

INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL FOR LEGAL RESEARCH AND ANALYSIS



Open Access, Refereed Journal Multi-Disciplinary
Peer Reviewed

www.ijlra.com

DISCLAIMER

No part of this publication may be reproduced or copied in any form by any means without prior written permission of Managing Editor of IJLRA. The views expressed in this publication are purely personal opinions of the authors and do not reflect the views of the Editorial Team of IJLRA.

Though every effort has been made to ensure that the information in Volume II Issue 7 is accurate and appropriately cited/referenced, neither the Editorial Board nor IJLRA shall be held liable or responsible in any manner whatsoever for any consequences for any action taken by anyone on the basis of information in the Journal.

Copyright © International Journal for Legal Research & Analysis

EDITORIALTEAM

EDITORS

Dr. Samrat Datta

Dr. Samrat Datta Seedling School of Law and Governance, Jaipur National University, Jaipur. Dr. Samrat Datta is currently associated with Seedling School of Law and Governance, Jaipur National University, Jaipur. Dr. Datta has completed his graduation i.e., B.A.LL.B. from Law College Dehradun, Hemvati Nandan Bahuguna Garhwal University, Srinagar, Uttarakhand. He is an alumnus of KIIT University, Bhubaneswar where he pursued his post-graduation (LL.M.) in Criminal Law and subsequently completed his Ph.D. in Police Law and Information Technology from the Pacific Academy of Higher Education and Research University, Udaipur in 2020. His area of interest and research is Criminal and Police Law. Dr. Datta has a teaching experience of 7 years in various law schools across North India and has held administrative positions like Academic Coordinator, Centre Superintendent for Examinations, Deputy Controller of Examinations, Member of the Proctorial Board



Dr. Namita Jain

Head & Associate Professor

School of Law, JECRC University, Jaipur Ph.D. (Commercial Law) LL.M., UGC -NET Post Graduation Diploma in Taxation law and Practice, Bachelor of Commerce.

Teaching Experience: 12 years, AWARDS AND RECOGNITION of Dr. Namita Jain are - ICF Global Excellence Award 2020 in the category of educationalist by I Can Foundation, India. India Women Empowerment Award in the category of "Emerging Excellence in Academics by Prime Time & Utkrisht Bharat Foundation, New Delhi.(2020). Conferred in FL Book of Top 21 Record Holders in the category of education by Fashion Lifestyle Magazine, New Delhi. (2020). Certificate of Appreciation for organizing and managing the Professional Development Training Program on IPR in Collaboration with Trade Innovations Services, Jaipur on March 14th, 2019



Mrs.S.Kalpana

Assistant professor of Law

Mrs.S.Kalpana, presently Assistant professor of Law, VelTech Rangarajan Dr.Sagunthala R & D Institute of Science and Technology, Avadi. Formerly Assistant professor of Law, Vels University in the year 2019 to 2020, Worked as Guest Faculty, Chennai Dr.Ambedkar Law College, Pudupakkam. Published one book. Published 8Articles in various reputed Law Journals. Conducted 1Moot court competition and participated in nearly 80 National and International seminars and webinars conducted on various subjects of Law. Did ML in Criminal Law and Criminal Justice Administration. 10 paper presentations in various National and International seminars. Attended more than 10 FDP programs. Ph.D. in Law pursuing.



Avinash Kumar



Avinash Kumar has completed his Ph.D. in International Investment Law from the Dept. of Law & Governance, Central University of South Bihar. His research work is on "International Investment Agreement and State's right to regulate Foreign Investment." He qualified UGC-NET and has been selected for the prestigious ICSSR Doctoral Fellowship. He is an alumnus of the Faculty of Law, University of Delhi. Formerly he has been elected as Students Union President of Law Centre-1, University of Delhi. Moreover, he completed his LL.M. from the University of Delhi (2014-16), dissertation on "Cross-border Merger & Acquisition"; LL.B. from the University of Delhi (2011-14), and B.A. (Hons.) from Maharaja Agrasen College, University of Delhi. He has also obtained P.G. Diploma in IPR from the Indian Society of International Law, New Delhi. He has qualified UGC – NET examination and has been awarded ICSSR – Doctoral Fellowship. He has published six-plus articles and presented 9 plus papers in national and international seminars/conferences. He participated in several workshops on research methodology and teaching and learning.

ABOUT US

INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL FOR LEGAL RESEARCH & ANALYSIS
ISSN

2582-6433 is an Online Journal is Monthly, Peer Review, Academic Journal, Published online, that seeks to provide an interactive platform for the publication of Short Articles, Long Articles, Book Review, Case Comments, Research Papers, Essay in the field of Law & Multidisciplinary issue. Our aim is to upgrade the level of interaction and discourse about contemporary issues of law. We are eager to become a highly cited academic publication, through quality contributions from students, academics, professionals from the industry, the bar and the bench. INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL FOR LEGAL RESEARCH & ANALYSIS ISSN 2582-6433 welcomes contributions from all legal branches, as long as the work is original, unpublished and is in consonance with the submission guidelines.

MISUSE OF THE DOMESTIC VIOLENCE ACT AND SECTION 498A OF THE IPC: ANALYZING LEGAL IMPLICATIONS AND SOCIETAL IMPACT

AUTHORED BY - SIDDHARTHA PANDIT

Abstract

The **Protection of Women from Domestic Violence Act, 2005** (DV Act) and **Section 498A of the Indian Penal Code (IPC)** were enacted to safeguard women from domestic abuse, harassment, and cruelty. However, in recent years, concerns have surfaced regarding the misuse of these laws. Several high-profile cases have brought attention to the alleged exploitation of these provisions, with claims of false accusations being used as tools of harassment or leverage in matrimonial disputes. This paper seeks to analyze the scope and intent of the DV Act and Section 498A, while examining the nature and frequency of their misuse, supported by legal cases and data. It also explores the consequences of such misuse on individuals, families, and the legal system. Lastly, the paper suggests reforms to ensure a balanced application of these laws, protecting genuine victims while minimizing false allegations.

***keywords:** Domestic Violence Act 2005, Section 498A IPC, Domestic abuse, Harassment Cruelty, False accusations, Legal misuse, Matrimonial disputes, Women's protection laws*

1. Introduction

India has a long-standing history of addressing crimes against women through legislative reforms. The enactment of the **Protection of Women from Domestic Violence Act, 2005** and the inclusion of **Section 498A** in the **Indian Penal Code** in 1983 were landmark steps to curb the rising cases of domestic violence and dowry-related abuse. Section 498A of the IPC specifically criminalizes cruelty against married women by their husbands or in-laws, while the DV Act provides civil remedies and protection orders to victims of domestic violence.

Despite their laudable objectives, concerns have arisen regarding the misuse of these laws. Critics argue that while the laws have undoubtedly benefited many women facing genuine

domestic abuse, there has been a growing trend of their misuse. This article aims to critically analyze the misuse of the DV Act and Section 498A, focusing on both the societal and legal ramifications.

2. Legislative Framework

2.1 Section 498A of the IPC

Section 498A was introduced in the IPC to address cruelty against women, particularly in the context of dowry demands. It criminalizes:

- Any willful conduct** that is likely to drive a woman to suicide or cause grave injury to her mental or physical health.
- Harassment for dowry** or unlawful demands that amount to mental or physical torture.

A conviction under Section 498A carries a punishment of up to three years of imprisonment and a fine. It is a non-bailable, cognizable, and non-compoundable offense, which means the accused can be arrested without a warrant, and the complaint cannot be withdrawn by the complainant.

2.2 The Domestic Violence Act, 2005

The DV Act was enacted to provide civil remedies for women subjected to domestic violence, defined broadly to include physical, emotional, sexual, and economic abuse. Unlike Section 498A, the DV Act is primarily remedial, offering protection orders, monetary relief, custody orders, and residence orders to women seeking refuge from domestic violence.

While both laws aim to provide relief to women, the differing scopes of the laws—one criminal and the other civil—create complexities in their application and potential misuse.

3. Patterns of Misuse

Despite the good intentions behind these laws, there have been increasing reports of their misuse, particularly Section 498A. In many cases, the law has been used:

- As a tool of revenge:** Some complainants have filed false or exaggerated claims of cruelty to settle personal scores or exert pressure in matrimonial disputes.
- To extort financial settlements:** Allegations under 498A have sometimes been used as leverage to demand exorbitant alimony or property settlements.

- In family disputes:** In-laws, especially elderly parents of the husband, are frequently implicated without any substantial proof.

According to a report from the **National Crime Records Bureau (NCRB)**, around **74% of the cases filed under Section 498A** between 2015 and 2020 resulted in acquittals or dismissals, raising concerns about the veracity of the claims made under the section. The **Supreme Court of India in Rajesh Sharma v. State of U.P. (2017)** recognized the misuse of Section 498A and laid down guidelines to prevent arbitrary arrests.

4. ES

Several landmark cases have highlighted the misuse of Section 498A and the DV Act. Some notable examples include:

- Sushil Kumar Sharma v. Union of India (2005):** The petitioner argued that the rampant misuse of Section 498A was violating the rights of innocent family members. While the Court did not strike down the law, it acknowledged the need for reforms to prevent its misuse.
- Arnesh Kate of Bihar (2014):** In this case, the Supreme Court held that arrests under Section 498A should not be made routinely, and laid down procedures for police officers to follow, emphasizing the need for proper verification before arrest.
- Social Action Forum Adhikar v. Union of India (2018):** The Court balanced concerns of misuse by allowing cases under Section 498A to be referred to family welfare committees before proceeding with criminal charges.

5. Impact of Misuse

The misuse of Section 498A and the DV Act has had serious consequences:

- Psychological and social toll:** Innocent individuals, particularly elderly in-laws and husbands, have faced undue harassment and reputational damage due to false accusations.
- Strain on judicial resources:** The rising number of false cases has clogged the legal system, diverting attention from genuine victims who require urgent legal relief.
- Erosion of trust:** The widespread misuse has undermined the credibility of these laws, potentially weakening their effectiveness for genuine victims of abuse.

6. Recommendations for Reform

Given the increasing cases of misuse, several measures can be implemented to strike a balance between protecting women and preventing false accusations:

- Strengthening procedural safeguards:** Mandatory pre-arrest investigation should be ensured in 498A cases to prevent wrongful detention of innocent individuals.
- Introduction of penalties for false claims:** Those proven to have filed false or malicious claims should be penalized to deter future misuse.
- Mandatory mediation:** Early mediation between parties could help resolve personal disputes before they escalate into criminal charges.
- Awareness programs:** Legal awareness programs should be conducted to educate both men and women on the responsible use of these laws.

7. Conclusion

While the Domestic Violence Act and Section 498A of the IPC were enacted with the noble aim of protecting women from abuse, their misuse has raised significant legal and social concerns. The protection of genuine victims should remain paramount, but it is equally essential to ensure that these laws are not misused as tools of harassment. By incorporating necessary legal reforms and safeguards, the Indian legal system can maintain the delicate balance between justice for victims and protection of the innocent.

References

1. National Crime Records Bureau (NCRB) Report. Crime in India, 2020.
2. Rajesh Sharma v. State of U.P., Supreme Court of India, 2017.
3. Sushil Kumar Sharma v. Union of India, Supreme Court of India, 2005.
4. Arnesh Kumar v. State of Bihar, Supreme Court of India, 2014.
5. Social Action Forum for Manav Adhikar v. Union of India, Supreme Court of India, 2018