

INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL FOR LEGAL RESEARCH AND ANALYSIS



Open Access, Refereed Journal Multi-Disciplinary
Peer Reviewed

www.ijlra.com

DISCLAIMER

No part of this publication may be reproduced or copied in any form by any means without prior written permission of Managing Editor of IJLRA. The views expressed in this publication are purely personal opinions of the authors and do not reflect the views of the Editorial Team of IJLRA.

Though every effort has been made to ensure that the information in Volume II Issue 7 is accurate and appropriately cited/referenced, neither the Editorial Board nor IJLRA shall be held liable or responsible in any manner what sever for any consequences for any action taken by anyone on the basis of information in the Journal.

Copyright © International Journal for Legal Research & Analysis

EDITORIALTEAM

EDITORS

Dr. Samrat Datta

Dr. Samrat Datta Seedling School of Law and Governance, Jaipur National University, Jaipur. Dr. Samrat Datta is currently associated with Seedling School of Law and Governance, Jaipur National University, Jaipur. Dr. Datta has completed his graduation i.e., B.A.LL.B. from Law College Dehradun, Hemvati Nandan Bahuguna Garhwal University, Srinagar, Uttarakhand. He is an alumnus of KIIT University, Bhubaneswar where he pursued his post-graduation (LL.M.) in Criminal Law and subsequently completed his Ph.D. in Police Law and Information Technology from the Pacific Academy of Higher Education and Research University, Udaipur in 2020. His area of interest and research is Criminal and Police Law. Dr. Datta has a teaching experience of 7 years in various law schools across North India and has held administrative positions like Academic Coordinator, Centre Superintendent for Examinations, Deputy Controller of Examinations, Member of the Proctorial Board



Dr. Namita Jain



Head & Associate Professor

School of Law, JECRC University, Jaipur Ph.D. (Commercial Law) LL.M., UGC-NET Post Graduation Diploma in Taxation law and Practice, Bachelor of Commerce.

Teaching Experience: 12 years, AWARDS AND RECOGNITION of Dr. Namita Jain are - ICF Global Excellence Award 2020 in the category of educationalist by I Can Foundation, India. India Women Empowerment Award in the category of "Emerging Excellence in Academics by Prime Time & Utkrish Bharat Foundation, New Delhi. (2020). Conferred in FL Book of Top 21 Record Holders in the category of education by Fashion Lifestyle Magazine, New Delhi. (2020). Certificate of Appreciation for organizing and managing the Professional Development Training Program on IPR in Collaboration with Trade Innovations Services, Jaipur on March 14th, 2019

Mrs.S.Kalpna

Assistant professor of Law

Mrs.S.Kalpna, presently Assistant professor of Law, VelTech Rangarajan Dr.Sagunthala R & D Institute of Science and Technology, Avadi. Formerly Assistant professor of Law,Vels University in the year 2019 to 2020, Worked as Guest Faculty, Chennai Dr.Ambedkar Law College, Pudupakkam. Published one book. Published 8Articles in various reputed Law Journals. Conducted 1Moot court competition and participated in nearly 80 National and International seminars and webinars conducted on various subjects of Law. Did ML in Criminal Law and Criminal Justice Administration.10 paper presentations in various National and International seminars. Attended more than 10 FDP programs. Ph.D. in Law pursuing.



Avinash Kumar



Avinash Kumar has completed his Ph.D. in International Investment Law from the Dept. of Law & Governance, Central University of South Bihar. His research work is on "International Investment Agreement and State's right to regulate Foreign Investment." He qualified UGC-NET and has been selected for the prestigious ICSSR Doctoral Fellowship. He is an alumnus of the Faculty of Law, University of Delhi. Formerly he has been elected as Students Union President of Law Centre-1, University of Delhi. Moreover, he completed his LL.M. from the University of Delhi (2014-16), dissertation on "Cross-border Merger & Acquisition"; LL.B. from the University of Delhi (2011-14), and B.A. (Hons.) from Maharaja Agrasen College, University of Delhi. He has also obtained P.G. Diploma in IPR from the Indian Society of International Law, New Delhi. He has qualified UGC – NET examination and has been awarded ICSSR – Doctoral Fellowship. He has published six-plus articles and presented 9 plus papers in national and international seminars/conferences. He participated in several workshops on research methodology and teaching and learning.

ABOUT US

INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL FOR LEGAL RESEARCH & ANALYSIS ISSN- 2582-6433 is an Online Journal is Monthly, Peer Review, Academic Journal, Published online, that seeks to provide an interactive platform for the publication of Short Articles, Long Articles, Book Review, Case Comments, Research Papers, Essay in the field of Law & Multidisciplinary issue. Our aim is to upgrade the level of interaction and discourse about contemporary issues of law. We are eager to become a highly cited academic publication, through quality contributions from students, academics, professionals from the industry, the bar and the bench. INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL FOR LEGAL RESEARCH & ANALYSIS ISSN 2582-6433 welcomes contributions from all legal branches, as long as the work is original, unpublished and is in consonance with the submission guidelines.

ECONOMIC GLOBALIZATION AND ITS IMPACT ON NATIONAL POLICY SOVEREIGNTY

AUTHORED BY - HARSHIT VIRMANI

Registration No. – 12523643 Roll No. – RL2507A22

Section – L2507

Course: Law and Justice in a Globalizing World

Course Code: Law603

SUBMITTED TO - DR. AWNISH KUMAR

Department of Law, Lovely Professional Univeristy, Punjab

1. ABSTRACT

Interconnectedness leads to a profound transformation of law and justice. As seen in certain respects, globalization has eroded the accepted boundaries of sovereignty in favor of its challenges to national legal systems, thereby creating new spaces for transnational governance. This paper seeks to analyze the way globalization intervenes in the process of codifying, interpreting, and enforcing legal norms among different jurisdictions. It goes on to examine some of the tensions between universal human rights standards and local legal traditions upon which international institutions such as the International Criminal Court and the United Nations might have intervened, and where, on the other hand, global economic forces may interfere with legal autonomy and social justice. Additionally, it will examine how cross-border migration, digital technologies, and climate change are changing the processes of justice beyond borders. The paper thus argues that there is an urgent need for an inclusive legal framework to allow stable collaboration between global integration, local accountability, and cultural diversity. By critically examining the relationship between law, justice, and globalization, this study feeds into the continuing conversation on the creation of just and effective legal regimes in a vastly changing world.

2. INTRODUCTION

It is one of the most defining forces of the twenty-first century, whereas globalization consists of numerous dimensions-culture, politics, environment, and society-however, arguably the most profound force undermining the world order is economic globalization. Economic globalization means integrating national economies more and more into a single world

economy. Trade liberalization, cross-border investments, the spreading of multinational corporations, and the free flow of information and technology are its mechanisms. Consequently, there is a world of interdependence since often the economic health of one nation depends on the policies and decisions of another.

Globalization has never been considered totally new; a few centuries ago some other sort of globalization occurred in trade and diplomacy, migration, and so forth. What differentiates the globalization today is its speed and accelerated degree of cooperation. Recent innovations in communications and transport technologies together with digital platforms and financial markets have ever-more-rapidly integrated economies into several levels of interdependence. Today, no country could completely absolve itself from the dynamics of the global economy- it can neither be said to be too small nor too large, very much developed or very much underdeveloped.

With these changes, the notion of sovereignty draws contention. That which conventionally describes situation wherein sovereignty means the highest authority granted to a state to govern itself, free of interference from others. In the economic lexicon, it means the right of a government to design and implement policies of its own to deal with trade, taxes, labor, industry, and finance. However, in a world of supply chains and trade agreements and multilateral financial institutions, this independence is increasingly compromised.

The paradox of globalization is that it can be construed both ways. Its good side fosters development, innovation, and cooperation; its bad side restricts states' opportunities to pursue independent policies that serve domestic agendas. Governments are increasingly driven to align their regulations with international standards even if doing so impedes their own strategic interests. The tension between integration and independence framed by globalization and sovereignty is very much the substance of current debates.

The purpose of this paper is to provide a critical analysis of how economic globalization affects the sovereignty of national policy. It will do so by assessing both the challenges and opportunities offered by globalization, discussing the means through which sovereignty is reshaped, and assessing possible strategies nations may adopt in order to maintain meaningful policy autonomy in a globalized world.

3. IDENTIFICATION OF RESEARCH PROBLEM

¹The more the blessing of globalization weighs against the deprivation of autonomy, the more it creates contradictions in the world. In most of modern history, governments have closely managed their economies; it determined the tariffs and regulated financial flows and set strategies for industries depending on what was best for that specific country. Such independence now is fully impossible because of globalization.

These dimensions are as follows :

1. Trade Liberalization and Constraints

By contrast, liberalized trade is a radical trade revolution that furthers globalization under arrangements, such as those defined under the World Trade Organization (WTO) umbrella, which viewed Africa as an antithesis. All that remains is to eliminate tariffs among nations and bring down the trade barriers. It could scale both economic growth and competitiveness but then cut governments' ability to protect domestic industries. Protective tariffs, subsidies, and other measures used to safeguard local economies may be subjected to international rules violation charges.

2. Pressures on Financial Markets

Such an internationalized financial system brings in some kind of international investor and credit-rating agency to observe a country's policies seriously. To maintain investment confidence, governments have to design both their fiscal and monetary policies in favor of their domestic priorities but with regard to their international reputation as well. Unfavorable policy measures such as higher taxes on corporations or stricter labor regulations would result in capital flight, depreciation of the currency, or decline in the stock market.

Such a dynamic creates a situation whereby governments are indirectly pressurized to place international prerogatives above local necessities.

3. Multinational Corporations

A MNCs are the most important actors in globalization as far as their weight and

¹ <https://www.imf.org/en/Publications/Books/Issues/2016/12/31/Financial-Globalization-The-Impact-on-Trade-Policy-Labor-and-Capital-Flows-19369>
<https://www.econstor.eu/handle/10419/20704>
<https://drodrik.scholar.harvard.edu/dani-rodrik/publications/globalization-paradox-democracy-and-future-world-economy>
<https://www.cambridge.org/core/journals/review-of-international-studies/article/abs/sovereignty-developing-countries-and-international-financial-institutions-a-reply-to-david-williams/3827AD700858B5E634CF69505A94CD2D>

mobility inspire enormous relative capacity to influence state policies. A singular relocation of production from one country to another is evoked by a lower belief enrollment of an inferred business-savvy state to a friend's regulatory and tax advantages. It puts pressure on the administration to adopt "business-friendly" policies, typically at the expense of labor rights, environmental protections, or neglected social welfare programs. They prepared their data for training until October 2023.

4. International Financial Institutions (IFIs)

However, most institutions like the International Monetary Fund and the World Bank often advance loans and facility packages to states in times of crisis. Conditions attach to such applications, which normally entail measures for structural adjustments. These reforms very often imply fiscal austerity, privatization, or liberalization-the kind of policies which may not be in sync with the immediate needs of a nation. Thus, IFI dependency detracts from the sovereignty of policy; states are forced to live by externally imposed economic frameworks.²

5. Domestic Trade-Offs

Finally, globalization provides for tough domestic trade-offs that countries have to make. To remain competitive within the global marketplace, governments might reduce their corporation taxes, deregulate labor markets, or reduce their public spending. The effect would be two-fold: the country would attract foreign investments; at the same time, however, social welfare systems may end up disenfranchised and consequently aggravating inequality. Thus, these are continually judging the international competitiveness of their country against equity, social and economic justice within their borders.

Thus the research problem revolves around the question: How do states preserve sovereignty over their own policymaking while engaging meaningfully in a globalized economic system?

4. LITERATURE REVIEW

This has brought them disagreement in scholarly circles, policy-making bodies, and international organizations on the issue of globalization and sovereignty. Of late, it has become the very hotbed of

² https://www.wto.org/english/tratop_e/tratop_e.htm
<https://www.imf.org/en/Publications/GFSR>
<https://unctad.org/topic/investment/world-investment-report>
<https://www.worldbank.org/en/about/what-we-do/brief/international-financial-institutions>
<https://www.oecd.org/globalisation>

literatures, ranging from the most bubbly ones that see globalization quite as an agitator of prosperity to the most critical arguments viewing globalization as an antagonist of autonomy and right.

3.1 Classical Views on Sovereignty and Globalization

Bodin and then, much later, Westphalian thinkers would generally encompass international relations and sovereignty as the absolute power over the land and people that states possess. In this form, in this tradition, sovereignty was seen as very much indivisible and immune to external influence. However, with the growth of economic interdependence in the post-World War II world, academic argument has been based on whether sovereignty survives after the cross-border flows of capital, trade, and ideas (Held & McGrew, 2007).

3.2 Optimistic Perspectives

Proponents of globalization argue that it is consistent with the notion that economic integration strengthens states instead of weakening them. Their argument runs as follows: 'globalization encourages efficiency, innovations, and competition, which will eventually benefit society as a whole.' Keohane and Nye's theory of complex interdependence buttresses the view of globalization, whereby it creates these webs of cooperation in which states voluntarily share sovereignty to achieve mutual benefits. In this view, sovereignty is not taken away from the state but altered to become more collaborative. States continue to be autonomous and can voluntarily restrict autonomy to acquire more in return (Keohane & Nye, 2001).

Supporters emphasize the uplifting narratives whereby globalization dragged millions out of poverty and ushered them into technology and markets. In international organizations like the WTO, success stories transform rules into platforms for cooperation and clearly multilateral agreements. From the positive perspective, globalization does not undercut sovereignty in any way but modernizes it-another form fashioned wherein states will negotiate mutual benefits through dependence.

3.3 Critical Perspectives

On the other hand, critical scholars emphasize about constraints within which globalization places on national autonomy. According to Joseph Stiglitz (2002), "Globalization often only expresses the interests of the powerful nations and corporations, to which smaller and poorer countries fall victim"; conditions applied by IMF or World Bank often attend to policies

domestically imbricated with the term austerity, complete with nearly all other liberalization strategies, at least irrespective of local need, towards "democratizing" policymaking in governments. Dani Rodrik (2011) states the same thing in his argument on the 'globalization trilemma,' according to which the three so-called positions-democracy, national sovereignty, and deep economic integration-can at best overlap in two of them at the maximum.³

3.4 Postcolonial Perspectives and Dependency

Postcolonialism and dependency perspectives argue that globalization reinforces unequal power relations between the global north and south. In such perspectives, it is further argued that globalization as a process is never neutral but instead favors advanced economies with increasing restrictions on the autonomy of less powerful states. Multinational corporations, generally operating from the Global North and consequently having discharged powerful transnational identities, intervene in matters of resource allocation, labor standards, and political agendas in the Global South. Conditional sovereignty is thus placed on many developing states by global economic hierarchies, intervening on their domestic priorities (Amin, 1997).

3.5 Governance and Shared Sovereignty

There is another body of literature that talks about pooled or shared sovereignty. What this perspective highlights is that states do not merely lose sovereignty; rather, they consciously pool it to deal with collective global challenges. Examples are given, in particular, from the EU, whereby member states pool some given authority into supranational organizations, thereby enhancing the potentiality to deal with broader international markets and promote stability and influence for the member states. Krasner (1999) sees this "organized hypocrisy" of sovereignty as an instrumental use of a principle flexible enough to yield to the power games of cooperation. Upon this account, globalization rather did reveal that sovereignty was always limited; states are already adept in adjusting its very meaning for their contemporary needs.

3.6 New Perspectives on the Topic

Current literature investigates the intersections between digital globalization, financial

³ <https://politybooks.com/globalization>
<https://global.oup.com/academic/product/power-and-interdependence-9780321461633>
<https://wnnorton.com/books/9780393051247>
<https://wnnorton.com/books/9780393079975>
<https://www.zedbooks.net/shop/book/capitalism-in-the-age-of-globalization>
<https://press.princeton.edu/books/paperback/9780691010244/sovereignty>

integration, and their implications for sovereignty. Scholars contend that global supply chains, e-commerce, and digital currencies intensify the complications of the nature of sovereignty vis-a-vis regulation that transgresses territorial boundaries. Data governance, cybersecurity, and intellectual property, among others, have now entered the globalization-sovereignty conversation (Castells, 2010). States have found their enforcement cravings clashing with the established power of global actors, including corporations such as Google or Amazon, over national governments.

5. RESEARCH OBJECTIVE

The purposes of study in these instances cannot be stated simply as:

1. understanding concepts and processes related to economic globalization,
2. studying effects of globalization on the sovereignty of national policy
3. bringing to light positive aspects of globalization, like increased economic growth and cooperation
4. arguing unfavorable effects of globalization, like diminished autonomy and increased dependency and inequality
5. presenting options to states to deal with the balancing act of integration with sovereignty.

Also, the author's diction must be that of a professor or an eminent scholar. Thus it becomes possible to capture the entity and put it in context according to five points. When running the propensity of interest in this essay, the query, source literature, and research objectives will be the main concern about the economic globalization- its mechanisms, influences, and then implications discussed in this paper.

This study aims to: First, give an exposition of those concepts and mechanisms according to economically integrated or globalized societies. Second, they are to study the effects of globalization on national sovereignty concerning policy. Third, the positive changes following globalization such as enhanced economic growth and collaboration are to be underlined. Fourth, the negative consequences of globalization are to be weighed such as limited opportunity and dependence, which lead to inequality. Finally, solutions will be given to states to cope with this-instance balancing of integration and sovereignty.

6. RESEARCH QUESTIONS

The set of research questions that gird this study shall include:

1. To analyze the extent of limitation to national government capacity for independent policy decision- making subjected to economic globalization itself?
2. Which among trade agreements, financial markets, multinational corporations, and international institutions, in the process of globalization, may exert considerable influence on policy autonomy?
3. What possible positive outcomes of globalization may exist with respect to the sovereignty of policies, if any?
4. How can states weigh the positive impacts of globalization against the negative impacts on autonomy?

7. RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

Qualitative research confutes itself since it deals with very much broader theoretical concepts rather than numbers-from economic globalization and national sovereignty. A qualitative approach was very much considered as here the subjects of study, arguments, and policies are mostly abstract and cannot easily be quantified. Here research does not look into the statistical indicators trying to measure globalization, rather it interprets how globalization shapes and constrains state policy. The perception that globalization is polysemious and not an entirely economic phenomenon is that it intersects politics, governance, and sovereignty.

The research is exploratory and explanatory. Thus, it is exploratory because it entertains an endless discussion, emphasizes upon certain emerging trends, and digs out that pattern which repeats itself in the literature. But, it is very uniquely explanatory, for it endeavors to argue the points of how global forces affect domestic policy-making and renegotiation of sovereignty. In this way, one could expect that explanatory framework would not confine the related result only to a description of globalization but may also yield some insight into its relations with state autonomy.

⁴All the materials for this study are secondary sources. Those include academic books and

⁴ <https://www.norton.com/books/9780393079975>
<https://www.norton.com/books/9780393051247>
<https://press.princeton.edu/books/paperback/9780691010244/sovereignty>
<https://www.imf.org/en/Publications>
<https://www.worldbank.org/en/publication/global-economic-prospects>

journal articles; reports generated by international organizations like the IMF, World Bank, and WTO; and policy briefs and documents of research institutes, among other types of sources. These sources provide all aspects between the optimistic ends of seeing globalization as a powerful engine for cooperation to the more pessimistic ends of seeing the loss of autonomy due to globalization. Borrowing from secondary data on the other hand opens up the study to a larger view, making large but generalized conclusions without assessment tied to specific case studies that would narrow its scope. It provides the benefit of access to debates long held while making inroads into contemporary discussions regarding digital globalization and non-state actors.

The thematic analysis method has been adopted in carrying out the research. The study does not intend to formulate statistical models which are to be tested against a given hypothesis, but seeks to identify recurring themes across the literature as well as the policy documents. These include: whether sovereignty is different or just two sides of the same coin-in-dependence or independence, the good or bad globalization debate, and most importantly the constraints of international financial institutions. This thematically organized structure is thus useful in highlighting both similarities and difference in scholars' viewpoints while telling a more coherent account.

The research questions which the study is supposed to answer are framed systematically and tackled within this framework. For instance, in terms of whether globalization erodes sovereignty, findings are drawn from Rodrik, Stiglitz, and Krasner. For instance, when examining whether these studies view globalization as an opportunity, one analyzes their arguments on the penetrating trade liberalization, innovativeness, and interdependence theory. Last, concerning the point of view of how states negotiate their autonomy against interdependence, the analysis considers wider institutional frameworks such as the EU, not so much as case studies, but as conceptual models of pooled sovereignty. In this way, the research questions are implemented as manageable units of analysis. It does not mean that such research is meant solely for one country; it can be generalized to many countries rather than just one alone. However, the drawback is that it does not provide empirical field or statistical evidence. It was acknowledged but justified because the research aims at contributing to theoretical understanding through hypothesis testing rather than extents of quantitative data. Theory and secondary sources have conferred depth and breadth of analysis on this research, although it cannot claim empirical precision.

The other limitation of this method is acknowledged too. Not only is it derived entirely from secondary sources, but it also can neither produce new data nor make compelling causal inferences. Its results are interpretative but beyond measurement because it lacks quantitative investigation. These drawbacks would seem miniscule compared to the strength garnered from such declared conceptual analysis, enabling the study to draw upon a very extensive body of literature and hence present a more balanced argument.

Moreover, this research does not involve human subjects, but it is ethical because it conforms to the principles of academic integrity. The references are duly recognized, and the ideas credited to their originators. This, therefore, upholds credibility in this work and, at the same time, prevents his from plagiarism.

This research methodology includes the qualitative design, the use of secondary data, and thematic analysis in studying the dimensions through which economic globalization is interacting with state sovereignty.

Though the investigation is empirical, it may be outstretched and complex enough to be ideally suited for that well-established conceptual framework applied.

CONCLUSION

Clearly, there exists no precedent to show that the forces of economic globalization will bring much of reshaping to national policymaking. Such powerful cyclones enter into the nature of reforms of systems to render chances for unprecedented growth and cooperation in this world. However, it has restricted the scope of states to act in sovereign capacity once again. Absolute sovereignty has become relative, negotiated, and conditional.

Research shows how sovereignty remains affected under redefinitions that work to give meaning to their newly formed categories. Sovereignty does not totally become obsolete; no absolute liberty is granted to states to pursue independent policies, but they can determine how to interface with the world. Countries can maintain an important degree of autonomy through well-functioning institutions and adaptive strategies that find a good balance of integration and independence.

Certainly, the settlers are doing gymnastics balancing the merits of globalization with the gains

of sovereignty. Only thus can a country deftly negotiate the waters of globalization in a manner beneficial to the world marketplace and equally to its citizens.

REFERENCE

Websites

- <https://www.zedbooks.net/shop/book/capitalism-in-the-age-of-globalization>
- <https://politybooks.com/globalization164>
- <https://global.oup.com/academic/product/power-and-interdependence-9780321461633>
- <https://press.princeton.edu/books/paperback/9780691010244/sovereignty>
- <https://wwnorton.com/books/9780393079975>
- <https://wwnorton.com/books/9780393051247>
- <https://www.imf.org/en/Publications>
- <https://www.worldbank.org/en/publication/global-economic-prospects>
- <https://www.wto.org/>

