

INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL FOR LEGAL RESEARCH AND ANALYSIS



Open Access, Refereed Journal Multi-Disciplinary
Peer Reviewed

www.ijlra.com

DISCLAIMER

No part of this publication may be reproduced or copied in any form by any means without prior written permission of Managing Editor of IJLRA. The views expressed in this publication are purely personal opinions of the authors and do not reflect the views of the Editorial Team of IJLRA.

Though every effort has been made to ensure that the information in Volume II Issue 7 is accurate and appropriately cited/referenced, neither the Editorial Board nor IJLRA shall be held liable or responsible in any manner whatsoever for any consequences for any action taken by anyone on the basis of information in the Journal.

Copyright © International Journal for Legal Research & Analysis

EDITORIALTEAM

EDITORS

Dr. Samrat Datta

Dr. Samrat Datta Seedling School of Law and Governance, Jaipur National University, Jaipur. Dr. Samrat Datta is currently associated with Seedling School of Law and Governance, Jaipur National University, Jaipur. Dr. Datta has completed his graduation i.e., B.A.LL.B. from Law College Dehradun, Hemvati Nandan Bahuguna Garhwal University, Srinagar, Uttarakhand. He is an alumnus of KIIT University, Bhubaneswar where he pursued his post-graduation (LL.M.) in Criminal Law and subsequently completed his Ph.D. in Police Law and Information Technology from the Pacific Academy of Higher Education and Research University, Udaipur in 2020. His area of interest and research is Criminal and Police Law. Dr. Datta has a teaching experience of 7 years in various law schools across North India and has held administrative positions like Academic Coordinator, Centre Superintendent for Examinations, Deputy Controller of Examinations, Member of the Proctorial Board



Dr. Namita Jain

Head & Associate Professor

School of Law, JECRC University, Jaipur Ph.D. (Commercial Law) LL.M., UGC -NET Post Graduation Diploma in Taxation law and Practice, Bachelor of Commerce.



Teaching Experience: 12 years, AWARDS AND RECOGNITION of Dr. Namita Jain are - ICF Global Excellence Award 2020 in the category of educationalist by I Can Foundation, India. India Women Empowerment Award in the category of "Emerging Excellence in Academics by Prime Time & Utkrisht Bharat Foundation, New Delhi. (2020). Conferred in FL Book of Top 21 Record Holders in the category of education by Fashion Lifestyle Magazine, New Delhi. (2020). Certificate of Appreciation for organizing and managing the Professional Development Training Program on IPR in Collaboration with Trade Innovations Services, Jaipur on March 14th, 2019

Mrs.S.Kalpana

Assistant professor of Law

Mrs.S.Kalpana, presently Assistant professor of Law, VelTech Rangarajan Dr.Sagunthala R & D Institute of Science and Technology, Avadi. Formerly Assistant professor of Law, Vels University in the year 2019 to 2020, Worked as Guest Faculty, Chennai Dr.Ambedkar Law College, Pudupakkam. Published one book. Published 8Articles in various reputed Law Journals. Conducted 1Moot court competition and participated in nearly 80 National and International seminars and webinars conducted on various subjects of Law. Did ML in Criminal Law and Criminal Justice Administration. 10 paper presentations in various National and International seminars. Attended more than 10 FDP programs. Ph.D. in Law pursuing.



Avinash Kumar



Avinash Kumar has completed his Ph.D. in International Investment Law from the Dept. of Law & Governance, Central University of South Bihar. His research work is on "International Investment Agreement and State's right to regulate Foreign Investment." He qualified UGC-NET and has been selected for the prestigious ICSSR Doctoral Fellowship. He is an alumnus of the Faculty of Law, University of Delhi. Formerly he has been elected as Students Union President of Law Centre-1, University of Delhi. Moreover, he completed his LL.M. from the University of Delhi (2014-16), dissertation on "Cross-border Merger & Acquisition"; LL.B. from the University of Delhi (2011-14), and B.A. (Hons.) from Maharaja Agrasen College, University of Delhi. He has also obtained P.G. Diploma in IPR from the Indian Society of International Law, New Delhi. He has qualified UGC – NET examination and has been awarded ICSSR – Doctoral Fellowship. He has published six-plus articles and presented 9 plus papers in national and international seminars/conferences. He participated in several workshops on research methodology and teaching and learning.

ABOUT US

INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL FOR LEGAL RESEARCH & ANALYSIS
ISSN

2582-6433 is an Online Journal is Monthly, Peer Review, Academic Journal, Published online, that seeks to provide an interactive platform for the publication of Short Articles, Long Articles, Book Review, Case Comments, Research Papers, Essay in the field of Law & Multidisciplinary issue. Our aim is to upgrade the level of interaction and discourse about contemporary issues of law. We are eager to become a highly cited academic publication, through quality contributions from students, academics, professionals from the industry, the bar and the bench. INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL FOR LEGAL RESEARCH & ANALYSIS ISSN 2582-6433 welcomes contributions from all legal branches, as long as the work is original, unpublished and is in consonance with the submission guidelines.

A STUDY ON LAND ACQUISITION IN INDIA: A CRITICAL STUDY CONCERNING LEGAL RIGHTS

AUTHORED BY - MS. HARSHINI E S & MS. PREETHI R

Abstract

Land is one of the crucial resources for the survival of humans. Every person has equal and inherent right to live over this land but their acquisition and ownership over the land may vary. Since the former age, the piece of land which do not have any ownership automatically becomes the property of the government. Under the legislation for land, the government can also acquire the land from private individuals for the public purpose which has been the dynamic law and procedure since the British era in India. However, earlier the acquisition of land by the government was arbitrary, unjustifiable, and unreasonable. All the prior land-related legislation existed till the current laws were weak and abortive. Those Acts also do not deal with the concerns relating to resettlement, rehabilitation, and transparency rights of the affected parties whose land was acquired by the government on account of public purpose.

This paper is a critical study of the legal rights concerned with the current legislation that are protected or not. And to scrutinize whether the main object of the legislation to utilize the land for public purpose and to promote public welfare is implemented or not. Another objective of the paper is to determine the lacunas of the current legislation and to provide possible recommendations for the better implementation of the laws.

KEYWORDS: Right, public purpose, legislation, property

INTRODUCTION

“The existence of human being in the world without right is like a body without a soul”.

The land is a basic source of livelihood for humans and the right to live in the land is inherent and attached to the land. With the development of human civilization, people who used to live, work, and cultivate on the piece of land started owning the land as a property or asset. However, the concept remains changing with the evolution where in the dynasty era the kings were used to be the owners of the land followed by the British government ownership and individual ownership.

Idea of Land Acquisition is a process of acquiring land by the government authority from the private individual in consideration of the compensation in monetary form, for the public purpose. The Doctrine of Eminent Domain is the root of the notion of land acquisition which was introduced by the father of International Law- Hugo Grotius in his book called Laws of War and Peace in the 17th century. This doctrine was based on the maxim- ‘Necessity public major set quam private’ which means that the public necessity is greater than the private necessity.

The Doctrine of Eminent Domain was popular at that time and the government or the kings were used to follow this doctrine. Under this principle, the government authority including Britishers used to acquire property from private individuals for the public purpose to promote the public welfare. To provide credibility and legality to the process of land acquisition, several Acts were passed since the British era. However, the acquisition of land by the government was arbitrary, unjustifiable, and unreasonable. Also, the Acts failed to achieve their objective of public welfare and to provide fair and reasonable compensation to the affected parties. Later, new legislation was passed to provide legal rights like the right to resettlement, rehabilitation, and fair compensation.

All the prior legislations of land had failed due to certain defects hence the history of land laws including the acquisition of land remains dynamic and changed according to the necessity. However, after the independence, the “Right to Property” was incorporated into the Constitution of India which conflicted with the Land Acquisition Act therefore later on this right was transmitted from a fundamental right to a legal right because of the contradictory nature of this capitalist right and the socialist right. Still, the current legislation is not justifiable due to the ambiguity in the description of the term ‘public purpose’ and the arbitrary acts of the government that have been observed and analyzed through certain case laws.

Research Objective

- ✚ To understand the concept of Land Acquisition and the rights concerned.
- ✚ To critically analyze the protection of legal rights concerned with the land laws.
- ✚ To determine the justifiable utilization of the land on account of public purpose by the state government.
- ✚ To scrutinise the efficiency of the current Land Acquisition Act.
- ✚ Research Methodology

- ✚ The approach for collecting the resources is empirical or secondary research from the data collection like books, articles commentaries, etc.

Inception Of Land Acquisition In India

History

The origin of the idea of land acquisition can be traced to the ancient times of monarchy when only the kings were considered as the owners of the land property and other persons was only permitted to hold any land property. However, the ownership of land property is a very dynamic concept that has changed and developed over time. Later, the rights of the individuals were also recognized but these rights were restricted after the advent of Britishers in India.

During the British era, the British government started acquiring land based on Eminent Domain emerged after the Battle of Palassy and permanent settlement in India. As per the principle of Eminent Domain, the main objective is to acquire land for the public purpose instead of compensation however, this doctrine was misused by the British government. They used to acquire land for their profit although the acquisitions were non-transparent and unjustified. The payment as compensation to the affected parties after the acquisition was unfair and unreasonable.

To provide the legality and legal procedure to the process of acquisition the first regulation for land acquisition was made by the British government in the year 1824 with the objective of the public welfare however they only facilitate their work for their profit and benefit. Later there were different regulations created over a long period. In 1857 the Sepoy Mutiny (rebellion as described by the British authority) tried to lead to the abolishment of the land acquisition regulations of Britishers and this incident is also considered as the first freedom struggle in India. In 1870, another regulation was formed to overcome the flaws but failed to do so then, again in the year 1894 new laws for land acquisition were passed to improve regulations and procedures of the acquisition. The main objective of the New Act of 1894 was to establish an effective structure for the regulation and to acquire the land for public purposes. However, in the Act of 1894 there were certain defects like the term 'public purpose' was ambiguous, the compensation given to the parties was unfair and there was no opportunity provided to object, for the affected parties.

Land Acquisition Reforms After Independence

In the year 1947 when India gained independence and the constitution was adopted in 1950 the government reformed with well-structured regulations and rights provided in the provisions of the Indian Constitution. After this, the matter of land acquisition was covered under the state jurisdiction provided in the 7th schedule and authorized to make laws under the provision of Article 246 of the constitution of India. In the beginning, the land acquisition was done by the government of India based on a welfare project but later on, for some reasons, the Act of 1894 was continued by the government to acquire the land.

In the constitution, the 'right to property' was recognized under Article 19 (1)(f), and Article 31. However, the laws related to land acquisition conflicted with the property right hence, this right was acting as a hindrance in the land acquisition process by the government. The I.C. Golaknath v. State of Punjab case of 1967 was indeed a landmark judgment that had far-reaching consequences. In this case, the Punjab Security of Land Tenure Act 1953 was challenged on the grounds of its land ceiling provisions. The Supreme Court held that Part III of the Constitution, which deals with fundamental rights, cannot be abridged or curtailed by the Parliament through a constitutional amendment. This judgment triggered a series of constitutional amendments and led to several other judgments that sought to clarify the scope and limits of the amending power of the Parliament.

Legal Rights Concerned With Land Acquisition

Every material or immaterial thing possessed or used by individuals is inculcated with the rights attached to them. Since the concept of acquisition of land emerged from the British era, the process the land acquisition was done arbitrarily. However, the concept of rights is always attached to the possessed property but the British government only determined their profit and undermined the rights of the affected parties relating to the acquisition. There are certain rights attached to the acquisition of land which were never taken into consideration till the new Act was passed. The undermined rights were –

The payment of compensation given by the British government was unfair and unreasonable, however, it is the right of the affected party to get the proportional payment.

The objective of public purpose was ambiguous and non-transparent. It is the right of the affected party to know the utilization of the land acquired from them by the government.

The procedure for the rehabilitation and resettlement after the land acquisition was not considered in the previous Acts.

Meanwhile, there was a series of land acquisitions that took place before the enactment of the new Act in which the construction and establishment of various infrastructures like Dams, Mines, and National parks for public purposes was done.

In the first Narmada Bachao Andolan Case, the opposition to the prior regulation was made when the Sardar Sarovar Dam's height was increased leading to the displacement of people living nearby. The affected people were promised by the government compensation however there were no provisions for the resettlement and rehabilitation of the displaced people. After this, the protest was started in 1985 and continued till 1990. Later the government made policies for the resettlement and rehabilitation of the displaced people.

In the second Narmada Bachao Andolan case the Supreme Court held that It is important to ensure that the rights of displaced persons are protected and that they are properly rehabilitated and resettled to lead a decent life so that there would not be the violation of fundamental rights of Article 21.

After all these incidents were taken into consideration, the new land acquisition law was enacted called "The Right to Fair Compensation and Transparency in Land Acquisition, Rehabilitation and Resettlement Act, 2013." Under this Act, all the aspects were taken into consideration like,

Absence of Rehabilitation and Resettlement for displacement of affected people

Social Impact assessment

To ensure fair and reasonable compensation to the affected people

Lack of dispute redressal mechanism

Consideration of required public purpose

Under the current legislation of the land, the proper mechanism and procedure have been provided for the process of land acquisition by the government along with the ensured legal as well as fundamental rights.

From Fundamental Right to Legal Rights: Transition of ‘right to property’

In 1950, when the Constitution of India was adopted, there was a demand to make the Right to Property, a fundamental right which stated “No person shall be deprived of his life, liberty or property without due process”. Hence, the right to Property as a fundamental right was recognized and enshrined under the provision of Article 19(1)(f) and Article 31 in the Indian Constitution because earlier the land acquisition process was arbitrary where the government authority used to acquire the Land of any private individual for the public purpose. However, this Right to property as a fundamental right was removed by the 44th Amendment and added as a constitutional right or legal right under the provision of Article 300 A of the Constitution.

Indeed, one of the main reasons for the removal of the right to property from the fundamental rights was the large number of litigations in courts related to land acquisition by the government. These litigations were seen as an impediment to the development process, which included infrastructure development and agriculture reforms. The “Right to Property” conflicted with the Land Acquisition Act as there was a contradictory nature between the capitalist right and the socialist right. Meanwhile, the *Golaknath v. State Of Punjab*, or simply the *Golaknath* case, was a 1967 Indian Supreme Court case, in which the Court ruled that Parliament could not curtail any of the Fundamental Rights in the Constitution.

But after the 44th Amendment, the ‘Right to Property’ is dead as a fundamental right but considered as a legal right or a constitutional right. This can be observed in the case of *Jilubhai Nanbhai Khachar v. State of Gujrat*, it was held that the Right to Property U/A 300A is not a basic structure of the Constitution. It is only a constitutional right.

Currently, the ‘Right to Property’ is protected as a constitutional right under the Indian Constitution provided in the provision of Article 300 (A) which states that “No person shall be deprived of his property save by the authority of law”

In the case of *Hari Krishna Mandir Trust vs the State of Maharashtra And Others*, it was held by the SC that the appellant cannot be deprived of his strip of land being a private road, without the authority of law, if allowed will be a violation of Art. 300 A of COI.

Effect of Conversion of Right to Property From Fundamental right to a legal right

It's interesting to note that the government believed that meeting socialistic goals was necessary and that the interests of the public and public welfare should take priority over private interests. However, it's important to remember that this didn't mean that the state had the right to take away private property in any way they saw fit. Private property rights are still protected under the law. However, it is important to also note that the removal of this right led to concerns about the protection of property rights and the potential for abuse by the government in acquiring land.

Efficiency Of Current Legislation Of 'Larr Act, 2013

After determining the flaws and defects in the former Land Acquisition Act, the New Land Acquisition Law was enacted to overcome the flaws in the previous laws. The Act was called "The Right to Fair Compensation and Transparency in Land Acquisition, Rehabilitation and Resettlement Act, 2013."

The bill of the Act was passed in 2011, however, it was passed in 2013 and came into force on 1st January 2014. There are certain amendments made in the year 2015.

The main aim of the act of LARR, 2013 is to ensure fair and reasonable compensation to the affected parties whose land property has been acquired by the government authority, to ensure transparency in the process of land acquisition, and to facilitate the resettlement and rehabilitation to the displaced parties in the procedure of land acquisition.

Other objectives of the New Act are to overcome the inequalities between the state and the land losers and to achieve the requirement of consent and Social Impact Assessment.

Lacunae in the Current Law

Inefficient Land Reforms

Although the legal reforms are essential this is insufficient for ensuring efficiency and equality within the process of land acquisition.

Inclusiveness of the term 'Public Purpose'

The definition of 'public purpose' is not precisely defined in the Land Acquisition Act or the Constitution of India. This has led to situations where the government has stretched the

definition of 'public purpose' to its limit, including the acquisition of land for non-profit private companies. This has been a cause of concern for many, as it can lead to the displacement of farmers and other landowners without adequate compensation or rehabilitation.

It is indeed noteworthy that the judiciary has a significant role to play in examining what constitutes a public purpose, as well as ensuring that the purpose remains unchanged. In the case of M/S. Royal Orchid Hotels Ltd, it was held that the land acquired by the state for public purpose cannot be transferred to private entities for private use, as this would amount to a diversification of public purpose. The concept of public purpose cannot be expanded to legitimize fraudulent activity. The judiciary carefully examines whether the public purpose is being diverted for private use, even after the acquisition process has been completed.

Requirement of administrative reform

A strict administrative reform is required to limit the exercise of the state's power in land acquisition and to analyze the credibility and legality of the public purpose. Also, It is unfortunate that in some cases, the injured party may not be able to petition the Supreme Court or High Court for speedy remedy in case of disputes under the Act.

Conclusion

This paper delves into the complex topic of land acquisition in India, exploring its origins, the relationship between land acquisition and property rights, and the comparison of the 1894 and 2013 land acquisition acts. The paper also examines whether land acquisition and the 'Right to Property' are contradictory concepts, and why the 'Right to Property' was struck down as a fundamental right. The issue of resettlement and compensation has been a heated topic since the colonial era, and the constitution sought to address this by providing guarantees through Article 19(f) and Article 31. The removal of the Right to Property as a fundamental right has had a great impact on the history of land acquisition law in India. Although it is said that public necessity is greater than private necessity hence, this should be implemented reasonably and justifiably. Considering the Right to freedom as a fundamental right then it is proportional to independence which is directly correlated with the Right to Property. Hence it could also be pertained as a basic structure.

After determining the flaws and defects in the former Land Acquisition Act, the LARR Act was enacted in 2013 to overcome the flaws in the previous laws. The New Act is successful in

achieving some of the requirements like fair compensation rehabilitation and resettlement but it still lagging in providing equality between the state and land losers and determining the exclusive definition of the term public purpose. The current Act can become effective by the proper implementation of the procedure, by examining the state activity of land acquisition, and by scrutinizing the credibility and legitimacy of the term 'Public Purpose'. The misuse of the Act on account of Public Purpose has been witnessed in certain cases hence, the power and the role of government to decide the public purpose should be analyzed and declined, and the role of justice provider protagonist i.e., the Judiciary, to protect and promote the interest of individual whose land is acquired should be augmented.

Bibliography

Statutes

Constitution of India, 1950

The Right to Fair Compensation and Transparency in Land Acquisition, Rehabilitation and Resettlement Act, 2013

Books

Dr. Anukriti Mishra, Land Laws

Kanwal D P Singh, Land Laws (Including Land Acquisition and Rent Laws) 2nd Edition

Articles/Journals/ Websites

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/I.C._Golaknath_and_Ors._vs_State_of_Punjab_and_Anrs.#:~:text=Golaknath%20v.,Fundamental%20Rights%20in%20the%20Constitution.&text=I.C.,-Golaknath%20and%20Ors (23 March, 2023)

Right to Property and its Evolution in India, <https://www.legalserviceindia.com/legal/article-3774-right-to-property-and-its-evolution-in-india.html>,

Right to Property, Article, Amendment, SC Judgements
<https://www.studyiq.com/articles/right-to-property/> (August 4, 2023)

Research Papers

Land Acquisition for 'Public Purpose' – A Critical Analysis – By Lalit Anjana