

INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL FOR LEGAL RESEARCH AND ANALYSIS



Open Access, Refereed Journal Multi-Disciplinary
Peer Reviewed

www.ijlra.com

DISCLAIMER

No part of this publication may be reproduced or copied in any form by any means without prior written permission of Managing Editor of IJLRA. The views expressed in this publication are purely personal opinions of the authors and do not reflect the views of the Editorial Team of IJLRA.

Though every effort has been made to ensure that the information in Volume II Issue 7 is accurate and appropriately cited/referenced, neither the Editorial Board nor IJLRA shall be held liable or responsible in any manner whatsoever for any consequences for any action taken by anyone on the basis of information in the Journal.

Copyright © International Journal for Legal Research & Analysis

EDITORIALTEAM

EDITORS

Dr. Samrat Datta

Dr. Samrat Datta Seedling School of Law and Governance, Jaipur National University, Jaipur. Dr. Samrat Datta is currently associated with Seedling School of Law and Governance, Jaipur National University, Jaipur. Dr. Datta has completed his graduation i.e., B.A.LL.B. from Law College Dehradun, Hemvati Nandan Bahuguna Garhwal University, Srinagar, Uttarakhand. He is an alumnus of KIIT University, Bhubaneswar where he pursued his post-graduation (LL.M.) in Criminal Law and subsequently completed his Ph.D. in Police Law and Information Technology from the Pacific Academy of Higher Education and Research University, Udaipur in 2020. His area of interest and research is Criminal and Police Law. Dr. Datta has a teaching experience of 7 years in various law schools across North India and has held administrative positions like Academic Coordinator, Centre Superintendent for Examinations, Deputy Controller of Examinations, Member of the Proctorial Board



Dr. Namita Jain

Head & Associate Professor

School of Law, JECRC University, Jaipur Ph.D. (Commercial Law) LL.M., UGC -NET Post Graduation Diploma in Taxation law and Practice, Bachelor of Commerce.

Teaching Experience: 12 years, AWARDS AND RECOGNITION of Dr. Namita Jain are - ICF Global Excellence Award 2020 in the category of educationalist by I Can Foundation, India. India Women Empowerment Award in the category of "Emerging Excellence in Academics by Prime Time & Utkrisht Bharat Foundation, New Delhi. (2020). Conferred in FL Book of Top 21 Record Holders in the category of education by Fashion Lifestyle Magazine, New Delhi. (2020). Certificate of Appreciation for organizing and managing the Professional Development Training Program on IPR in Collaboration with Trade Innovations Services, Jaipur on March 14th, 2019



Mrs.S.Kalpana

Assistant professor of Law

Mrs.S.Kalpana, presently Assistant professor of Law, VelTech Rangarajan Dr.Sagunthala R & D Institute of Science and Technology, Avadi. Formerly Assistant professor of Law, Vels University in the year 2019 to 2020, Worked as Guest Faculty, Chennai Dr.Ambedkar Law College, Pudupakkam. Published one book. Published 8Articles in various reputed Law Journals. Conducted 1Moot court competition and participated in nearly 80 National and International seminars and webinars conducted on various subjects of Law. Did ML in Criminal Law and Criminal Justice Administration. 10 paper presentations in various National and International seminars. Attended more than 10 FDP programs. Ph.D. in Law pursuing.



Avinash Kumar



Avinash Kumar has completed his Ph.D. in International Investment Law from the Dept. of Law & Governance, Central University of South Bihar. His research work is on "International Investment Agreement and State's right to regulate Foreign Investment." He qualified UGC-NET and has been selected for the prestigious ICSSR Doctoral Fellowship. He is an alumnus of the Faculty of Law, University of Delhi. Formerly he has been elected as Students Union President of Law Centre-1, University of Delhi. Moreover, he completed his LL.M. from the University of Delhi (2014-16), dissertation on "Cross-border Merger & Acquisition"; LL.B. from the University of Delhi (2011-14), and B.A. (Hons.) from Maharaja Agrasen College, University of Delhi. He has also obtained P.G. Diploma in IPR from the Indian Society of International Law, New Delhi. He has qualified UGC – NET examination and has been awarded ICSSR – Doctoral Fellowship. He has published six-plus articles and presented 9 plus papers in national and international seminars/conferences. He participated in several workshops on research methodology and teaching and learning.

ABOUT US

INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL FOR LEGAL RESEARCH & ANALYSIS
ISSN

2582-6433 is an Online Journal is Monthly, Peer Review, Academic Journal, Published online, that seeks to provide an interactive platform for the publication of Short Articles, Long Articles, Book Review, Case Comments, Research Papers, Essay in the field of Law & Multidisciplinary issue. Our aim is to upgrade the level of interaction and discourse about contemporary issues of law. We are eager to become a highly cited academic publication, through quality contributions from students, academics, professionals from the industry, the bar and the bench. INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL FOR LEGAL RESEARCH & ANALYSIS ISSN 2582-6433 welcomes contributions from all legal branches, as long as the work is original, unpublished and is in consonance with the submission guidelines.

CROSS- BORDER MERGERS AND COMPETITION LAW: HOW REGULATORS HANDLE GLOBAL ACQUISITION

AUTHORED BY - MUDITA SHARMA

University: Gautam Buddha University

Year: Third Year

Subject: Competition Law

INTRODUCTION

In a slowly globalized economy, M&A's do not harm competition, consumers, or result in anti-competitive firms forming in separate jurisdictions. There is a need for an entire regulatory system comprising several supra-national bodies to confirm these benefits. But these types of transactions pose serious problems for competition regulators and have become common for multinational corporations to increase and leverage their market position.

In the case of India, the Competition Act, 2002 governs anti-competitive practices, prohibiting all such practices. However, concern activities like mergers and acquisitions do aid competition. The past act requires the subjects not to indulge in certain monopolistic practices and unfair trade practices.

This article aims for exposure to foreign markets, especially focusing on the regulatory treatment of cross-border mergers.

UNDERSTANDING CROSS- BORDER M&As

Cross-border M&As encompass numerous sectors and industries such as healthcare, education, finance, manufacturing, and technology. In the progressive business environment, companies merge or acquire other firms to grow, which can be in the form of expanding to new markets, gaining economies of scale, diversifying the range of goods and services, developing new technologies and skills, and reducing competition. Growth is the most important thing, after all, the motivation behind these activities. They are important strategies for companies that need to increase their market and growth opportunities. Through cross-border M&A activities,

companies can penetrate new geographical markets, therefore, reaching potential customers.

Companies can increase their market share, lessen the pressure of competition, and exert more influence in the industry by implementing strategic cross-border mergers and acquisitions. Additionally, by removing competition through cross-border M&A, businesses can achieve synergies, streamline operations, and seize fresh growth prospects that might not have been possible on their own.

TYPES OF CROSS- BORDER MERGERS

The most well-known types of mergers are conglomerate, congeneric, product extension, marketplace expansion or marketing/technology related concentric, vertical, horizontal, and reverse. The Companies Act of 2013 recently included the notion of inbound and outbound mergers as part of Section 234 of the Act.

1. Inbound mergers and acquisitions

- This method involves a foreign employer purchasing or merging with an Indian company.
- For instance, Daichii Purchasing Ranbaxy

2. Outbound mergers and acquisitions

- Using this method, a foreign agency is acquired or merged with an Indian corporation.
- For instance, Tata Metal Purchases Corus

Indian agencies and agencies incorporated in certain jurisdictions are permitted to combine and amalgamate under Section 234 of the Companies Act, 2013 (Companies Act) and Rule 25A of the Companies (Compromises, Arrangements and Amalgamations) Rules, 2016 (Companies Merger Rules). These clauses require the Reserve Bank of India's (RBI) prior clearance for these kinds of cross-border mergers. The RBI published the Foreign Exchange Management (Cross Border Merger) Regulations, 2018 (FEMA Regulations) on March 20, 2018, following extensive public consultations, to address a number of potential problems with cross-border mergers from an alternative management standpoint.

THE ROLE OF COMPETITION LAW IN CROSS- BORDER MERGERS

In essence, competition law seeks to encourage fair competition while mitigating the formation of monopolies all for the ultimate benefit of the consumers. It is known as antitrust law, or law

against abuses of dominant positions, consumer protection law, or in some cases even as economic law.

In regard to cross border mergers:

- 1. Prevention of anti-competitive actions:** When businesses from different regions come together, competition law ensures that the new business entity does not create unfair competition and monopolistic practices to harm the consumers or other competitive businesses.
- 2. Monitoring of regulatory standards:** A cross border merger guarantees that approval from different borders is sought. Different countries have their authorities in competition for example, the European Commission in the EU and the Federal Trade Commission in the United States. These officials will analyze the merger with regard to competition.
- 3. Estimation of economic consequences:** The authorities are able to monitor how changes in the merger influence the market, prices, and consumer power. Market reports and data are collected for analysis.
- 4. Modification of remedies:** In case the merger poses danger to competition, appropriate regulators can set terms or require divestitures of certain parts of a business for competition to persist.
- 5. Competition laws are more flexible globally:** Since businesses are now global, there is reasoning to merge stricter competition laws on borders.

KEY REGULATORY BODIES IN CROSS- BORDER M&As

Cross-border mergers frequently need approval from numerous competition authorities since they impact multiple jurisdictions. Among the most significant regulators are:

- 1. United States:** The Department of Justice (DOJ) and the Federal Trade Commission (FTC) both evaluate whether mergers are illegal under US antitrust laws.
- 2. European Commission (EC):** European Union In examining mergers that impact EU markets, the EC is a key player.
- 3. The Competition and Markets Authority (CMA)** of the UK ensures mergers do not harm competition in the market.
- 4. Other National Regulators:** Other nations such as Brazil, India, Australia, and Canada have competition regulators that have the ability to intervene.

Laws that regulate cross- border M&As in Indian transactions include:

1) **Laws that apply to listed companies:**

- The 1872 Indian Contract Act
- Act of 1992, Securities and Exchange Board of India (SEBI)
- The 2009 SEBI Regulations (Capital Issue and Disclosure Requirements)
- Regulations of Securities Acquisition of Shares and Takeovers, 2011
 - The 2015 SEBI Regulations (Disclosure Requirements and Listing Obligations)

2) **Laws That Affect Non-resident or Foreign Companies:**

- The Foreign Exchange Management Act of 1999 and all of its applicable regulations.

3) **Laws that apply if the purchase is made using debt:**

- The 1882 Transfer of Property Act
- The SARFESI Act of 2002, which deals with the securitization and reconstruction of
- Recovering Debts Obligated to the 1993 Banks and Financial Institutions Act
- The 1956 Companies Act
- The Income Tax Act of 1961 and the Companies Act of 2013

CHALLENGES IN REGULATION CROSS- BORDER MERGERS

The key challenges faced during the cross -border M&A and explores the strategies to overcome them.

1. Legal and regulatory compliance

What makes the legal environment particularly demanding is that each country has its own set of corporate laws, securities regulations, competition rules, tax burden and foreign investment policy. Fulfillment of complex laws and regulations used in several jurisdictions one of the most important challenges of cross -border M&E.

Foreign investment policy

Some sectors are considered “sensitive” or “strategic” and foreign investments in companies in these sectors are subject to increased control. Take the Chinese Foreign Investment Act, which requires foreign investments to be explored to avoid threatening national security or loss of control over vital sectors.

Corporations that plan to merge or acquire any company, regardless of the fact that the country has to go mostly the same permission from multiple government bodies to ensure that their business actions are not contrary to the requirements for national security.

2. Tax consequences and structuring

Tax consequences are also a major problem in cross -border M&E. Different countries have different tax structures, so companies must evaluate the impact of the transaction on the buyer and the seller. Cross -border transactions often see double taxation, which can significantly affect the value of the transaction.

Double Taxation Risks

The risk of double taxation In general, if an enterprise in one jurisdiction acquires or connects to the company in another jurisdiction, there is a possibility of tax liability in both. This may happen when assets, shares or shares are transferred between entities located in various tax jurisdictions. Governments usually arrange double tax contracts (DTT) to relieve this risk, but sometimes DTT does not exclude costs.

3. Cultural and operational integration

The organizational culture of society is essential for its smooth activity, and when two businesses with different cultural origin are connected, conflict often results.

Cultural clashes

Various approaches to management, decision -making procedures, communication styles and work ethics can lead to cultural conflicts. For example, European businesses usually have a more consensual approach to decision -making, but US companies are often perceived as a more hierarchical approach. On the other hand, Indian businesses could place more emphasis on the social responsibility of enterprises and family - oriented leadership. Integration can be difficult due to these cultural differences that can affect everything from everyday operations to guidance styles.

4. Due Diligence

Any merger and acquisition require proper care, but cross -border shops require much more. Cross -border Due Diligence includes the assessment of legal and regulatory risks in the home country, in addition to investigating financial statements, contracts and assets. Businesses must make sure that the target company meets local laws and that there are no non -capacity or potential legal problems after the transaction.

The requirement to assess the target society operations across several jurisdictions makes it difficult to care for the cross -border M&A. This includes the search for intellectual property rights (IP), exploring tax documents and ensuring that the company comply with local work and environmental laws.

5. Political and economic risks

Political and economic risks can also affect the stability of cross-border transactions of mergers and acquisitions. The value of the merger may be significantly influenced by the amendments to tax laws, government policies or the rules governing foreign investments. Other danger and obstacles can be based on political unrest or economic decreases in one of the participating nations.

In order to reduce these risks, businesses can think about obtaining political risk insurance. In order to guarantee long-term success of the merger, they must also carefully monitor the political and economic situation of the target market.

STRATEGIES USED BY REGULATORS TO HANDLE GLOBAL MERGERS

In order to maintain competition, protect customers and avoid monopolies, regulatory bodies use a number of tactics to manage international merger. These tactics include:

1. Notice of preliminary mergers and review:

- The completion of the merger is obliged to inform regulatory agencies (such as the Hart-Scott-Rodino Act in the United States).
- The possible impacts of mergers are evaluated by organizations such as the European Commission (EC), the Federal Commercial Commission (FTC) and the Chinese State Administration for Market Regulation (SAMR).

2. Analysis of Competition and Antimonopoly:

- Defiance of the market: to evaluate the concurrent, regulatory authorities identify the market.
- Market concentration: Measurement of changes in concentration is the Herfindahl-Hirschman (HHI) index.
- Increase in prices, less innovations and fewer options for consumers are possible anti-competitive effects.

3. Global Cooperation:

- Regulatory bodies from several nations (eg international competing network (ICN)) cooperate for coordination of decisions.
- Consistent decisions are provided by the exchange of information between the EC, the Ministry of Justice of the US (DO) and other regulators.

4. Structural and behavioral solution:

- Structural remedies include the requirement of asset selling, such as the forced business to sell parts of its operations.
- Behavioral remedial measures: prevention of anti-competing behaviour by enforcing rules such as fair prices or licensing.

5. Prevention or changing fusion:

- Given the fears of tremendous dominance on the market, certain fusion is completely prohibited (eg NVIDIA-RAMEN transactions rejected in 2022).
- Regulatory bodies may grant approval with restrictions such as exploring the purchase of Amazon with MGM protection.

6. Appeal and litigation:

- Businesses have legal disabilities for a competition of regulatory decisions.
- In order to stop the merger, they can file lawsuits (for example, FTC is suing the meta for obtaining Instagram and WhatsApp).

7. Public involvement and parties involved:

- Before allowing mergers, regulators can consult with suppliers, customers and competing companies.
- Decision -making is influenced by public hearing and feedback.

HIGH- PROFILE CROSS- BORDER MERGER CASES

Numerous well-known international mergers and acquisitions (M&A) have changed a number of businesses around the world in recent years. Here are a few noteworthy instances:

1. Vodafone and Mannesmann (1999) - \$202.8B (\$389.42B adjusted for inflation):

As of March 2024, the takeover of Mannesmann by Vodafone in 2000 was still one of the largest acquisitions ever made. Worth ~\$203 billion at that time, Vodafone, a mobile operator based in the United Kingdom, acquired Mannesmann, a German-owned industrial conglomerate company.

This deal made Vodafone the world's largest mobile operator and set the scene for dozens of mega deals in the mobile telecommunications space in the years that followed. This deal is still considered as the **biggest acquisition in history**.

2. Reliance Industries' Upcoming Group Acquisition (2024):

Future Group has successfully sold a number of its retail and wholesale companies to Reliance Industries. With this acquisition, Reliance significantly increased its customer base and market share, solidifying its position as the top business in the Indian retail sector.

3. The Share of Commerzbank held by UniCredit:

The second-largest bank in Italy, UniCredit, became the second-largest stakeholder behind the German government in September 2024 when it purchased a 9% holding in Commerzbank in Germany. In order to increase competitiveness against Asian and American financial institutions, CEO Andrea Orcel wants to promote cross-border mergers. German political groups and labor unions have opposed this plan, underscoring the difficulties associated with cross-border banking consolidations in Europe.

4. The Attempt by Nippon Steel to Purchase American Steel:

Nippon Steel Corporation, a Japanese company, announced in December 2023 that it would buy United States Steel Corporation for \$14.9 billion in cash. Due to worries about foreign control of a significant American steel production, the White House, labor unions, and U.S. lawmakers opposed the agreement. The purchase attempts of Nippon Steel were put on hold when President Joe Biden issued an executive order in January 2025 to prevent the deal.

5. Holcim's Post-Amrize Spin-Off M&A Strategy:

Holcim, a Swiss cement manufacturer, unveiled its "NextGen Growth 2030" plan, which calls for mergers and acquisitions to boost earnings before interest and taxes by 6% to 10% annually by 2030. Holcim aims to become a leading partner in sustainable building after its North American company, Amrize, is scheduled to spin off and go public later in 2025. Aiming for a \$30 billion valuation, the Amrize spin-off will rank among the biggest in the sector.

CONCLUSION

There are many chances for corporate diversification and growth through cross-border mergers and acquisitions. They do, however, present a number of difficulties that call for thorough preparation, knowledgeable legal counsel, and strategic vision. Navigating the operational, cultural, tax, and regulatory obstacles that come up throughout these transactions is a critical function of legal professionals.

In conclusion, cross-border M&As are a desirable alternative for businesses wishing to grow internationally, despite the fact that they pose significant obstacles. Businesses can increase the effectiveness of cross-border mergers and acquisitions by addressing these transactions with meticulous planning, cooperation between legal and financial experts, and a clear focus on integration.

REFERENCES

- International Journal for Multidisciplinary Research (IJFMR). (2023). Cross Border Mergers and Competition Law <https://www.ijfmr.com/papers/2023/3/2887.pdf>
- Singh, D. (2024). Striking a balance: Regulatory compliance and Corporate Governance in Cross-Border Mergers. *International Journal of Creative Research Thoughts (IJCRT)*, 12(5). <http://ijcrt.org/papers/IJCRT2405094.pdf>
- Kummer, C., & Kummer, C. (2024, May 28). *Dealing With Uncertainty: Strategies For Overcoming Compliance Risk And Regulatory Challenges In Cross-Border M&A*. IMAA – Institute for Mergers, Acquisitions, and Alliances. <http://imaa-institute.org/publications/dealing-uncertainty-strategies-overcoming-compliance-risk-regulatory-challenges-cross-border-ma/>
- Briefing, I. (2025, January 28). *Cross-Border M&A in India: 2024 market and regulatory updates*. India Briefing News. <https://www.india-briefing.com/news/cross-border-ma-in-india-2024-market-and-regulatory-updates-34873.html/>
- John O', D. (2024, September 26) UniCredit's Orcel takes aim at Europe's banking borders with Commerzbank bet. Reuters. <https://www.reuters.com/business/finance/unicredits-orcel-takes-aim-europes-banking-borders-with-commerzbank-bet-2024-09-19/#:~:text=For%20decades%2C%20Andrea%20Orcel%20was,Now%2C%20as%20UniCredit%20%28CRDI.>
- Law, M. (2024, December 13). Cross-Border M&A and Competition Laws: A Strategic Guide for Entrepreneurs - Montague Law. *Montague Law*. <https://montague.law/blog/cross-border-ma-entrepreneurs/>