freedom. Prominent figures like Mahatma Gandhi and Jawaharlal Nehru advocated for the right of free speech as a means to combat colonial oppression and rally public support for independence.

When India achieved independence in 1U47, the Constitution framers sought to safeguard freedom of speech and expression to foster a vibrant E. The instant it as a fundamental right and article 1U(1)(a), acknowledging its significance in promoting truth, embracing diverse opinions, and holding authorities accountable. Since then, the interpretation and boundaries of this right has evolved through various Supreme Court rulings. The landmark case such as Romesh Thapar v. state of Madras(1U50) and Shreya Singhal v. union of India(2015), have define and refine the application of this right balancing it against national security, public order, and individual dignity. Throughout this journey, freedom of speech and expression has

remained a fundamental aspect of Indian democracy, empowering citizens to engage actively and expressed their concern. The development of this right illustrates a significant revolution in the nation's commitment to democratic values.

CONSTITUTIONAL PROVISIONS UNDER ARTICLE 19(1)(a)

Article 19(1)(a) of the Indian constitution give every citizen the session right to freedom of speech and expression. This means that the individual can share their thoughts opinions and beliefs without unnecessary interference from the government. It allows for open communication through spoken or written words, art, digital platforms, and other means this right is vital for personal liberty in the operation of a democratic society where free expression is crucial for public participation in governance and social development.

The freedom under this article is extensive and covers many forms of expression not just spoken and written words but also non-verbal communication like gestures and symbols and even silence. This broad interpretation has enabled the court to recognise various modern Communication methods including online expression and press freedom but this right is not unlimited article 19(2) permits the government to impose reasonable restrictions to protect India's sovereignty and integrity maintain public order ensure state security and prevent defamation and contempt of court among other reasons. These restrictions are meant to balance individual right with the welfare of the public promoting social harmony.

JUDICIAL INTERPRETATION AND LANDMARK JUDGEMENTS.

India has been really important in explaining and expending the idea of freedom of speech and expression found in this article. Through many important port cases the Supreme Court has helped define what is this right means and how it should work in democratic society. Their decision have work to balance personal freedom with the need of public safety and order and respect for the rights of other people especially as society and technology change

One of the first significant cases was Ramesh Thapar v. State of Madras 1U50 Which showed that freedom of speech and expression is crucial for democracy and should only be limited if it threatens the security of the state. The state court pointed out that the freedom includes the right to share and receive information which laid the ground work for Press freedom.

In India the court continued to broaden this right in *Bennett Coleman and Co. V. Union of India* 1U73 Stating that freedom of speech not only covers the right to publish but also the right to access information. This decision highlighted how important it is for the public to be informed in a democracy and that any limit for sharing information can harm the public's right to know.

In *Maneka Gandhi v. Union of India* 1U78

The court looked at article 1U(1)(a) together with the right to personal liberty in article 21 creating a wider understanding of individual freedom. This information ruling stressed all the rights are connected with the freedom of speech being a key part of personal freedom and dignity.

More recently, the Supreme Court has dealt with the freedom of expression in the digital world in *Shreya Singhal v. Union of India* 2015

The court cancelled section 66A of the information technology act, which made offensive online content illegal because it was too vague and violated free speech. The decision confirmed the importance of freedom of speech.

REASONABLE RESTRICTIONS UNDER ARTICLE 19(2)

Article 1U(1)(a) of the Indian constitution gives people the right to free speech and expression, but article 1U(2) lays out certain responsible restrictions to make sure the freedom doesn't disturb public order, security, all the rights of others these restrictions and strike a balance between individual liberties and overall well-being of society. According to article 1U(2) the government can set limits on freedom of expression for several reasons:

1. **Security of the state**

Any speech that poses a threat to national security like inciting violence or promoting terrorism, can be restricted this is all about keeping the country safe and stable.

2. **Friendly relations and foreign states**

The government can limit speech that could damage diplomatic ties or stir up hostility with other nations. This helps maintain India's peaceful relationships globally.

3. Public order

Expressions that could lead to public disorder, such as in inciting riots or violence, can be curtailed. Public order is all about keeping peace and safety in the society.

4. Decency and morality

Content deemed obscene or indecent may be restricted to uphold societal standards of decency and morality the state can step in to regulate what is considered acceptable to protect public morals.

5. Contempt of court

Speech that disrespects the authority or fairness of the judiciary is limited to ensure respect for the legal system and uphold the rule of law.

G. Defamation

Speech that damages someone's reputation can be restricted to protect individuals from falls on harmful statements defamation laws allow people to see justice if they are unfairly targeted.

7. Incitement to an offence

Any speech that encourages or promotes criminal behaviour is restricted to prevent harm and maintain law and order.

8. Sovereignty and integrity of India

Expressions that threaten the unity or sovereignty of India likely calls for separatism can also be limited. 1

FREEDOM OF SPEECH AND EXPRESSION IN THE DIGITAL ERA

The emergence of the internet and social media has significantly reshaped the landscape of freedom of speech and expression, altering the ways in which individuals communicate, share their thoughts, and engage in public discussions. In the digital age, people can connect with Master audiences in an instant fostering an environment where a variety of voices and perspectives can be amplified. Platforms like media, blogs, and online discussion forums have evolved into vital spaces for individuals to voice their opinions, advocate for change, and rally around important causes. This evolution has expanded the influence of article 1U(1)(a) of the

Indian constitution, which upholds the right to freedom free speech and expression.

The digital transformation also presents significant challenges. The prevalence of misinformation, hate speech, and cyber bullying is on the rise, prompting governments around the globe, including India, to find ways to tackle the issues while still upholding free speech rights. In India, the information technology act and its subsequent amendments aim to regulate online content, striving to manage harmful or illegal material while honouring the principle of free expression. Moment in this context what is the Supreme Court decision of Shreya Singhal v. union of India 2015 in addition, the government rolled out the IT intermediary guidelines and digital media ethics code rules in 2021, requiring social media platforms to remove content that breaches laws concerning public order, decency, or national security. While these regulations aim to enhance safety in online environments, they also raise concerns about potential overreach, as stringent controls "stiffer free expression and hinder public discourse.

COMPARISON WITH GLOBAL STANDARD OF FREE SPEECH

Freedom of speech and expression is a core principle in democratic societies worldwide, but the extent and limitation of this rights vary across countries. In India, article 1U(1)(a) of the Constitution grant citizen the right to free speech, while article 1U(2) set reasonable restrictions to ensure public order, national security, and morality.

Similar provision exist globally, but standard for free speech differ significantly due to cultural, legal and political factors.

In the United States, freedom of speech is protected by the first amendment of the Constitution, and the protection is often broader than in India. The US approach emphasises minimal restrictions, allowing even offensive or controversial speech, unless it directly incites violence or constitute a clear and present danger. The US Supreme Court has generally uphold and individuals right to express unpopular or offensive views, favouring free expression over concern about public order or morality.

In contrast many european countries prioritise a balance between free speech and social harmony. Under the European convention of human rights ECHR, article 10 protects freedom of expression but allow distractions to prevent hate speech, protect public morals, and safeguard national security. For example, Germany and France have laws against the Holocaust

denial and hate speech, reflecting greater emphasises on curbing expression that may incite violence or harm social cohesion. The European approach seeks to protect individual dignity and avoid speech that may promote hatred or discrimination.

Countries like Canada and Australia adopt a similar balanced approach. In Canada, the Canadian charter of rights and freedoms ensures free expression but allows restriction on hate speech and speech that threatens the equality or safety of the individuals. In Australia, freedom of speech is employed through the Constitution, with limitations to protect against defamation and hate speech. Both nations recognise the right to free speech while placing a high priority on preventing harm to individuals or groups.

In the digital era, global standards are further evolving to address online speech, Amazon information, and digital privacy. Many nations, including India, the European Union, and the United States are debating how to regulate social media to ensure free expression without enabling harmful content. Why is the US tend to favour certain regulations by tech companies, the EU imposes stricter content standards under the general data protection regulation GDPR and the digital service act DSE, which demands higher accountability from online platforms.

CHALLENGES AND CONTEMPORARY ISSUES

Freedom of speech and expression in India faces numerous challenges and complexities in today's rapidly changing environment. While article 1U(1)(a) of the constitution guarantee is this right, multiple factors which are social, political, technological, and legal shape its application and interpretation. Some of the main challenges and contemporary issues include:

1. hate speech and communal tensions

Hate speech has emerged as a major challenge, often leading to communal tension and violence. The instance of speech that incite hatred or promote enmity between groups are increasingly common, especially on digital platform. Balancing free speech with restrictions on hate speech to maintain social harmony remains a critical issue.

2. Misinformation and fake news

The rapid spread of misinformation through social media platforms has raised concerns about its impact on public opinions, elections, and societal harmony. The

ease with which fake news spreads online has made it challenging for authorities to regulate, raising questions about whether restriction on such content violate freedom of expression.

3. Censorship and content regulations

The government's attempt to control online content, often to protect national security or prevent hate speech have led to debate over censorship. The information technology intermediary guidelines and digital media ethics code rules 2021, imposes content moderation requirements on social media platforms. Critics argue that this can lead to excessive censorship, in limiting legitimate expression and dissent.

4. National security concerns

Issues related to terrorism, separatist movements, and internal security have led to restriction on freedom of expression, particularly in sensitive areas like Jammu and Kashmir. National security is a common justification for curbing speech, but there is an ongoing debate about how much restriction is reasonable especially as some restriction can impact civil liberties.

5. Impact on journalism and press freedom

Press freedom in India faces challenges as generalist or sometimes arrested, threatened, or restricted for reporting critically on government actions. Laws related to sedition and criminal defamation or occasionally used to suppress critical voices, leading to concern about press freedom and the right to information in the democratic setup.

G. Judicial balancing of the rights

Courts are frequently called upon to balance freedom of expression with other rights, such as privacy and reputation. As seen in case like *Subramanian Swamy v. Union of India* 201G, where the Supreme Court upheld criminal defamation laws, "must often be the right to free speech against the right to protect individual dignity, leading to ongoing debates about how these rights interact.

7. Digital privacy and surveillance

Increase online surveillance by the state, often justified in the names of national security, has raised concerns over privacy and the chilling effect on the free speech. Recent debates around surveillance tools, data collection, and digital privacy laws have held to public discussion on how to protect individual rights in the digital age

8. Global influence and cross-border speech

The interconnected nature of the internet means that speech often crosses borders, impacting foreign relations and domestic harmony. Content originating from outside India may affect public sentiments or trigger unrest, adding another layer of complexity in regulating speech without infringing on free expression.

CONCLUSION

Freedom of speech, as enshrined in Article 1U of the Indian Constitution, represents an essential democratic right that supports individuals in expressing ideas, shaping opinions, and participating actively in society. This right, however, is balanced by reasonable restrictions under Article 1U(2) to prevent abuse and maintain social stability. Judicial interpretations and landmark cases have played a crucial role in delineating the boundaries of this right, adapting its application to changing societal values and contexts. The advent of digital media has expanded the platforms for expression, amplifying voices across geographical and social divides while also introducing new regulatory challenges. A comparison with global standards illustrates India's commitment to freedom of expression while underscoring areas for potential improvement. In light of contemporary issues, such as the spread of misinformation and online hate speech, the ongoing challenge lies in preserving the sanctity of free speech without compromising public safety or societal harmony. The careful balance achieved by the constitutional framework ensures that freedom of speech in India remains a robust yet responsibly regulated right, crucial for sustaining democratic integrity and nurturing a vibrant, informed public sphere.