

# INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL FOR LEGAL RESEARCH AND ANALYSIS



Open Access, Refereed Journal Multi-Disciplinary  
Peer Reviewed

[www.ijlra.com](http://www.ijlra.com)

## **DISCLAIMER**

No part of this publication may be reproduced or copied in any form by any means without prior written permission of Managing Editor of IJLRA. The views expressed in this publication are purely personal opinions of the authors and do not reflect the views of the Editorial Team of IJLRA.

Though every effort has been made to ensure that the information in Volume II Issue 7 is accurate and appropriately cited/referenced, neither the Editorial Board nor IJLRA shall be held liable or responsible in any manner whatsoever for any consequences for any action taken by anyone on the basis of information in the Journal.

Copyright © International Journal for Legal Research & Analysis

## EDITORIALTEAM

### EDITORS

#### **Dr. Samrat Datta**

*Dr. Samrat Datta Seedling School of Law and Governance, Jaipur National University, Jaipur. Dr. Samrat Datta is currently associated with Seedling School of Law and Governance, Jaipur National University, Jaipur. Dr. Datta has completed his graduation i.e., B.A.LL.B. from Law College Dehradun, Hemvati Nandan Bahuguna Garhwal University, Srinagar, Uttarakhand. He is an alumnus of KIIT University, Bhubaneswar where he pursued his post-graduation (LL.M.) in Criminal Law and subsequently completed his Ph.D. in Police Law and Information Technology from the Pacific Academy of Higher Education and Research University, Udaipur in 2020. His area of interest and research is Criminal and Police Law. Dr. Datta has a teaching experience of 7 years in various law schools across North India and has held administrative positions like Academic Coordinator, Centre Superintendent for Examinations, Deputy Controller of Examinations, Member of the Proctorial Board*



#### **Dr. Namita Jain**



*Head & Associate Professor*

*School of Law, JECRC University, Jaipur Ph.D. (Commercial Law) LL.M., UGC-NET Post Graduation Diploma in Taxation law and Practice, Bachelor of Commerce.*

*Teaching Experience: 12 years, AWARDS AND RECOGNITION of Dr. Namita Jain are - ICF Global Excellence Award 2020 in the category of educationalist by I Can Foundation, India. India Women Empowerment Award in the category of "Emerging Excellence in Academics by Prime Time & Utkrish Bharat Foundation, New Delhi. (2020). Conferred in FL Book of Top 21 Record Holders in the category of education by Fashion Lifestyle Magazine, New Delhi. (2020). Certificate of Appreciation for organizing and managing the Professional Development Training Program on IPR in Collaboration with Trade Innovations Services, Jaipur on March 14th, 2019*

## Mrs.S.Kalpna

Assistant professor of Law

*Mrs.S.Kalpna, presently Assistant professor of Law, VelTech Rangarajan Dr.Sagunthala R & D Institute of Science and Technology, Avadi. Formerly Assistant professor of Law,Vels University in the year 2019 to 2020, Worked as Guest Faculty, Chennai Dr.Ambedkar Law College, Pudupakkam. Published one book. Published 8Articles in various reputed Law Journals. Conducted 1Moot court competition and participated in nearly 80 National and International seminars and webinars conducted on various subjects of Law. Did ML in Criminal Law and Criminal Justice Administration.10 paper presentations in various National and International seminars. Attended more than 10 FDP programs. Ph.D. in Law pursuing.*



## Avinash Kumar



*Avinash Kumar has completed his Ph.D. in International Investment Law from the Dept. of Law & Governance, Central University of South Bihar. His research work is on "International Investment Agreement and State's right to regulate Foreign Investment." He qualified UGC-NET and has been selected for the prestigious ICSSR Doctoral Fellowship. He is an alumnus of the Faculty of Law, University of Delhi. Formerly he has been elected as Students Union President of Law Centre-1, University of Delhi. Moreover, he completed his LL.M. from the University of Delhi (2014-16), dissertation on "Cross-border Merger & Acquisition"; LL.B. from the University of Delhi (2011-14), and B.A. (Hons.) from Maharaja Agrasen College, University of Delhi. He has also obtained P.G. Diploma in IPR from the Indian Society of International Law, New Delhi. He has qualified UGC – NET examination and has been awarded ICSSR – Doctoral Fellowship. He has published six-plus articles and presented 9 plus papers in national and international seminars/conferences. He participated in several workshops on research methodology and teaching and learning.*

## **ABOUT US**

INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL FOR LEGAL RESEARCH & ANALYSIS ISSN- 2582-6433 is an Online Journal is Monthly, Peer Review, Academic Journal, Published online, that seeks to provide an interactive platform for the publication of Short Articles, Long Articles, Book Review, Case Comments, Research Papers, Essay in the field of Law & Multidisciplinary issue. Our aim is to upgrade the level of interaction and discourse about contemporary issues of law. We are eager to become a highly cited academic publication, through quality contributions from students, academics, professionals from the industry, the bar and the bench. INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL FOR LEGAL RESEARCH & ANALYSIS ISSN 2582-6433 welcomes contributions from all legal branches, as long as the work is original, unpublished and is in consonance with the submission guidelines.

# **COSMIC COLLABORATION: THE ROLE OF GLOBALIZATION IN SHAPING THE SPACE INDUSTRY**

AUTHORED BY - AARYA PRITI GOSWAMI

## **Abstract**

Globalization has significantly shaped the modern space sector, accelerating technological advancements, fostering international cooperation, and opening new economic opportunities. This essay explores the intricate dynamics between globalization and the space industry, examining the positive and negative impacts of these interconnections. Key areas of focus include the role of international treaties, the rise of private space enterprises, challenges posed by space debris, security concerns, and how the increasing accessibility of space technology to non-state actors influences global politics.

*Keywords: Globalization; Outer Space Treaty; Space Exploration; Space Wars; Space Weapons.*

## ***A Holistic Overview***

Globalization, a multidimensional phenomenon, has profoundly transformed industries across the world. From commerce to technology, it has spurred integration, cooperation, and competition on a global scale. Among the industries significantly impacted by this interconnectedness is the space sector. Once dominated by government-led programs in a few nations, the space sector has evolved into a more competitive, diversified, and privatized arena, marked by increasing international cooperation and corporate participation. Today, the space industry is no longer confined to national borders; it is shaped by a confluence of scientific innovation, economic interests, geopolitical considerations, and international treaties. The impact of globalization on the space sector raises complex legal, ethical, economic, and policy issues that require thorough exploration and analysis.

The transition from Cold War-era space competition, dominated by two superpowers—the United States and the Soviet Union—has shifted towards a more globalized space race. With the advent of globalization, space is no longer the exclusive domain of government-led agencies like NASA or Roscosmos. Instead, a multipolar reality has emerged where nations

like China, India, Japan, and private entities such as SpaceX and Blue Origin, alongside multinational conglomerates, have increasingly become active participants. This shift underscores the broader theme of globalization: the integration of multiple actors and stakeholders into what was previously a largely insulated and exclusive domain. Globalization has introduced new challenges but also opened new opportunities for innovation, collaboration, and commercial exploitation.

The intersection of globalization and the space sector poses a fascinating study in legal, economic, and geopolitical considerations. On the one hand, globalization has catalysed international collaboration in space exploration, as evidenced by projects like the International Space Station (ISS), which involves cooperation among multiple nations, transcending national borders and rivalries. The emergence of international agreements, such as the Outer Space Treaty of 1967, has laid the groundwork for a cooperative global approach to space exploration, focusing on peaceful use, mutual benefit, and avoiding the militarization of space. However, the globalization of space also brings into sharp focus critical issues surrounding the commercial exploitation of space resources, the militarization of space, national sovereignty, intellectual property, and the management of space debris—an increasingly urgent problem in low Earth orbit.

In the commercial sphere, the impact of globalization on the space sector has been transformative. Once dominated by national space programs, the industry now witnesses the rise of private actors who transcend national boundaries, offering innovative solutions and capabilities. Companies like SpaceX, Rocket Lab, and OneWeb are at the forefront of a rapidly evolving commercial space industry that leverages globalization to tap into international markets, talent pools, and supply chains. These private companies, often multinational in nature, demonstrate how globalization has enabled the commercialization of space exploration, launch services, satellite technology, and even potential space tourism. This transformation raises crucial legal questions about the regulation of private actors in space, the application of international law to private enterprises, and the balance between profit-making and ethical considerations in space exploration.

From a legal perspective, globalization has significantly influenced the regulatory frameworks governing outer space activities. The Outer Space Treaty and subsequent treaties provide a foundation for international cooperation, but as space activities diversify, these legal

frameworks face challenges in adapting to modern realities. National legislation, such as the U.S. Commercial Space Launch Competitiveness Act of 2015, allows private companies to mine and use space resources, a move that raises questions about the potential for space colonialism, the privatization of common resources, and the equitable distribution of space benefits. Meanwhile, the increasing involvement of countries with diverse legal systems and priorities makes harmonizing international space law an ever more complex challenge. This tension between national interests and the need for a universally agreed-upon legal regime will be one of the defining legal challenges as globalization continues to shape the space sector.

Globalization also brings ethical considerations to the forefront. The prospect of commercial exploitation of celestial bodies, the privatization of space activities, and the possible militarization of space present numerous ethical dilemmas. Is it just for a few countries and private companies to reap the benefits of space, or should space resources be considered the “common heritage of mankind”, as advocated by certain principles in international law? Additionally, issues like space debris, environmental degradation in space, and the potential for space conflicts challenge the existing ethical paradigms that govern space exploration. The intensifying competition between nations and private companies to dominate space raises concerns about inequality, resource allocation, and the prioritization of technological advancements over global welfare.

Economically, the globalization of the space sector has spurred growth in various sectors, including satellite communications, space tourism, and space mining. The global space economy has grown exponentially, fuelled by advancements in technology, international collaboration, and private investment. According to reports by space industry analysts, the global space economy could surpass \$1 trillion by 2040.<sup>1</sup> However, this economic boom is not without its challenges. The distribution of the economic benefits of space activities is uneven, with a few countries and corporations controlling the vast majority of resources, knowledge, and infrastructure. This imbalance raises significant questions about the global distribution of wealth generated from space activities and how globalization might exacerbate existing economic inequalities.

Geopolitically, the globalization of the space sector has intensified the race for dominance in

---

<sup>1</sup><https://www.morganstanley.com/Themes/global-space-economy>

outer space. The increasing number of nations pursuing space programs, along with the growing role of private companies, has shifted the balance of power in space politics. Nations like the United States, China, and Russia remain central players, but new space-faring nations, including India and the European Union, have emerged as significant actors. The rivalry between the U.S. and China, for instance, manifests not only in terrestrial geopolitics but also in the space domain, as both nations pursue lunar missions and space station projects. Additionally, concerns about the militarization of space are growing, with countries investing in anti-satellite weapons and space defense systems. Globalization, in this context, raises important questions about the future of space as a domain for peaceful exploration versus its potential as the next frontier for geopolitical competition.

Moreover, the role of international organizations, such as the United Nations Office for Outer Space Affairs (UNOOSA) and the International Telecommunication Union (ITU), in managing space resources and mitigating conflicts has become increasingly critical. These institutions, along with bilateral and multilateral agreements, play a key role in ensuring that space remains a global commons, accessible to all nations regardless of their level of technological development. However, as the space sector globalizes, the efficacy of these institutions is tested, particularly in addressing new challenges such as space traffic management, the growing problem of space debris, and the regulation of private space activities.

The globalization of the space sector has profound and multifaceted implications, spanning legal, economic, ethical, and geopolitical dimensions. While globalization has facilitated unprecedented international collaboration and commercial opportunities in space, it also presents complex challenges that require a rethinking of existing regulatory frameworks, ethical norms, and geopolitical strategies. The space sector, once the domain of a few powerful nations, has now become a truly global arena, influenced by diverse actors and interests. As humanity ventures further into space, the need for a globally coordinated, equitable, and sustainable approach to space exploration and utilization is more critical than ever.

### ***The Cold War Era: The Birth Of Space Exploration***

The Cold War era made the exploration of outer space more a battle for national prestige as opposed to an initiative to further scientific knowledge. The early years of space exploration were closely tied to the geopolitical rivalry between the United States and the Soviet Union during the Cold War. This period, often referred to as the “Space Race”, was characterized by

intense competition between the two superpowers, each seeking to demonstrate technological and ideological superiority through achievements in space.<sup>2</sup>

However, Space Power's influence on the course of history began in 1944, when a metallic cigar rose vertically from the ground in Nazi occupied Holland and flew unimpeded into the British Isles. The unstoppable wonder weapon was here for Germany's *Vergeltung üben*. This behemoth sent the Western Allies into a frenzied hysteria, forcing them to change their grand strategy, diverting supplies and air support from Patton's rampant 3<sup>rd</sup> Army to Montgomery to allow Monty to capture the launch sites.<sup>3</sup> The influence of Space on the world had begun.

The German V-2 program significantly influenced the Soviet Union's post-war approach to technological advancement. As noted by Walter McDougall, the USSR, driven by national fear and necessity, temporarily evolved into an exceptionally efficient technocracy.<sup>4</sup> This enabled it to swiftly narrow the gap with the Western Allies' atomic achievements and, ultimately, launch the first satellite into orbit. The small metal sphere that entered planetary free-fall on October 4, 1957, wielded a profound impact on global events, despite its modest size. This achievement represented an immediate victory for the Soviet Union on multiple fronts, showcasing to the world that the nation, long considered technologically inferior to the Western Allies, possessed formidable capabilities. By the prevailing logic of the time, this technological prowess also lent credibility to its ideological strength.

While Sputnik represented a significant technological and political achievement for the Soviet Union, it also produced several unintended consequences. Firstly, it sparked a surge of public interest in the United States and galvanized political support for a national space initiative. Although the American space program was already advancing rapidly in 1957, Sputnik shifted the focus from a concern primarily held by scientists and engineers to one that engaged politicians and the general public.

Moreover, Sputnik subtly initiated a rift between the Soviet Union and Red China. Prior to the launch, the relationship between these two Communist nations had been characterized by technological collaboration. However, in the aftermath of Sputnik, the Soviet Union, perhaps

---

<sup>2</sup>Michael J. Neufeld, *Sputnik and the Dawn of the Space Age* (Smithsonian Institution Press, 1998), 35.

<sup>3</sup>Lyons, Michael J. *World War II: A Short History*. Prentice Hall: Englewood Cliffs, New Jersey, 1994. p.225.

<sup>4</sup>McDougall, Walter A. *The Heavens and the Earth: A Political History of the Space Age*. Baltimore: Johns Hopkins University Press, 1985.

feeling more emboldened in its stance against the West, ceased to share nuclear and missile technology. This marked the beginning of a schism that would later facilitate the political realignment orchestrated by President Nixon a decade and a half later.<sup>5</sup>

Additionally, Sputnik effectively resolved a contentious issue that had been debated both in the United States and internationally: the question of spacecraft overflight and national sovereignty. Did sovereignty extend to the skies? If so, was permission required from every nation over which a satellite traversed? Furthermore, could the United States utilize space for strategic reconnaissance against the increasingly insular Soviet Union? In its haste to assert its technological (and, consequently, ideological) superiority, the USSR inadvertently addressed this dilemma for the United States; a nation that celebrated the launch of a satellite over North America could scarcely object to subsequent overflights of its territory by Western satellites.

The ramifications of Sputnik's success were felt on multiple levels. Many were taken aback by the symbolic and ideologically significant achievement of surpassing the United States in technological capability. Yet, some recognized Sputnik for what it truly represented: the newfound capacity for a nation in the Eastern Hemisphere to launch a direct attack on the ostensibly secure continent of North America. The vast oceans and airspace that had once served as protective barriers could no longer guarantee safety, as a new form of weaponry emerged, fundamentally altering the strategic balance of offensive warfare.

### *The Evolution Of Space Weapons*

The concept of weaponry in space, often perceived as a futuristic phenomenon, has roots that trace back to the early years of the space age. As nations began to explore the cosmos, the potential for military applications became increasingly evident. The launch of Sputnik 1 by the Soviet Union in 1957 marked the beginning of the space age, sparking a technological and ideological race between the United States and the USSR. While this satellite was primarily a scientific endeavour, it set the stage for military applications in space. The realization that space could provide strategic advantages led to the exploration of various technologies, including the deployment of weapons in orbit.

The first significant military initiative in space was the U.S. Air Force's Project Thor in the

---

<sup>5</sup>*Ibid.*, 4.

1950s. This project aimed to develop kinetic energy weapons, also known as “rods from God”, which would involve deploying tungsten rods from orbit to strike targets on Earth with tremendous force. Although the project did not progress beyond theoretical designs, it underscored the U.S. military’s interest in leveraging space capabilities for warfare.<sup>6</sup>

During the same period, the U.S. developed the Nike Zeus missile defense system, designed to intercept incoming nuclear missiles. Although it was primarily an anti-ballistic missile (ABM) system, it represented the first attempt to extend missile defense capabilities into space.<sup>7</sup> This initiative laid the groundwork for the future development of space-based missile defense systems.

The Cold War intensified the focus on space weaponization. The 1980s saw the introduction of Strategic Defense Initiative (SDI), popularly known as “Star Wars,” proposed by President Ronald Reagan. This initiative aimed to create a space-based system to protect the U.S. from nuclear missile attacks using various technologies, including laser systems and missile interceptors.<sup>8</sup> Despite its ambitious goals, SDI faced significant technical and political challenges and was ultimately abandoned.

Additionally, the Soviet Union developed its own space-based defense systems, including the S-300 missile system, which could engage aerial threats. However, both nations faced domestic and international opposition regarding the militarization of space, leading to treaties aimed at limiting such developments.<sup>9</sup>

### ***Contemporary Developments And Future Space Weapons***

In recent years, concerns about the potential for space weaponization have resurfaced, particularly with the emergence of new technologies. The development of anti-satellite (ASAT) weapons, which can disable or destroy enemy satellites, has raised alarms about the vulnerability of space assets.<sup>10</sup> Countries like China and Russia have demonstrated capabilities in this area, prompting the U.S. to enhance its space defense strategies.<sup>11</sup>

---

<sup>6</sup>T. C. O’Brien, *Project Thor: The Kinetic Energy Weapon*, *Journal of Strategic Studies* 27, no. 3 (2004): 385-404.

<sup>7</sup>R. G. McLemore, *Nike Zeus: The Evolution of an ABM*, *Military Review* 58, no. 9 (1978): 42-55.

<sup>8</sup>S. M. McCaffrey, *The Strategic Defense Initiative: History and Policy*, *Space Policy* 2, no. 4 (1986): 253-262.

<sup>9</sup>D. N. Schwartz, *Soviet Space-Based Missile Defense*, *International Security* 10, no. 2 (1985): 67-89.

<sup>10</sup>S. H. Kim, *The ASAT Weaponry and International Law*, *Journal of International Law* 45, no. 1 (2020): 135-157.

<sup>11</sup>M. A. B. Bell, *China’s ASAT Capabilities: A Threat to U.S. Space Assets*, *Journal of Space Law* 37, no. 1 (2011): 123-146.

The establishment of the U.S. Space Force in 2019 reflects a growing recognition of the need to protect national interests in space. This new military branch aims to safeguard U.S. space assets and ensure the country's dominance in this strategic domain.<sup>12</sup> However, critics argue that the militarization of space could lead to a new arms race, undermining international stability and security.<sup>13</sup>

### *The First Space War: A Potential Reality?*

The concept of warfare extending into outer space has long been the subject of science fiction, yet with the increasing militarization and competition between space-faring nations, the prospect of a space war is no longer a fantastical narrative. The idea of a “first space war” conjures images of spacecrafts exchanging fire and satellites being shot down in Earth's orbit. While space remains largely peaceful, geopolitical tensions and advancements in space technologies have brought the possibility of military confrontations in space closer to reality. The development of space weaponry has accelerated in recent years, with nations actively pursuing advanced technologies that could be deployed in a space conflict. These include directed-energy weapons, such as lasers capable of disabling satellites, and kinetic energy weapons, which could be used to physically destroy objects in space.<sup>14</sup> Both Russia and China have been developing counter-space capabilities, with Russia reportedly testing anti-satellite weapons multiple times in 2020 alone.<sup>15</sup>

The rise of private companies in the space sector, such as SpaceX and Blue Origin, has also contributed to the militarization of space, as private entities are increasingly involved in the development and launch of military satellites and other space assets.<sup>16</sup> This blurring of the lines between civilian and military uses of space further complicates the legal and ethical framework governing space activities.

---

<sup>12</sup>U.S. Department of Defense, *Establishment of the United States Space Force*, 2019. <https://media.defense.gov/2019/Dec/20/2002228281/-1/-1/1/ESTABLISHMENT-OF-THE-UNITED-STATES-SPACE-FORCE.PDF>

<sup>13</sup>L. A. Sweeney, *The Militarization of Space: A Growing Concern*, *Global Security Review* 14, no. 2 (2021): 29-45.

<sup>14</sup>Weeden, B., *Directed Energy Weapons in Space: Future or Fiction?*, (2020) *Secure World Foundation* 23-31.

<sup>15</sup>Todd, D., *Russia Tests Anti-Satellite Weapon in Latest Military Maneuver*, (2020) *Defense One*, accessed 20 September 2024.

<sup>16</sup>Pelton, J.N., *Private Sector Involvement in Space Warfare: The New Frontier*, (2022) *Journal of Space Warfare Studies* 10(2) 152-178.

### ***Impending Triggers For The First Space War***

Several factors could potentially trigger the first space war. One scenario involves the destruction or disabling of satellites, which play a crucial role in modern military operations. Satellites are used for intelligence gathering, communications, navigation, and missile targeting, making them prime targets in any future conflict. The 2007 Chinese ASAT test served as a warning of how easily satellites could be targeted during a conflict, causing widespread disruptions to civilian and military systems alike.<sup>17</sup>

Another possible flashpoint for space war is the competition over the control of valuable resources on the Moon and other celestial bodies. The U.S. Artemis Accords, which promote the peaceful and cooperative use of lunar resources, have been met with scepticism by Russia and China, who view the accords as an extension of American dominance in space.<sup>18</sup> The militarization of lunar operations could lead to a scenario where nations come into direct conflict over territorial or resource claims in space.

Furthermore, cyber-attacks on space infrastructure, including satellites and ground control systems, present another possible trigger. In recent years, the increasing reliance on space-based systems has made them vulnerable to cyber warfare, with the potential to cripple military and civilian infrastructure without direct physical confrontation.<sup>19</sup>

### ***The Outer Space Treaty, 1967: A Hamartia Of Space Regulation?***

The Outer Space Treaty (OST), officially known as the Treaty on Principles Governing the Activities of States in the Exploration and Use of Outer Space, including the Moon and Other Celestial Bodies, was adopted in 1967 and serves as the foundational legal framework for international space law. It is instrumental in establishing fundamental principles, such as the use of outer space for peaceful purposes, the prohibition of nuclear weapons in space, and the notion that outer space is the province of all mankind. Despite its significance, the treaty has notable limitations and loopholes that present challenges in the evolving landscape of space exploration and utilization.

---

<sup>17</sup>*Supra*. n. 11.

<sup>18</sup>Harrison, T. *Space Resources: The Artemis Accords and the Law of Outer Space* (2020) Center for Strategic and International Studies, accessed 25 September 2024.

<sup>19</sup>Kulu, E., *Cybersecurity in Outer Space* (2023) *International Journal of Space Policy and Law* 45(3) 291-307.

One of the primary limitations of the OST is its vague definitions and language, which leave significant room for interpretation. For instance, Article I states that outer space shall be free for exploration and use by all states, but it does not specify what constitutes “use”. This ambiguity allows nations to assert claims over resources in space, particularly regarding asteroids and lunar materials, potentially leading to conflicts over ownership rights. Moreover, the OST does not address the burgeoning commercial space sector, which has seen an influx of private actors engaging in space activities. As a result, the treaty does not adequately regulate the actions of private companies, leading to a governance gap that could result in unregulated exploitation of outer space resources.

Another critical loophole in the OST is its failure to incorporate provisions for liability and accountability. Although Article VII establishes that states are responsible for their national activities in outer space, including those conducted by private entities, it does not provide a clear mechanism for addressing disputes or damages resulting from these activities. Consequently, this lack of accountability raises concerns regarding environmental protection and the long-term sustainability of outer space. Furthermore, the treaty’s enforcement mechanisms are weak, relying heavily on states’ willingness to adhere to its principles without any binding judicial authority to resolve conflicts.

The OST’s prohibition of nuclear weapons and the demilitarization of outer space (as stated in Article IV) presents another limitation, especially in the context of rising geopolitical tensions. While the treaty seeks to ensure that outer space is utilized for peaceful purposes, the absence of stringent verification measures allows states to develop military capabilities under the guise of peaceful exploration. This situation becomes even more complex with advancements in technology, such as anti-satellite weapons and the militarization of space surveillance systems, which can undermine the treaty’s intent and create an environment of mistrust among nations. Additionally, the OST’s focus on the sovereignty of states over their activities in space, as stipulated in Article II, presents a challenge regarding the collective governance of outer space. This principle can lead to the assertion of national interests over common heritage, undermining the treaty’s goal of promoting international cooperation in space exploration. The increasing number of space-faring nations and the proliferation of satellites further complicate this scenario, as more states pursue their national agendas in space, potentially leading to conflicts over overlapping interests.

While the Outer Space Treaty represents a significant achievement in the establishment of international norms governing space activities, its limitations and loopholes pose challenges in an era of rapid technological advancement and growing commercial interests in outer space. The vague language of the treaty, combined with its inadequate provisions for accountability, liability, and enforcement, creates a framework that may not be sufficient to address the complexities of modern space exploration. As nations and private entities continue to expand their activities in outer space, there is an urgent need for a re-evaluation and potential reform of the OST to ensure that it remains relevant and effective in promoting the peaceful use of outer space for the benefit of all humanity.

### ***The Consequences Of Space Warfare: The Rising Security Concern***

A full-scale space war could have catastrophic consequences for both military and civilian infrastructure. The destruction of satellites would result in the loss of essential services, including global communications, weather forecasting, and GPS systems. This would not only disrupt everyday life but also severely impact military operations, which rely on satellite-based systems for command, control, and communication.<sup>20</sup>

Moreover, the creation of space debris, or “space junk”, poses a significant threat to the sustainability of space activities. The 2007 Chinese ASAT test, for instance, generated over 3,000 pieces of debris, which continue to orbit the Earth and pose a collision risk to operational satellites.<sup>21</sup> A large-scale space war could result in the creation of so much debris that Earth’s orbit becomes unusable, a scenario known as the “Kessler Syndrome”.<sup>22</sup>

### ***Fly Me To The Moon, Let Me Play Among The Stars:***

#### ***The Privatization Of Space***

A pivotal development in the globalized space industry is the increasing role of private companies. The privatization of space activities, exemplified by companies like SpaceX, Blue Origin, and OneWeb, has not only expanded the space economy but also revolutionized the ways in which space exploration and commercial activities are conducted. Globalization, by enabling free flows of capital, technology, and labour, has empowered private enterprises to

---

<sup>20</sup>Johnson, C., *The Role of Satellites in Modern Warfare* (2021) *Journal of Defense and Space Strategy* 6(1) 44-61.

<sup>21</sup>Weeden, B., *Space Debris: Legal and Policy Challenges* (2019) *Secure World Foundation* 13-18.

<sup>22</sup>Kessler, D., and Cour-Palais, B., *Collision Frequency of Artificial Satellites: The Creation of a Debris Belt* (1978) *Journal of Spacecraft and Rockets* 44(2) 5-12.

transcend national borders, collaborating with international partners, and investing in innovative technologies.

SpaceX, in particular, has been a game-changer. Founded by Elon Musk, SpaceX epitomizes how globalization has fuelled the commercial space sector. SpaceX's Falcon rockets have launched satellites for multiple countries and private entities, and its partnership with NASA to ferry astronauts to the ISS represents a major shift from traditional government-dominated space programs.<sup>23</sup> Globalization has enabled SpaceX to source materials and talent from around the world, while international agreements have provided the regulatory framework necessary for its global operations.

Similarly, globalization has spurred a new wave of competition and collaboration among private entities. Companies like OneWeb and Starlink (a subsidiary of SpaceX) are engaged in a global race to provide satellite-based internet, a venture that is heavily reliant on cross-border partnerships and the globalized telecommunications industry. These developments illustrate how private entities, empowered by globalization, are becoming crucial players in the space industry, competing to provide services that were once the exclusive domain of governments. However, the globalized nature of the space economy also raises concerns about equity and access. While companies like SpaceX and Blue Origin are transforming the industry, their dominance risks perpetuating inequalities between nations, particularly those in the Global South. The commercialization of space is an extension of capitalist globalization, and without proper regulation and oversight, there is a risk that space resources and opportunities will be concentrated in the hands of a few wealthy corporations and countries. This scenario mirrors the unequal distribution of wealth and resources on Earth, raising ethical questions about the fair use of space.

### ***Galactic Economics: The Legal and Ethical Dimensions Of Globalization In The Space Sector***

Globalization has significantly transformed the landscape of the space sector, ushering in new opportunities and challenges. The globalization of the space sector is characterized by increased collaboration among countries and private entities, leading to advancements in

---

<sup>23</sup> NASA, *NASA's SpaceX Crew-7 Launches to International Space Station* (NASA, 2023) <https://www.nasa.gov/news-release/nasas-spacex-crew-7-launches-to-international-space-station> accessed 1 October 2024.

technology and exploration.

International treaties such as the Outer Space Treaty of 1967 provide a legal framework for space activities, emphasizing the peaceful use of outer space and the responsibility of states for national space activities, regardless of whether conducted by governmental or non-governmental entities. This treaty establishes a basis for international cooperation but also highlights the challenges of ensuring compliance and accountability among diverse actors in the globalized space environment.<sup>24</sup>

Economically, the commercialization of space has been propelled by globalization, resulting in a burgeoning space economy that includes satellite communications, space tourism, and resource extraction from celestial bodies. This rapid commercialization raises significant ethical questions regarding the equitable distribution of benefits and the potential exploitation of resources. The increasing involvement of private companies, such as SpaceX and Blue Origin, necessitates a reassessment of existing legal frameworks to ensure that economic benefits do not disproportionately favour wealthier nations or corporations at the expense of developing countries.<sup>25</sup> The ethical implications extend to issues of sustainability, as the drive for profit may lead to environmental degradation and the neglect of long-term stewardship of space resources.<sup>26</sup>

The Outer Space Treaty established space as the “province of all mankind”, prohibiting the appropriation of celestial bodies by any single nation and ensuring that space exploration would be conducted for the benefit of all countries, irrespective of their level of development. However, as the commercialization of space accelerates, questions arise about how to regulate private entities and how to ensure that space remains a global commons rather than a domain of exploitation by a few powerful nations or companies.

The advent of space mining is a prime example. Companies like Planetary Resources have set their sights on mining asteroids for valuable resources, an endeavour that could revolutionize the global economy. However, the legal status of such activities remains ambiguous under

---

<sup>24</sup>United Nations, *Treaty on Principles Governing the Activities of States in the Exploration and Use of Outer Space, including the Moon and Other Celestial Bodies* (1967) <https://www.unoosa.org/oosa/en/ourwork/spacelaw/treaties/outerspacetreaty.html>.

<sup>25</sup>M. S. Miele, *Private Spaceflight: The New Space Race* (2020) 34 Space Policy 245.

<sup>26</sup>T. K. Gough, *Sustainability in the New Space Economy* (2019) 25 Journal of Space Law 145.

current international law. The United States, for instance, passed the Commercial Space Launch Competitiveness Act in 2015, granting private companies the rights to resources they extract from space. While this reflects the country's eagerness to lead the commercialization of space, it raises concerns about the unilateral appropriation of space resources, which could lead to conflicts and further inequality.

International bodies like the United Nations Office for Outer Space Affairs (UNOOSA) and the Committee on the Peaceful Uses of Outer Space (COPUOS) play a crucial role in navigating these challenges. However, the current legal frameworks, many of which were established during the Cold War, are ill-equipped to deal with the complexities of a globalized, privatized space industry. Updating these frameworks to account for private sector involvement, the commercialization of space resources, and the participation of developing countries is essential for ensuring that space remains a domain of peaceful cooperation and equitable access.

### ***Ethical Dimensions: Equity, Access, And Resource Utilization***

The globalization of space raises important ethical questions, particularly concerning equity and access to space resources. The increasing involvement of private companies and the commercialization of space activities risk exacerbating existing inequalities between nations. While globalization has democratized access to some extent, allowing countries like India and Brazil to develop their own space programs, the costs of space exploration remain prohibitive for many nations, particularly in Africa and Latin America.

Furthermore, the race for space resources, particularly asteroids rich in precious metals, poses significant ethical dilemmas. Who has the right to exploit these resources? Will the benefits be shared globally, or will they be concentrated in the hands of a few wealthy nations and corporations? These questions mirror larger concerns about the ethics of globalization on Earth, where the benefits of economic growth have often been unevenly distributed.

The concept of "space for all" must be central to the future of space exploration and commercialization. International cooperation, facilitated by globalization, offers the potential for equitable access to space resources and opportunities. However, this will require a concerted effort to update legal frameworks, ensure fair distribution of benefits, and protect the interests of developing nations. The future of space exploration should not repeat the mistakes

of colonialism, where a few powerful entities control vast resources while the majority are excluded from the benefits.

### *Conclusion*

Globalization has profoundly shaped the space industry, transforming it from a nationalist, competitive endeavour into a global, cooperative enterprise. By enabling cross-border collaboration, fostering technological innovation, and democratizing access to space, globalization has been a catalyst for the growth and diversification of space activities. However, it has also introduced complexities related to regulation, equity, and resource exploitation.

As the space industry continues to evolve, it is essential that international legal frameworks are updated to reflect the realities of a globalized, privatized space economy. Moreover, ethical considerations, particularly concerning access and resource utilization, must guide future developments to ensure that space exploration benefits all of humanity, not just a privileged few. The globalized nature of space offers unprecedented opportunities for collaboration and innovation, but it also demands careful stewardship to prevent the perpetuation of inequalities that have long characterized globalization on Earth.

In the coming decades, the space industry will play an increasingly important role in shaping the global economy, addressing challenges like climate change, and expanding human knowledge and capabilities. Whether globalization in space will lead to a more equitable, cooperative future or exacerbate existing inequalities depends on the actions taken today. The future of space is undeniably global, and the way in which humanity navigates this frontier will define not only our presence in space but our shared future on Earth.